

REGION C WATER PLANNING GROUP
MINUTES OF AN OPEN PUBLIC MEETING
December 18, 2017

The Region C Water Planning Group (RCWPG) met in an open public meeting on Monday, December 18, 2017, at 1:00 P.M. The meeting was held at the North Central Texas Council of Governments located at 616 Six Flags Drive, Centerpoint Two Building, First Floor Transportation Council Room, Arlington, Texas. Notice of the meeting was legally posted.

Chair Jody Puckett called the Region C Regional Water Planning Group meeting to order at approximately 1:00 P.M. and welcomed guests.

I. ROLL CALL

Russell Laughlin conducted a roll call. The following members were in attendance:

Fiona Allen (Alt. for Kevin Ward)	John Lingenfelder
David Bailey	Steve Mundt
Kenneth Banks (Alt. for Tim Fisher)	Jody Puckett
Wendy Chi-Babulal (Alt. for John Carman)	Bob Riley
Chris Boyd	Drew Satterwhite
Bill Ceverha	Rick Shaffer (Alt. for James Hotopp's seat)
Grace Darling	Gary Spicer
Tom Kula	Connie Standridge
Harold Latham	Jack Stevens
Russell Laughlin	

Connie Townsend, TWDB, Darrell Dean, TDA, Adam Whisenant, TPWD, and David Nabors, Region D, were present. Also attending was Ken Morgan, Fort Worth Water Utilities. The registration lists signed by guests in attendance are attached.

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES – May 22, 2017

The minutes of the May 22, 2017, RCWPG meeting were approved by consensus upon a motion by Jack Stevens and a second by Grace Darling.

III. TWDB BOARD MEMBER UPDATE by Kathleen Jackson

Kathleen Jackson, TWDB, was unable to attend this Region C WPG meeting due to a family emergency. Connie Townsend, TWDB, acted in her place and presented a plaque from the TWDB to Chair Jody Puckett for her service to the Region C WPG as Chair.

IV. ACTION ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION

A. Consider Approval of Revised Population and Demand Projections and authorize Consultants to Make Minor Revisions Prior to Submittal to TWDB

Keeley Kirksey, FNI, led the presentation and advised that the consultant team has revised TWDB's initial population and municipal water demand projections using TWDB guidelines and information obtained from surveys, meetings, recent reports

and studies and other information. It was noted that TWDB allowed Region C to increase the overall regional population by 2.44% due to estimated under-calculation of the 2016's Plan population when compared to current census data.

Brian McDonald, Alan Plummer Associates, Inc., gave the presentation on projected non-municipal water demands.

Amy Kaarlela, FNI, noted that all of these changes have been thoroughly reviewed by the TWDB and that she was confident they would be approved.

There were no public comments on this action item.

Upon a motion by Fiona Allen, and a second by Jack Stevens, the Region C WPG voted unanimously to approve the revised population and demand projections and authorize the Consultants to make minor revisions prior to submittal to TWDB as necessary, with the stipulation that the changes will not significantly change the overall projections.

B. Consider Designation of Wholesale Water Providers

Amy Kaarlela led this discussion of WWP designations. The TWDB has a new definition of a WWP this round; it is defined as "any person or entity...that delivers or sells water wholesale (treated or raw) to WUGs or other WWPs or that the RWPG expects or recommends to deliver or sell water wholesale...The RWPGs shall identify the WWPs within each region." RWPGs have some options in how they designate WWPs.

- Previous definition included a 1,000 ac-ft/yr minimum sales volume
- New definition has no volume requirement
- 2016 Plan = 41 WWPs
- This cycle, five past WWPs were changed to WUGs due to the transition to utility-based water user group designations:
 - Argyle WSC
 - Cross Timbers WSC
 - East Cedar Creek FWSD
 - Lake Cities MUA
 - West Cedar Creek MUD
- If continuing with previous volume of sales definition, the 2021 Plan would have 36 WWPs (41 WWPs – 5 WWPs = 36 WWPs)

Region C Officers are recommending that these thirty-six wholesale water providers be approved by the RCWPG.

There were no public comments on this action item.

Upon a motion by Bill Ceverha, and a second by Bob Riley, the Region C WPG approved the Consultant's recommendation to keep the 36 WWPs using the same designation that has been used in previous plans.

- C. Announcement of Vacancy for James Hotopp's position Representing Municipalities; Call for Nominations to Fill Vacancy, and Vote to Fill Vacancy

Chair Puckett advised the Region C WPG that James Hotopp submitted his resignation effective December 18, 2017. Mr. Hotopp has recommended Rick Shaffer, his current Alternate, as his replacement. Chair Puckett asked if there were any additional nominations from the planning group or the public, but there were none.

There were no public comments on this action item.

The Region C WPG voted unanimously to accept the recommendation of Rick Shaffer to fill this vacancy.

- D. Receive Report from Nominating Committee for Slate of Officers for 2018; Consider Election of 2018 RCWPG Officers

Chair Puckett advised that the RCWPG nominating committee was unable to present its recommendation for officers to serve during the calendar year 2018 since it did not have a quorum for the committee conference call meeting held on December 15, 2017. The Nominating Committee will meet sometime in January to decide upon a recommended slate of officers for the calendar year 2018 for election at the next RCWPG meeting.

- E. Consider Resolution 17-1 Appointing a Region C Public Information Coordinator in accordance with the Public Information Act

Chair Puckett discussed the appointment of a Region C Public Information Coordinator in accordance with Resolution 17-1 of the Public Information Act. Puckett advised that the Region C WPG Officers have recommended that the General Manager of TRA be authorized to designate a TRA employee(s) to fulfill the obligations of the Public Information Coordinator.

Howard Slobodin, TRA General Counsel, advised the Region C Planning Group that they will not be required to take the Public Information Act training.

There were no public comments on this action item.

Upon a motion by Steve Mundt, and a second by Connie Standridge, the RCWPG voted unanimously to approve the appointment of a Region C Public Information Coordinator and authorize TRA to designate a TRA employee(s) to fulfill the obligations of the Public Information Coordinator.

- F. Consider Methodology for Identifying Potentially Feasible WMSs; Review of Methodology for Evaluating Water Management Strategies; Approve Both Methodologies

Tom Gooch, FNI, advised that the consultant team has identified methodologies identifying potentially feasible WMSs and evaluating WMSs. The methodology for

evaluating WMSs was considered at the last RCWPG public meeting and was briefly reviewed during the presentation.

Regional Planning rules state that:

- Consultants must present methodology for Identifying Potentially Feasible WMSs to Planning Group
- Planning Group must approve methodology
- Consultants must present criteria for evaluating WMSs to Planning Group (presented at RCWPG Open Meeting held on May 22, 2017)
- Planning Group must approve WMS evaluation criteria

General Method for Identifying PFWMS:

- Similar Methodology as previous rounds
- Conservation is required WMS for all
- WMSs from previous Regional Plans
- Contact with Water Providers
 - Survey of WUGs
 - Meetings with WPPs
- Seek input from Region C Members
- Accept input from public, verify with Water Provider

Categories of WMSs:

- Water Conservation
- Drought Management Measures
- Wastewater Reuse
- Interbasin Transfers (IBT)
- Expanded Use of Existing Supplies
- New Supply Development

General Method for Evaluating PFWMS:

- Presented at May 22, 2017 RCWPG meeting
- Similar Methodology as previous rounds
- Must:
 - Meet a “need”; reasonable % of need
 - Have a sponsor; available supply, implementable
 - Consider end use (quality, distance from user)
 - Meet regulation; permittable
 - Based on proven technology
 - Appropriate for regional planning

There were no public comments on this action item.

Upon a motion from Grace Darling, and a second from Gary Douglas, the RCWPG voted unanimously to approve the Method of Identifying and Evaluating Potentially Feasible Water Management Strategies (WMSs).

- G. Consider Approval for TRA to Execute Contract Amendment with TWDB to include Additional Funds and updated TWDB Regional Planning Rules

Amy Kaarlela, FNI, discussed this item for the RCWPG to consider approval for TRA, the political subdivision, to execute contract amendments with TWDB. This will be under the stipulation that this will be limited to including additional funds and updating TWDB regional planning rules as necessary.

The TWDB will amend all contracts with all Regions in January to include:

- Additional Funding
- Revised TWDB Regional Planning Rules

There were no public comments on this action item.

Upon a motion by Steve Mundt and a second by Jack Stevens, the RCWPG voted unanimously to authorize TRA to execute this contract amendment with TWDB.

- H. Consider Support of the Cities of Hudson Oak and Willow Park's requested Minor Amendment to the *2016 Region C Water Plan* and approve/authorize the planning group to submit this amendment request to the TWDB along with a request for a minor amendment determination

Preston Dillard, Half Associates, presented this item. Since the publication of the *2016 Region C Water Plan*, the cities of Hudson Oak and Willow Park have determined that it is in their best interest to modify their future water supply needs by obtaining potable drinking water from the City of Fort Worth, rather than the City of Weatherford as shown in the last regional plan.

Requested Minor Amendment by Hudson Oaks & Willow Park:

- Cities seeking SWIFT funding for project not in 2016 Region C Plan
- Cities present basic information on amendment/project and RCWPG votes on supporting the cities' request
- If supported by RCWPG, Cities to prepare minor amendment "packet" to TWDB
- TWDB to determine if minor amendment and consider the amendment for approval
- If approved by TWDB, the request goes back to RCWPG for approval

Hudson Oaks:

- Current Population of 1,900
- Projected 2070 Population of 4,808

- Current supplies are City of Weatherford and groundwater
- WMS from 2016 Region C Plan:
 - Conservation
 - Additional Weatherford water (TRWD)

Willow Park:

- Current population of 4,500
- Projected 2070 population of 16,000
- Current supplies are groundwater
- WMS from 2016 Region C Plan:
 - Conservation
 - Purchase treated water from Weatherford (raw water supplied by TRWD)
 - Alternative WMS – purchase treated water from Fort Worth (raw water from TRWD)

Proposed Project:

- 18-inch & 16-inch diameter transmission main
- Approximately 5 miles long
- Includes meter stations, storage, and pumping
- Connects to Fort Worth system near I-20 & FM 1187
- Both Hudson Oaks and Willow Park city councils passed resolutions
- Fort Worth Water Department is supportive of project (has yet to be presented to and approved by city council)

Connie Townsend, TWDB, added a clarifying remark for Willow Park that the Strategy of purchasing water from Fort Worth would be a substitution of a recommended strategy with an alternative strategy rather than a minor amendment. The process for a strategy substitution is less intense than a minor amendment.

Amy Kaarlela, FNI, asked who would make the minor amendment determination. Ms. Townsend replied that the Executive Administrator of the TWDB would make that determination, not the Region CWPG. The Planning Group will submit the packet to the TWDB. The State Water Plan will be amended after the Region C Water Plan.

Chair Puckett advised that the Fort Worth City Council has not approved this amendment/project. Both city councils of Hudson Oaks and Willow Park have passed resolutions in support.

Amy Kaarlela, FNI, added that the cities of Hudson Oaks and Willow Park are seeking support from the Region C Planning Group because SWIFT funding applications are due by February 2, 2018. Ms. Townsend advised that there are a lot of hoops to jump through to obtain SWIFT funding, and there may not be enough time to make that happen. Preston Dillard, Half Associates, added that if approval

is not received this year, these cities would be interested in applying for SWIFT funding next year.

There were no public comments on this action item.

Upon a motion from Fiona Allen and a second from Grace Darling, the RCWPG voted unanimously to support the City of Hudson Oaks' requested Minor Amendment and the City of Willow Park's requested Substitution of a recommended strategy with an alternative strategy to the *2016 Region C Water Plan* and approve/authorize the planning group to submit these requests to the TWDB along with a request for a minor amendment determination for Hudson Oaks.

- I. Consider Support of the City of Westlake's requested Minor Amendment to the *2016 Region C Water Plan* and approve/authorize the planning group to submit this amendment request to the TWDB along with a request for a minor amendment determination

The City of Westlake is currently seeking SWIFT funding for a project that was in the 2016 Region C Water Plan. During initial discussions with the TWDB, it was found that there was a minor omission of some data in TWDB Regional Planning Database (DB17) related to Westlake's project. Because of this omission, the project might be considered "not in the Plan" and would need a Minor Amendment to add it to the plan (to DB17). Connie Townsend, TWDB, advised the Planning Group that upon further review TWDB determined that the project was officially in the 2016 Plan and no amendment was needed. This item is no longer needed.

V. DISCUSSION ITEMS

- A. Schedule – Amy Kaarlela discussed the following upcoming key dates:
 - January 12, 2018 – Population/Demand Projections due
 - September 2018 – Technical Memo on Needs (existing supply minus demands)
 - March 2, 2020 – Initially Prepared Plan due
 - October 14, 2020 – Final Plan Due
 - Next Meetings – Spring/April & August 2018
- B. New and Removed WUGs – Amy Kaarlela led this discussion on Water User Groups (WUGs) as follows:
 - TWDB's new definition of WUGs is Water Providers > 100 acre-feet/year
 - Moved to "service area boundaries" for WUGs rather than city limits
 - Eliminated cities who do not provide their own retail water service
 - Added many SUDs/MUDs/WSCs
 - 52 Added WUGs
 - 47 Removed WUGs
- C. Major Water Provider Designation – Keeley Kirksey, FNI, defined a Major Water Provider (MWP) as:
 - *A water user group or a wholesale water provider of particular significance to the region's water supply as determined by the regional water planning group. This may include public or private entities that provide water for any water use category.*

- A subset of WUGs and/or WWPs
- After discussions with TWDB staff:
 - Intended to give a snapshot of the plans for the region
 - For reporting purposes only. Designation as a MWP does not affect inclusion in the plan, prioritization, or funding eligibility.
- How does the Planning Group want to define “significant”?

MWP vs. WWP

- A WWP is *“Any person or entity...that delivers or sells water wholesale (treated or raw) to WUGs or other WWPs or that the RWPG expects...to deliver or sell water wholesale to WUGs or other WWPs...”*
- 2021 Plan has 36 anticipated WWPs
- WWPs will still be specifically planned for in the text

Proposed Alternatives for Designating MWPs

- Alternative 1 (Recommended) – Large WWPs (DWU, NTMWD, TRA, TRWD, UTRWD)
- Alternative 2 – Regional WWPs as designated in the 2016 Region C Water Plan
- Alternative 3 – Function of amount of water sold in a given year
- Alternative 4 – All WWPs designated as MWPs

Rick Shaffer asked if there is a downside to the designation. Wendy Chi-Babulal asked if the TWDB is looking for non-municipal water providers. Ms. Chi-Babulal also asked what if the electronic database will be able to flag a WUG or WWP. Connie Townsend, TWDB, advised that the Legislature needs a summary of information from a water plan that identifies significant WWPs. Chair Puckett asked if the planning group has to designate major WWPs. Ms. Townsend replied that if the planning group doesn't select any in the information due to the TWDB on September 18, 2018, it will show that Region C does not have any.

Chair Puckett added that the amount of water right owned by a WWP be one of the criteria considered. Puckett also requested the consultants think of different combinations.

- D. Tasks 5A and 5B – Reuse and Conservation – Brian McDonald, APAI, led this discussion.

Task 5A – Reuse Recommendations

- Gather information on existing and planned reuse
 - Surveys
 - Meetings with WWPs/WUGs
 - 210 Authorizations
- Identify potential reuse projects that can help meet projected shortages

Task 5B – Water Conservation Recommendations

- Scope of Work
 - Identify, evaluate and recommend water conservation WMSs
 - Consider water conservation practices and drought management measures for each identified water need
 - Consider strategies to address issues revealed by water loss audits
 - Regional water plan must include:
 - Water conservation practices for each group that is required to develop a Water Conservation Plan
 - Drought management measures for each group that is required to develop a Drought Contingency Plan
 - A water conservation strategy that will result in the highest practicable level of water conservation and efficiency achievable for each WUG/WWP that is obtain water from a proposed interbasin transfer under Texas Water Code 11.085
 - If a water conservation strategy and/or a drought management strategy is not recommended to meet a need, document the reason
 - Provide model water conservation plans
 - Develop a subchapter document that consolidates the RCWPG's water conservation recommendations.
- Approach to water conservation recommendations
 - Gather information on existing and planned conservation practices
 - Surveys
 - Meetings with WWPs/WUGs
 - Water conservation plan and drought contingency plans for WWPs/WUGs
 - Develop a Water Conservation Package that is:
 - Practicable for implementation in Region C
 - Projected to provide long-term water savings
 - Projected to provide reasonable water savings at reasonable cost for a wide range of WUGs
 - Recommend Water Conservation Package for municipal WUGs that meet the following criteria:
 - Projected total water demand exceeds existing water supply
 - Projected total water demand is greater than 140 gpcd
 - Measure is not already implemented
 - Measure is applicable to WUG
 - A sponsor can be identified to implement the measure
 - In the 2016 Region Water Plan, the following Water Conservation Package was recommended for each municipal WUG:
 - Low flow plumbing fixtures
 - Efficient new residential clothes washer standards
 - Efficient new residential dishwasher standards
 - Enhanced public and school education
 - Price elasticity/rate structure impacts
 - Enhanced water loss control program
 - Time-of-day irrigation restrictions

- Water waste prohibition
- Develop water conservation policy recommendations
- Update model water conservation plans
- Develop water conservation recommendations subchapter

Fiona Allen asked what happens if a municipality does not want to comply with these water conservation measures. Amy Kaarlela, FNI, responded that water conservation plans are included in the regional water plan, but there is not a requirement to implement. The regional water planning group has no authority to force compliance or regulate. Chair Puckett added that political pressure is often the best way to motivate compliance, e.g. a WWP can put pressure on management of system with contractors.

E. Task 8 Unique Stream Segments, Unique Reservoir Sites, and Legislative Recommendations – Ellen McDonald, APAI, led this discussion. McDonald stressed the importance of how the planning group wants to approach Task 8 in the next cycle. McDonald discussed:

- Task 8 objective
- Summary of recommendations from 2016 Region C Plan
- Process to develop Task 8 recommendations for current planning cycle.
- Provide recommendations for:
 - Unique stream segment designations
 - Unique reservoir site designations
 - Legislative, administrative and regulatory actions
- Summary of Recommendations from 2016 Region C Plan – Task 8
 - Unique stream segments
 - Convene a working group comprised of representatives of TWDB, TPWD, TCEQ, and the sixteen regions to bring clarity, purpose, and direction to the legislative mandate to “identify river and stream segments of unique ecological value”
 - Unique reservoir sites
 - Continue to designate following reservoir sites as unique sites:
 - Ralph Hall
 - Lower Bois d’Arc Creek
 - Marvin Nichols
 - Tehuacana
 - Fastrill
 - Columbia
 - Recommend that the Texas Legislature designate George Parkhouse (North) as an additional unique site for reservoir construction
 - Encourage continued affirmative votes by sponsors of these reservoirs to make expenditures to construct or apply for permits to preserve the designations
 - Policy and Legislative Recommendations
 - Regional Planning Process
 - TCEQ Policy and Water Rights
 - State Funding and Water Supply Programs
 - Water Reuse and Desalination

- State and Federal Program – Water Supply Issues
- Discussion of Process to Develop Task 8 Recommendations for Current Planning Cycle
 - Consultant budget for Task: \$13,402 (indicates TWDB’s expectation of limited effort by consultants for this task)
 - Process for last cycle:
 - Subcommittee established to discuss and make recommendations to planning group
 - Final adopted recommendations were not substantially different from previous cycle
 - Discussion of changes since last planning cycle
 - Discussion of process for this planning cycle

Chair Puckett asked the planning group if anyone had any comments. Denis Qualls recommended a subcommittee be established to bring recommendations back to the planning group as was done in the previous cycle. Chair Puckett asked Amy Kaarlela, FNI, to research the members on the previous subcommittee, and advised that this subcommittee meeting will need to be posted as an open meeting.

Bill Ceverha asked how reservoirs/aquifers names were designated. Chair Puckett replied that they are designated by the Legislature then special interest groups. Chair Puckett added that there are protections by law to designations as unique reservoir and stream sites.

- F. Legislative Updates – Amy Kaarlela, FNI, led this discussion on the following:
- SB347 – Requires all RWPG Members and Alternates to take Open Meetings Act Training
 - HB2215 – Changes GMA process/timing of adopting Desired Future Conditions (DFCs) to sync with 5-year regional planning cycles
 - SB1511
 - Adds new non-voting member to RWPG from Texas State Soil & Water Conservation Board (Rusty Gray, TSSWCB designee for Region C attended meeting)
 - Requires RWPG meeting location to be “readily accessible to the public”
 - Simplified planning option
 - Remove “infeasible” projects from the Plan (*no action by sponsor when project is needed*)
- G. Public Participation Strategies – Colby Walton, Cooksey Communications, led this discussion. Walton said his goal is Public Awareness and suggested:
- Feedback from Planning Group for ideas in lieu of quarterly/semiannual newsletters
 - Ways to keep the public better informed and engaged through informational materials and communication channels
 - Engage not only the public but also the private sector, civic and business groups
 - One-Pager or Small Brochure: Overview of Region C Water Planning
 - Who/What is the RCWPG (members, role)
 - Where is Region C: geographic area/map

- The Need: demand & population projections
- Current Plan: summary of recommended Water Management Strategies
- The Importance of Conservation and Reuse
- Current Process and Next Steps (including opportunities for public input)
- Contact Info
- PowerPoint Presentation/Slides for Use in Speaking Engagements by Members
- Elevator Speech and Key Messages
 - Internal Guide/Reference Material for Planning Group Members
 - Elevator Speech: 2-3 sentence description of what Region C Water Planning is, why it's important
 - Key Messages/Q&A: summary of key information on various Regional Water Planning topics
- Website Updates
 - Conversion to a more user-friendly, easily updated and mobile-responsive format (WordPress)
 - Addition of one-pager/brochure
 - Update of keywords/terms glossary
 - Updates to media materials
 - Feature quarterly theme/key messages highlighting what's happening now, most important
- Need Input from Planning Group
 - What are the key messages to emphasize for the next 6-8 months
 - What presentations have you made recently?
 - What feedback/questions are you getting that we can address through Region C's communications materials (handouts, website)?

Walton advised he will have a draft for the planning group by early 2018. Walton asked if the planning group had any questions or feedback. Steve Mundt asked if anyone is monitoring hits on the RCWPG website. Amy Kaarlela, FNI, answered that the website is old and does not monitor hits. Walton said it could possibly tie into Google Analytics to record the hits. Grace Darling asked if a contact list could be included on the website. Walton advised the current website can be updated to include contact information.

- H. Next Steps – Amy Kaarlela, FNI, led this discussion. Key points made by Kaarlela:
- Begin finalizing existing supplies – Task 3
 - Allocate existing supplies
 - Determine Needs (demand vs. existing supply)
 - Produce Technical Memo – Task 4C which is due September 2018
 - Begin Identifying Potentially Feasible WMSs (part of Technical Memo)
 - Develop region-specific portion of scope for Task 5A

VI. OTHER DISCUSSION

- A. Updates from the Chair - None
- B. Report from Regional Liaisons

- Region B – Jack Stevens advised there will be a meeting in January. One item on agenda is to approve Drought of Record which is affecting the livestock industry.
 - Region D - David Nabors advised that the July 26th meeting was similar to the Region C meeting; February 5, 2018, is the next Region D meeting. Nabors emphasized the important of educating the public on water conservation; he would like to see everyone work together to take information into schools and involve teachers.
 - Region I – Connie Standridge advised that the Region I WPG next meeting is February 21, 2018.
- C. Report from Texas Water Development Board – Connie Townsend, TWDB, spoke on the following topics:
- Proposed Updates to TWDB rules/guidance:
 - TWDB is updating their rules and guidance to address the statutory changes that occurred during the 85th Texas Legislative Regular Session (SB347, HB2215, & SB1511).
 - December 7th, TWDB approved publishing proposed draft rules and those are posted on the TWDB website. Anticipate to post to Texas Register December 22nd, and public comments will be accepted through January 31, 2018.
 - The associated proposed changes to RWP Contract Exhibit C Guidance have been posted for public comment with same timeline.
 - State Water Plan Amendment was approved on December 7th.
 - SWIFT (FY18) – The Fiscal Year 2018 SWIFT program opened December 8th and Abridged Applications will be accepted through February 2nd.
 - January 12, 2018 – Deadline to submit RWPG projections revision requests and WWP list to TWDB.
 - March – April 2018 – This is the anticipated timeframe that projections for the 2021 RWPs will be taken to TWDB's governing board for adoption consideration.
 - Next RWPG items to consider:
 - Determination of needs once final water demand projections have been approved by TWDB Board this spring and the RWPG has determined existing supplies.
 - Subsequently, as the RWPG develops SOW for WMS evaluations (Task 5A), these can be submitted to TWDB for amendment into its contract and receive notice to proceed for evaluations.
 - Technical Memo and MWPs List due September 10, 2018.
- D. Report from Texas Department of Agriculture - None
- E. Report from Texas Parks and Wildlife Department – Adam Whisenant commented that they can help with Task 8 – Unique Reservoirs and stream designations.
- F. Other Reports
- G. Confirm Date and Location of Next Meetings –April 9; Aug 20; 1pm, NCTCOG, 616 Six Flags Drive, Centerpoint Two Building, First Floor Transportation Council Room, Arlington, Texas 76011

VII. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting of the RCWPG adjourned at approximately 3:35 P.M.

JODY PUCKETT, Chair