

Summary of Survey Responses by Water User Groups on Current and Future Water Supplies

WUG/Name of Political Subdivision	Survey Returned	Demands	Sources	Proposed Strategies	Follow-Up?	Comments
Able Springs WSC						
Addison	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay	*	Implementing conservation rate and other conservation measures. Considering ASR. Confused by supply-demand graph.
Aledo						
Allen	Yes	Too High	Okay	Okay		Considering NTMWD model plan conservation strategies.
Alvord						
Anna						
Annetta						
Annetta South						
Argyle						
Argyle WSC	Yes	???	Okay	Okay		Expect to grow 5-7% per year for at least 10-20 years.
Arlington	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Athens	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		Communicated with Athens MWA, which is also okay with the projections.
Aubrey						
Aurora						
Azle						
Balch Springs						
Bardwell	Yes	Okay	???	???	*	
Bartonville						
Bartonville WSC						
Bedford	Yes	Okay	Okay			
Bells	Yes	Okay to High	Okay	Okay		Population projections may be high.
Benbrook	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		Expansion may be faster than shown.
Bethel-Ash WSC	Yes	Too Low		Okay		Population should be about twice as high in 2060.
Bethesda WSC	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Blackland WSC	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Blooming Grove						
Blue Mound						Served by Tecon and will not respond.
Blue Ridge						
Bolivar WSC	Yes	Okay	See Comment	Okay		Denton and GTUA are possible additional sources.
Bonham	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Boyd						
Brandon-Irene WSC	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		

Summary of Survey Responses by Water User Groups on Current and Future Water Supplies

WUG/Name of Political Subdivision	Survey Returned	Demands	Sources	Proposed Strategies	Follow-Up?	Comments
Bridgeport						
Bryson						
Buena Vista - Bethel SUD	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Burleson	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		Population may be too low.
Caddo Basin SUD	Yes	Too Low	Okay	Okay		Population growing 10% per year.
Carrollton	Yes	Okay	See Comment	See Comment		Feels survey is too early to identify future strategies.
Cash WSC	Yes	Too Low	See Comment	See Comment		2003 population is 15,740; 1,562 acre-feet retail sales; 315 acre-feet wholesale sales. Cash has been growing 4% per year. Cash has 1,792 ac-ft/yr with NTMWD and 3.585 acre-feet per year with SRA.
Cedar Hill						***** See Wholesale Water Provider survey results. *****
Celina	Yes	Too Low	See Comment	See Comment	*	Demand should be based on 250 gpcd (like other area suburbs). City now has a contract with UTRWD (1.5 mgd, to 3.0 mgd by 2007). Also considering NTMWD and GTUA as sources.
Chatfield WSC	Yes	Okay	Okay	See Comment		"Until the state of Texas gets enough backbone to stop the unlimited pumping of good treated water on the ground to grow grass, etc., so that everybody can keep up with the 'Jones' as who has the greenest yard, all strategies are a joke."
Chico						
Cockrell Hill						
College Mound WSC						
Colleyville						
Collinsville	Yes	Okay - See Comment	Okay	Okay		Demands may be too low if growth continues at current rate.
Combine	Yes	Too Low	Okay	Okay		NCTCOG and city project faster growth. Served by Combine WSC.
Combine WSC	Yes		Okay	See Comment		"Conservation is a must. Elimination of outside sprinkling systems is the most practical answer."
Community Water Company	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Community WSC	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Coppell	Yes	2000 Actual too Low	Okay	Okay	*	Feels that 2000 actual demands are inaccurate (low). Wants to see projected supplies with new supply projects as well as current supply.
Copper Canyon						
Corinth						
Corsicana						***** See Wholesale Water Provider survey results. *****
Crandall	Yes	Too Low	Okay	Okay		Want to participate in future regional water supplies developed by NTMWD or any other wholesaler.
Cross Roads						
Crowley	Yes	Too Low	Okay	Okay		
Culleoka WSC	Yes	Okay	See Comment	See Comment	*	Currently contracting with the City of Princeton. Princeton has indicated that it will not increase amount (inadequate) and will not extend contract beyond 2020. NTMWD will not respond to requests for direct purchase. Help would be appreciated.
Dallas						***** See Wholesale Water Provider survey results. *****
Dallas County WCID #6						

Summary of Survey Responses by Water User Groups on Current and Future Water Supplies

WUG/Name of Political Subdivision	Survey Returned	Demands	Sources	Proposed Strategies	Follow-Up?	Comments
Dalworthington Gardens						
Danville WSC	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Dawson	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Decatur						
Denison						
Denton	***** See Wholesale Water Provider survey results. *****					
Denton County FWSD	Yes	See Comment	See Comment	See Comment	*	Population and demand projections too low early, too high late. May turn to Lewisville for additional supply when they need more than the 2.7 mgd currently contracted with Lewisville.
DeSoto						
Double Oak	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Duncanville						
East Cedar Creek FWSD						
East Fork SUD						
Ector	Yes	Too Low	See Comment			May also go to the Trinity aquifer.
Edgecliff Village						
Ennis	Yes					Ennis should get 55 percent of the yield of Lake Bardwell (by contract). Beginning 10/01/05, Ennis can get 3,988 acre-feet per year from TRWD. Ennis sells to East Garrett, Community, and Rice WSCs.
Eules	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Eustace	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Everman						
Fairfield	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Fairview	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Farmers Branch						
Farmersville						
Ferris	Yes	Too Low	See Comment			Expects population to double in next 10 years. Add Dallas as a water supply option.
Files Valley WSC	Yes	Too Low	See Comment			They only use surface water from Aquilla WSC. They supply Milford (84 ac-ft from Files, 43 from wells in 2003) and Parker WSC (151 ac-ft from Files, 82 from wells in 2003). They used 701 ac-ft in 2003.
Flo Community WSC						
Flower Mound						
Forest Hill	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Forney	***** See Wholesale Water Provider survey results. *****					
Forney Lake WSC						
Fort Worth	***** See Wholesale Water Provider survey results. *****					
Frisco	Yes	See Comment	Okay	Okay		Population and demand projections too low early, too high late.
Frost	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay	*	

Summary of Survey Responses by Water User Groups on Current and Future Water Supplies

WUG/Name of Political Subdivision	Survey Returned	Demands	Sources	Proposed Strategies	Follow-Up?	Comments
Gainesville	Yes	Okay	See Comment	See Comment	*	Survey says "Woodbine Aquifer?" Gainesville plans to develop surface water from Moss Lake up to 8,060 acre-feet per year.
Garland						***** See Wholesale Water Provider survey results. *****
Gastonia-Scurry WSC	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Glenn Heights						
Grand Prairie	Yes	Too Low - See Comment	Okay	See Comment		Refers to Freese and Nichols Water System Master Plan currently underway for projections. Considering wholesale purchase from Midlothian.
Grapevine	Yes	Too High	Okay	Okay	*	
Gun Barrel City	Yes					Water/Sewer utilities are provided by East Cedar Creek Fresh Water
Gunter						
Gunter SUD	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Hackberry						
Haltom City	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Haslet	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Heath	Yes	Okay	Okay			Plan to purchase directly from (rather than from Rockwall) NTMWD starting this year.
Hebron						
Hickory Creek						
Hickory Creek SUD	Yes	See Comment	Okay	Okay		Demand is too high early, too high late.
High Point WSC						
Highland Park	Yes	Okay	Okay	See Comment	*	Didn't see proposed water management strategies.
Highland Village	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Honey Grove	Yes	Okay	See Comment	See Comment		Supply should be 653 acre-feet per year.
Howe	Yes	See Comment	Okay	See Comment		Hard to tell, but growth seems to be higher than the projections. Part of water supply coalition with Van Alstyne, Anna, and Melissa.
Hudson Oaks						
Hurst						
Hutchins						
Irving	Yes	Okay		See Comment	*	Potential strategies include reuse and Ralph Hall.
Italy	Yes	Okay	See Comment	See Comment		More surface water supplies needed in southern Ellis County.
Jacksboro						Indicated that they have no comments.
Johnson County Rural WSC						
Josephine						
Justin						

Summary of Survey Responses by Water User Groups on Current and Future Water Supplies

WUG/Name of Political Subdivision	Survey Returned	Demands	Sources	Proposed Strategies	Follow-Up?	Comments
Kaufman	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Keller						
Kemp	Yes	Too Low	See Comment	See Comment	*	Plan \$3.5 million in improvements improve to improve quality, provide additional supplies, and serve Becker-Jiba WSC.
Kennedale						
Kerens	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Kiowa Homeowners WSC						
Krugerville						
Krum						
Ladonia	Yes		Okay	See Comment		Supports proposed Ralph Hall Lake.
Lake Dallas	Yes	See Comment	See Comment	See Comment		All water from Lake Cities MUD.
Lake Worth	Yes	Okay	See Comment	See Comment	*	Planned \$2.0 million project to remove wells and add ground storage.
Lakeside						
Lancaster						
Lavon WSC	Yes	Too Low	See Comment		*	Questions the reduction in supply from NTMWD.
Leonard	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Lewisville	Yes	Too High	See Comment	See Comment		Lewisville plans to get future supplies from Dallas, with UTRWD providing water around 2050 if needed.
Lincoln Park						
Lindsay	Yes	Too Low	See Comment			Current supply (from Trinity Aquifer) is adequate for foreseeable future.
Little Elm	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Log Cabin						
Lowry Crossing						
Lucas	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Luella WSC	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
MEN WSC						
Mabank	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Mac Bee WSC						
Malakoff	Yes	Okay	See Comment	See Comment	*	Not considering new wells. Will begin using Cedar Creek water in June 2004.
Mansfield	Yes	Too Low	Okay	See Comment	*	Population projections are too low, and per capita use will also increase. Water Plant expansion underway, and another one planned for 2010 time-frame.

Summary of Survey Responses by Water User Groups on Current and Future Water Supplies

WUG/Name of Political Subdivision	Survey Returned	Demands	Sources	Proposed Strategies	Follow-Up?	Comments
Maypearl	Yes	Too Low	See Comment			Responded by telephone. Have Trinity and Woodbine wells. Population growth higher than projected.
McKinney	Yes	See Comment	Okay	Okay		Too low early, too high late. Project extremely rapid population growth through 2030, with build-out lower than 2060 projection.
McLendon-Chisholm						
Melissa	Yes	Okay	Okay			
Mesquite	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Midlothian	***** See Wholesale Water Provider survey results. *****					
Milford	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Milligan WSC	Yes	Too Low	Okay	Okay		
Mineral Wells	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Mountain Peak WSC						
Mt Zion WSC						
Muenster	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Murphy						
Mustang SUD	Yes	Too Low	Okay	Okay		
Navarro Mills WSC	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Nevada						
New Fairview						
New Hope						
Newark						
North Collin WSC	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		Population may grow faster than projected.
North Hunt WSC	Yes	Okay	See Comment	See Comment		Currently have wells, purchase from Commerce (negotiating amount), purchase from Ladonia. Not considering purchase from NTMWD. Considering drilling wells and regional system from Ralph Hall.
Northlake	Yes	Too Low	See Comment	See Comment		All water is currently from Fort Worth. Not planning to purchase from UTRWD. Planning to meet growth from Fort Worth.
North Richland Hills	***** See Wholesale Water Provider survey results. *****					
Oak Grove						
Oak Leaf	Yes	Too Low	See Comment			Currently get water from Glenn Heights, Rockett SUD, and Sardis-Lone Elm WSC.
Oak Point						
Ovilla	Yes		See Comment	See Comment	*	Responded by telephone. Ovilla buys water from Dallas and lets Dallas plan for the supply.
Palmer						
Pantego	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		Responded by telephone.
Parker						
Payne Springs						

Summary of Survey Responses by Water User Groups on Current and Future Water Supplies

WUG/Name of Political Subdivision	Survey Returned	Demands	Sources	Proposed Strategies	Follow-Up?	Comments
Pecan Hill	Yes					Indicated that town is served by Rockett SUD and deferred to Rockett SUD for responses.
Pelican Bay	Yes	Okay	Okay	See Comment		Currently constructing 75 gpm well and 150,000 gallon ground storage tank. Have plans for six more.
Pilot Point	Yes	Okay	Okay			
Plano	Yes	Too High	Okay	Okay	*	Population projections are too high.
Ponder	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		Would like too use wells as long as possible and possibly even drill more.
Pottsboro	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Princeton	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Prosper	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
R C H WSC	Yes	Okay	See Comment	Okay		RCH gets water from City of Rockwall, not from Mount Zion WSC.
Red Oak						
Reno						
Rhome	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Rice						
Rice WSC						
Richardson	Yes	See Comment				Commented on population, but their numbers almost exactly match Region C numbers.
Richland Hills	Yes	Okay	See Comment	Okay		Commented that they get water from the Paluxy as well as the Trinity. Groundwater is 30-40% of demand.
River Oaks	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Roanoke						
Rockett SUD						
Rockwall						***** See Wholesale Water Provider survey results. *****
Rowlett	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		Said demands are okay but included population projections with build out lower than projections.
Royse City	Yes	Too Low	Okay	Okay		
Runaway Bay	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Sachse						
Saginaw						
Saint Paul	Yes	Okay	Okay			
Sanger						
Sansom Park						
Sardis-Lone Elm WSC	Yes	Too Low	Okay	Okay		Surface water needed ultimately.
Savoy	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Seagoville						
Seven Points						
Shady Shores						

Summary of Survey Responses by Water User Groups on Current and Future Water Supplies

WUG/Name of Political Subdivision	Survey Returned	Demands	Sources	Proposed Strategies	Follow-Up?	Comments
Sherman	Yes	Too Low	See Comment	See Comment	*	Sherman provided considerable information. They want to pursue water from Lower Bois d'Arc Creek Lake or other affordable supplies. They show a higher total supply from current sources than we do. They say that they are not currently purchasing water f
South Grayson WSC						
Southlake						
Southmayd						
Southwest Fannin County SUD						
Springtown						
Sunnyvale						
Talty	Yes	See Comment	See Comment	See Comment		Service Is provided by Talty WSC and Gastonia-Scurry WSC.
Teague						
Terrell	***** See Wholesale Water Provider survey results. *****					
The Colony	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Tioga	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Tom Bean	Yes	Maybe Too Low	Okay	New Well within 2 years		New well will be in the Trinity Aquifer.
Tool						
Trenton						
Trinidad						
Trophy Club						
Turlington WSC	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Two Way WSC	Yes	Okay	See Comment	Okay		Currently drilling another well in the Trinity Aquifer.
University Park						
Valley View	Yes					Supplied by Bolivar WSC.
Van Alstyne						
Virginia Hill WSC	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Walnut Creek SUD	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Watauga	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Waxahachie	***** See Wholesale Water Provider survey results. *****					
Weatherford	***** See Wholesale Water Provider survey results. *****					
West Cedar Creek MUD	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
West Wise Rural WSC	Yes	Too Low	See Comment	See Comment		They have been growing 3.8% per year in recent years. West Wise Rural WSC has a contract with TRWD for 1,120 acre-feet per year. They buy 28 acre-feet per year from Walnut Creek SUD. They sell <u>only</u> 11 acre-feet per year to Chico. Between 2020 and 203
Weston	Yes	Too Low	Okay	See Comment		Weston is a participant in the GTUA/NTMWD pipeline project with Anna, Melissa, Howe, and Van Alstyne.

Summary of Survey Responses by Water User Groups on Current and Future Water Supplies

WUG/Name of Political Subdivision	Survey Returned	Demands	Sources	Proposed Strategies	Follow-Up?	Comments
Westover Hills						
Westworth Village						
White Settlement	Yes	Okay	See Comment	Okay		Groundwater quantity is too low - the city produces 1.08 mgd.
Whitesboro	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		Response by telephone.
Whitewright						
Willow Park						Not planning to respond at this time.
Wilmer	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Woodbine WSC						
Wortham						
Wylie						

Summary of Survey Responses by Wholesale Water Providers on Current and Future Water Supplies

Name of Wholesale Water Provider	Survey Returned/Met	Demands	Sources	Proposed Strategies	Follow-Up?	Comments
Athens MWA	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		Based on Communication from City of Athens. Added local irrigation demands at Lake Athens.
Cedar Hill						WWP does not have time to return completed survey to us.
Corsicana	Yes	Okay	Okay	See Comments		Corsicana plans to develop supplies from Richland-Chambers Lake and to expand the Lake Halbert supply in conjunction with that.
Dallas	Yes	Okay	See Comments	See Comments		Currently plant capacities are Elm Fork - 310 mgd, Bachman - 120 mgd, and Eastside 440 mgd. Bachman will be increased to 150 mgd by 2006, Eastside to 540 mgd by 2009 and 700 mgd ultimately. New southeast plant at 100 mgd by 2015, up to 200 mgd ultimately. Sources of supply to consider include return flows above the lakes, Lake Fork connection, Lake Palestine connection, Marvin Nichols 1, reuse, treatment plant expansions, Toledo Bend, Lake Texoma, Cypress basin, Oklahoma, Wright Patman reallocation, Carrizo-Wilcox water, Lake Columbia, additional Lake Palestine, and Sam Rayburn. Contract with Rockett SUD, Red Oak, and Waxahachie has been approved. Ovilla and Combine (now indirect customers) may become direct customers. Other potential customers include Ennis, Ferris, North Texas MWD, Palmer, customers of Rockett SUD, Wilmer, Celina (through UTRWD), Bolivar WSC (through UTRWD), and Valley View (through UTRWD and Bolivar WSC).
Dallas County Park Cities MUD	Yes	Okay	Okay	No comment		
Denton						
Forney	Yes	Too Low	Okay	Okay		Forney sees more rapid growth than projected. Forney has been approached by High Point, Talty WSC, and Markout WSC for the purchase of water. However, they may buy directly from NTMWD. There are many MUDs and FWSDs forming in Kaufman County, and they may drive much more rapid growth than projected. (See meeting notes.)
Fort Worth	Yes	Too Low	Okay	See Comments		Fort Worth sees more rapid growth than projected. Aledo and Aquasource in southwest Denton County are possible future customers. Plans to expand treatment capacity from 370 mgd to 755 mgd by 2023. Would like to consider using BRA water.
Garland	Yes		Okay	Okay		Will sell additional reuse water if they find customers.
Greater Texoma Utility Authority	Yes	Okay	Okay	See Comments		Reviewed potential water supply strategies in detail. Plan to pursue water from Lake Texoma.
Lake Cities MUA	Yes	See Comments	See Comments	No comment		Expects demands to peak at 2,000 af/y in 2020 when customer cities reach buildout. Groundwater is used for peak needs and capacity is 500 gpm due to pump station limitations. Contract with UTRWD is 3.8 MGD. Lake Cities does not plan to drill new wells.
Midlothian	Yes	No comment	See Comments	No comment		City has secured a long term contract for additional water from TRWD (6.0 MGD). Minimum take or pay of 1.5 MGD beginning 9/2012. Minimum take or pay of 3.0 MGD beginning 9/2022.
Midlothian Water District						
North Richland Hills	Yes	See Comments	Okay	Okay		Projections appear accurate to 2020. However projected buildout is in that same time frame based on current data. Demand should level-off to an extent, with only slight increases beyond that.
North Texas MWD	Yes	Okay	Okay	See Comments		Current water treatment plant capacity is 630 mgd, with plans for 140 mgd more by 2007 and another 140 mgd by 2012. Currently planned sources include conservation, additional Wilson Creek reuse, additional Texoma water, Oklahoma water, Lower Bois d'Arc Creek lake, Marvin Nichols I, Toledo Bend/Lake For water, and East Fork reuse. Other options to investigate include more Texoma water, Mesa groundwater, treated water from Dallas, and others.
Parker County UD #1	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		None

Summary of Survey Responses by Wholesale Water Providers on Current and Future Water Supplies

Name of Wholesale Water Provider	Survey Returned/Met	Demands	Sources	Proposed Strategies	Follow-Up?	Comments
Rockwall	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		Chuck Todd, City Engineer for the City of Rockwall, via phone call agrees with projections; agrees with current supplies; agrees with suggested future supplies; and has no comments on the regional water planning process.
Sabine River Authority	Yes	See Comments	Okay	See Comments		Region C is working with the SRA to determine demands for upper basin customers on SRA sources. Add strategy of moving water from Toledo Bend to supply upper basin customers.
Sulphur River Water District	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		None
Tarrant Regional Water District	Yes	Okay				Potential customers include Johnson and Ellis Counties Other, Buena Vista-Bethel SUD, Italy, Maypearl, Annetta (through Weatherford), Hudson Oaks (Weatherford), Willow Park (Weatherford), Parker County Other (Weatherford), Kennedale (Fort Worth or Arlington), and Pantego (Fort Worth or Arlington). Potential sources of additional supply include Richland-Chambers high service (2005), West Fork connection (2008), Richland-Chambers reuse (by 2010), Cedar Creek reuse (by 2020), third east Texas pipeline (around 2018), Toledo Bend, Oklahoma water, Marvin Nichols. Other options include Lake Texoma and developing Lake Tehuacana.
Terrell	Yes	Okay	Okay	Okay		
Trinity River Authority	Yes	Okay	See Comments	See Comments		TRA is concerned with the way that existing TRWD supplies are divided.
Upper Neches Municipal Water Authority	Yes	Too Low - See Comment				Region I demands around Tyler are too low. UNRMWA has about 20,000 acre-feet per year of uncommitted supply, but they are not interested in selling the water at this time. Tyler has completed facilities to use Lake Palestine water. UNRMWA has a very good relationship with Dallas. Maintaining that good relationship is a priority.
Upper Trinity RWD	Yes	Too Low. See Comment	Okay	See Comments		Population and demands for Celina are too low, while they are too high for Lewisville and Flower Mound. Extensive comments on future supplies. (See meeting notes.)
Waxahachie	Yes	See Comments	See Comments	Okay	*	Waxahachie has a contract for 1.5 mgd sales to Rockett SUD, part of which passes through to Ferris, Pecan Hill and Red Oak. The three cities are not customers of Waxahachie. Waxahachie has a contract for 5,213 acre-feet per year with TRWD and will also take 1,772 acre-feet per year from TRWD to pass through for Rockett SUD. These should be counted as current supplies.
Weatherford	Yes	Okay	See Comments	See Comments		The recently-completed pipeline from Lake Benbrook will deliver 16 mgd with the current 4 pumps and up to 21 mgd with 5 pumps installed. Hudson Oaks currently has a contract for 90,909 acre-feet per day from Weatherford. An expansion of the water treatment plant is currently under design.
Wise County WSD						

WUG/Name of Political Subdivision	Survey Returned	Demands	Sources	Proposed Strategies	Follow-Up?	Comments
Collin County	Yes	Too Low - See Comments				Population projected is lower than in the County Mobility Plan.
Cooke County	Yes					No comments.
Dallas County	No					
Denton County	No					
Ellis County	Yes					No comments.
Fannin County	Yes	Irrigation too High - See Comments				Expects irrigation demands to decline as fuel costs increase.
Freestone County	No					
Grayson County	Yes					No comments.
Henderson County	Yes	Too Low				Projected population of 730,000 and demand of 79,000 acre-feet per year by 2060.
Jack County	Yes					No comments.
Kaufman County	Yes					No comments.
Navarro County	No					
Parker County	No					
Rockwall County	No					
Tarrant County	No					Does not see fit to participate in survey. Does not intend to respond to the survey.
Wise County	Yes	See Comments				Concerned about rapid growth and possible contamination of groundwater from salt-water injection wells.