

Table 0.1
Potentially Feasible Water Management Strategies for Regional Wholesale Water Providers

Water Management Strategies	DWU	TRWD	NTWWD	TRA	UTRW	DCPCMUD	CTUA	Fort Worth	Corsicana
Conservation*:	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Drought Management:									
Implementation of Drought Contingency Plans/Measures as needed	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Reuse:									
Main Stem Pump Station	PF								
Main Stem Balancing Reservoir	PF								
Direct Reuse	PF			PF	PF			PF	
Cedar Creek Reuse (Wetlands)		PF							
Reuse for Steam Electric Power									
Ennis Indirect Reuse				PF					
Joe Pool Reuse				PF					
Reuse from TRA Central Regional WWTP		PF		PF					
Additional Reuse (TBD)		PF	PF						
Existing Supplies:									
Expansion of Treatment and Delivery System	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF		PF	PF	PF
IPL Connection to Bachman	PF								
Lake Texoma Desalination	PF		PF				PF		
Toledo Bend	PF	PF	PF		PF				
Carrizo-Wilcox Groundwater from Upshur, Wood, Smith Counties	PF								
Carrizo-Wilcox Groundwater from Counties TBD		PF							
IPL Connect to Lake Palestine	PF								
IPL Connection of Existing Supplies (Cedar Creek and Richland-Chambers)		PF							
Oklahoma		PF	PF		PF				
Removal of Chapman Silt Barrier									
Dredge Lake Lavon									
Add'l measure to access full Lavon yield			PF						
Chapman Booster Pump Station			PF						
Lake Texoma blending			PF		PF				
Lake O' the Pines			PF						
Freestone/Anderson Co Groundwater (Forestar)			PF						
Purchase of Additional Supplies from current provider					PF				
Renew Contract for Supplies from current provider					PF				
Lake Texoma Raw water for SEP							PF		
Navarro Mills (additional)									PF
Conjunctive Use:									
Conjunctive use of Ground & Surface water	PF								
Aquifer Storage and Recovery		PF							
Development of New Supplies:									
Lower Bois d'Arc Reservoir (New IBT)			PF						
Sulphur Basin Supplies (New IBT)	PF	PF	PF		PF				
Marvin Nichols Reservoir (New IBT)		PF	PF		PF				
Ralph Hall Reservoir (New IBT)					PF				
George Parkhouse North Lake (New IBT)			PF		PF				
George Parkhouse South Lake (New IBT)			PF		PF				
Lake Columbia (New IBT)	PF								
Lake Tehuacana		PF							
Neches Run-of-River Diversions (IBT)	PF								
Red River Off Channel Reservoir (New IBT)	PF				PF				
Sabine Off Channel Reservoir (New IBT)	PF								
Richland-Chambers Reservoir for SEP									PF
Development of Regional Water Supply or Providing Regional Management of Water Supply Facilities**:									
Fannin County Water Supply Project			PF						
Fannin County Water Supply Project							PF		
Collin-Grayson Municipal Alliance							PF		
Voluntary Transfer of Water (incl. regional water banks, sales, leases, options, subordination agreements, and financing agreements):									
Interim Purchase from DWU		PF							
Emergency Transfer of Water (Section 11.139):									
System Optimization, Subordination, Leases, Enhancement of Yield, Improvement of Water Quality									
System Operation	PF	PF	PF						
Desalination:									
Desalination Plant - Northeast Grayson, Sherman, Denison							PF		

Blanks Indicate nPF = determined 'not potentially feasible' (may include WMSs that were initially considered)

PF = considered 'potentially feasible' and therefore evaluated

Green indicates new PFWMS from 2015 Plan, Red indicates removed PFWMS from 2015 Plan, and Purple indicates 2015 WMS that is now an existing supply

* Note: Specific Conservation Strategies are listed in a separate analysis.

** Note: All strategies for wholesale water suppliers could be considered as "Development of Regional Water Supply"
IBT denotes a Permitted Interbasin Transfer.

New IBT denotes an Interbasin Transfer requiring a new IBT permit.

Table O.2
Potentially Feasible Water Management Strategies for Local Wholesale Water Providers

Water Management Strategies	Arlington	Athens MWA	Denison	Denton	Emms	Forney	Gainesville	Garland	Grand Prairie	Mansfield	Middletown	Mustang SUD	North Richland Hills	Princeton	Rockert SUD	Rockwall	Seagoville	Sherman	Terrell	Walnut Creek SUD	Waxahatchie	Weatherford	Wise Co. WSD
Conservation*:	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Drought Management:																							
Implementation of Drought Contingency Plans as needed	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Reuse:																							
Athens Indirect Reuse		PF																					
Indirect Reuse to Lake Weatherford/Sunshine																						PF	
Reallocation/Management of Existing Supplies:																							
Expansion of Treatment and Delivery System		PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Expansion of Raw Water Supply System																						PF	
Conjunctive Use:																							
Acquisition of Available Existing Supplies:																							
Purchase of Additional Supplies from current provider	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Additional Lake Texoma			PF																				
Begin Purchasing from Arlington								PF															
Development of New Supplies:																							
New Wells in Carrizo-Wilcox		PF																					
Development of Regional Water Supply or Providing Regional Management of Water Supply Facilities**:																							
Infrastructure to deliver to Cooke County WUGS								PF															
Grayson County Water Supply Project		PF	PF														PF						
Voluntary Transfer of Water (incl. regional water banks, sales, leases, options, subordination agreements, and financing agreements):																							
Emergency Transfer of Water (Section 11.139):																							
System Optimization, Subordination, Leases, Enhancement of Yield, Improvement of Water Quality																							
System Operation			PF		PF																		
Desalination:																							
Desalination Plant			PF														PF						

Blanks Indicate nPF = determined 'not potentially feasible' (may include WMSs that were initially considered)
PF = considered 'potentially feasible' and therefore evaluated

* Note: Specific Conservation Strategies are listed in a separate analysis.
** Note: All strategies for wholesale water suppliers could be considered as "Development of Regional Water Supply"

Table O.3 - Potentially Feasible Water Management Strategies for Collin County Municipal WUGs*

Water Management Strategies	Allen	Anna	Bear Creek SUD	B H P WSC	Blue Ridge	Caddo Basin SUD	Celina	Copeville SUD	County-Other	Culleoka WSC	East Fork SUD	Fairview	Farmersville	Frisco	Frognot WSC	Josephine	Lucas	Marilee SUD	McKinney	Melissa	Milligan WSC	Murphy	Nevada SUD	North Collin SUD	North Farmersville WSC	Parker	Plano	Princeton	Prosper	Seis Lagos UD	Verona SUD	Westminster WSC	Wylie	Wylie Northeast SUD	Irrigation	Manufacturing	SEP		
WMSs NAMED TO BE CONSIDERED BY STATUTE																																							
Conservation	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	
Drought Management																																							
Implement Drought Contingency Plan/measures as needed	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	
Reuse																																							
Reallocation/ Management of Existing Supplies																																							
Expansion of Treatment and Delivery System						PF					PF								PF						PF			PF					PF						
Desalination																																							
Conjunctive Use																																							
Acquisition of Available Existing Supplies																																							
Additional Supplies from current provider	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	
Begin Purchasing from NTMWD							PF																																
Grayson County Water Supply Project		PF																																					
New wells in Trinity Aquifer																																							
New wells in Woodbine Aquifer														PF																									
New wells																		PF																				PF	
Development of New Supplies																																							
New Surface water																																							
New Groundwater																																							
Development of Regional Water Supply or Providing Regional Management of Water Supply Facilities																																							
Voluntary Transfer of Water (incl. regional water banks, sales, leases, options, subordination agreements, and financing agreements)																																							
Emergency Transfer of Water (Section 11.139)																																							
Additional WMSs named to be considered by rule**																																							
System optimization, reallocation of reservoir storage, contracts, water marketing, enhancement of yield, improvement of water quality																																							
Interbasin Transfer																																							
Aquifer Storage and Recovery																																							

Blanks indicate nPF = determined 'not potentially feasible' (may include WMSs that were initially considered or identified as potentially feasible)

PF = considered 'potentially feasible' and therefore evaluated

*If a WUG is located in Multiple Counties, it is only shown on the Appendix O table for the County in which the majority of the WUG is located. WUGs that are also WWPs are not listed here. See Tables O.1 and O.2

**Region C does not consider the following WMSs to be potentially feasible for Region C WUGs: brush control; precipitation enhancement; cancellation of water rights; and rainwater harvesting. Region C supports rainwater harvesting on an individual basis but does not consider it to be a strategy that is feasible for a water provider to implement.

Table O.4 - Potentially Feasible Water Management Strategies for Cooke County Municipal WUGs*

Water Management Strategies	Cooke County Municipal WUGs										
	Callisburg WSC	County Other	Lake Kiowa SUD	Lindsay	Mountain Springs WSC	Muenster	Two Way WSC	Woodbine WSC	Irrigation	Manufacturing	Mining
WMSs NAMED TO BE CONSIDERED BY STATUTE											
Conservation	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF		PF	
Drought Management											
Implement Drought Contingency Plan/measures as needed	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Reuse											
Reallocation/ Management of Existing Supplies											
Expansion of Treatment and Delivery System											
Desalination											
Conjunctive Use											
Acquisition of Available Existing Supplies											
New Well(s) in Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer	PF										
Additional Supplies from current provider									PF	PF	
Connect to and purchase from Gainesville		PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF			PF
Connect to and purchase from Lake Texoma				PF							
Development of New Supplies											
New Surface water											
New Groundwater											
Development of Regional Water Supply or Providing Regional Management of Water Supply Facilities											
Voluntary Transfer of Water (incl. regional water banks, sales, leases, options, subordination agreements, and financing agreements)											
Emergency Transfer of Water (Section 11.139)											
Additional WMSs named to be considered by rule**											
System optimization, reallocation of reservoir storage, contracts, water marketing, enhancement of yield, improvement of water quality											
Interbasin Transfer											
Aquifer Storage and Recovery											
Other											
Treatment facilities for additional supply						PF					
Lake Muenster						PF					

Blanks indicate nPF = determined 'not potentially feasible' (may include WMSs that were initially considered or identified as potentially feasible)

PF = considered 'potentially feasible' and therefore evaluated

*If a WUG is located in Multiple Counties, it is only shown on the Appendix O table for the County in which the majority of the WUG is located. WUGs that are also WWPs are not listed here. See Tables O.1 and O.2

**Region C does not consider the following WMSs to be potentially feasible for Region C WUGs: brush control; precipitation enhancement; cancellation of water rights; and rainwater harvesting. Region C supports rainwater harvesting on an individual basis but does not considered it to be a strategy that is feasible for a water provider to implement.

Table O.5 - Potentially Feasible Water Management Strategies for Dallas County Municipal WUGs*

Water Management Strategies	Addison	Balch Springs	Cedar Hill	Cockrell Hill	Coppell	County-Other	DeSoto	Duncanville	Farmers Branch	Glenn Heights	Highland Park	Hutchins	Irving	Lancaster	Mesquite	Richardson	Rowlett	Sachse	Seagoville	Sunnyvale	University Park	Wilmer	Irrigation	Manufacturing	Mining	SEP
WMSs NAMED TO BE CONSIDERED BY STATUTE																										
Conservation	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Drought Management																										
Implement Drought Contingency Plan/measures as needed	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Reuse																										
Irving Indirect Reuse												PF														
Las Colinas Direct Reuse																						PF				
TRA Reuse for SEP																										PF
Reallocation/ Management of Existing Supplies																										
Expansion of Treatment and Delivery System									PF			PF				PF			PF		PF					
Removal of Chapman Silt Barrier												PF														
Desalination																										
Conjunctive Use																										
Acquisition of Available Existing Supplies																										
Additional Supplies from current provider	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Additional Supplies from current provider through Lancaster																										PF
Additional Supplies from current provider-direct connection																										PF
Development of New Supplies																										
New Surface water																										
Sulphur Basin Supplies													PF													
Marvin Nichols Reservoir												PF														
New Groundwater																										
Development of Regional Water Supply or Providing Regional Management of Water Supply Facilities																										
Voluntary Transfer of Water (incl. regional water banks, sales, leases, options, subordination agreements, and financing)																										
Emergency Transfer of Water (Section 11.139)																										
Additional WMSs named to be considered by rule**																										
System optimization, reallocation of reservoir storage, contracts, water marketing, enhancement of yield, improvement of water																										
Interbasin Transfer																										
Aquifer Storage and Recovery																										

Blanks indicate nPF = determined 'not potentially feasible' (may include WMSs that were initially considered or identified as potentially feasible)

PF = considered 'potentially feasible' and therefore evaluated

*If a WUG is located in Multiple Counties, it is only shown on the Appendix O table for the County in which the majority of the WUG is located. WUGs that are also WWPs are not listed here. See Tables O.1 and O.2

**Region C does not consider the following WMSs to be potentially feasible for Region C WUGs: brush control; precipitation enhancement; cancellation of water rights; and rainwater harvesting. Region C supports rainwater harvesting on an individual basis but does not considered it to be a strategy that is feasible for a water provider to implement.

Table O.6 - Potentially Feasible Water Management Strategies for Denton County Municipal WUGs*

Water Management Strategies	Argyle WSC	Aubrey	Black Rock WSC	Bolivar WSC	Carrollton	Corinth	County-Other	Cross Timbers WSC	Denton County WSC	Denton County FWSD 1-A	Denton County FWSD 7	Flower Mound	Hackberry	Highland Village	Justin	Krum	Lake Cities MUA	Lewisville	Little Elm	Northlake	Paloma Creek North CRU	Paloma Creek South CRU	Pilot Point	Ponder	Providence Village WCID	Roanoke	Sanger	The Colony	Trophy Club	Irrigation	Manufacturing	Mining					
WMSs NAMED TO BE CONSIDERED BY STATUTE																																					
Conservation	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF			
Drought Management																																					
Implement Drought Contingency Plan/measures as needed	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF			
Reuse																																					
Direct Reuse from UTRWD																																				PF	
Direct Reuse from local WWTPs											PF																										
Reallocation/ Management of Existing Supplies																																					
Expansion of Treatment and Delivery System								PF				PF	PF				PF	PF		PF																PF	
Desalination																																					
Conjunctive Use																																					
Acquisition of Available Existing Supplies																																					
New Well(s) in Trinity Aquifer		PF	PF			PF	PF								PF	PF																					PF
New Well(s) in Woodbine Aquifer		PF	PF				PF																														
Additional Supplies from current provider	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF															PF
Begin Purchasing from Gainesville				PF																																	
Begin Purchasing from UTRWD																								PF	PF												
Development of New Supplies																																					
New Surface water																																					
New Groundwater																																					
Development of Regional Water Supply or Providing Regional Management of Water Supply Facilities																																					
Voluntary Transfer of Water (incl. regional water banks, sales, leases, options, subordination agreements, and financing agreements)																																					
Emergency Transfer of Water (Section 11.139)																																					
Additional WMSs named to be considered by rule**																																					
System optimization, reallocation of reservoir storage, contracts, water marketing, enhancement of yield, improvement of water quality																																					
Interbasin Transfer																																					
Aquifer Storage and Recovery																																					

Blanks indicate nPF = determined 'not potentially feasible' (may include WMSs that were initially considered or identified as potentially feasible)

PF = considered 'potentially feasible' and therefore evaluated

*If a WUG is located in Multiple Counties, it is only shown on the Appendix O table for the County in which the majority of the WUG is located. WUGs that are also WWPs are not listed here. See Tables O.1 and O.2

**Region C does not consider the following WMSs to be potentially feasible for Region C WUGs: brush control; precipitation enhancement; cancellation of water rights; and rainwater harvesting. Region C supports rainwater harvesting on an individual basis but does not considered it to be a strategy that is feasible for a water provider to implement.

Table O.7 - Potentially Feasible Water Management Strategies for Ellis County Municipal WUGs*

Water Management Strategies	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; padding: 0 10px;"> Avalon Water Supply and Sewer Service Buena Vista-Bethel SUD County-Other East Garrett WSC Ferris Files Valley WSC Hilco United Italy Mountain Peak SUD Ovilla Palmer Red Oak Rice Water Supply and Sewer Service Sardis Lone Elm WSC South Ellis County WSC Venus Manufacturing SEP </div>																	
	WMSs NAMED TO BE CONSIDERED BY STATUTE																	
Conservation	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Drought Management																		
Implement Drought Contingency Plan/measures as needed	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Reuse																		
TRA Reuse for SEP																		PF
Reallocation/ Management of Existing Supplies																		
Expansion of Treatment and Delivery System					PF	PF				PF	PF		PF	PF				
Desalination																		
Conjunctive Use																		
Acquisition of Available Existing Supplies																		
New Well(s) in Trinity Aquifer	PF																	
New Well(s) in Woodbine Aquifer							PF		PF									
New Well(s) in Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer																		
New Well(s) in Other Aquifer															PF			
Additional Supplies from current provider		PF	PF	PF	PF	PF		PF	PF		PF	PF	PF	PF		PF	PF	PF
Connect to Waxahachie						PF												
Connect to Midlothian													PF					
Development of New Supplies																		
New Surface water																		
New Groundwater																		
Development of Regional Water Supply or Providing Regional Management of Water Supply Facilities																		
TRA Ellis County Water Supply Project		PF	PF		PF	PF		PF	PF		PF	PF	PF	PF		PF	PF	PF
Voluntary Transfer of Water (incl. regional water banks, sales, leases, options, subordination agreements, and financing agreements)																		
Emergency Transfer of Water (Section 11.139)																		
Additional WMSs named to be considered by rule**																		
System optimization, reallocation of reservoir storage, contracts, water marketing, enhancement of yield, improvement of water quality																		
Interbasin Transfer																		
Aquifer Storage and Recovery																		

Blanks indicate nPF = determined 'not potentially feasible' (may include WMSs that were initially considered or identified as potentially feasible)
 PF = considered 'potentially feasible' and therefore evaluated
 *If a WUG is located in Multiple Counties, it is only shown on the Appendix O table for the County in which the majority of the WUG is located. WUGs that are also WWPs are not listed here. See Tables O.1 and O.2
 **Region C does not consider the following WMSs to be potentially feasible for Region C WUGs: brush control; precipitation enhancement; cancellation of water rights; and rainwater harvesting. Region C supports rainwater harvesting on an individual basis but does not consider it to be a strategy that is feasible for a water provider to implement.

Table O.8 - Potentially Feasible Water Management Strategies for Fannin County Municipal WUGs*

Water Management Strategies	Arledge Ridge WSC	Bols D Arc MUD	Bonham	County Other	Delta County MUD	Desert WSC	Hickory Creek SUD	Honey Grove	Ladonia	Leonard	North Hunt SUD	SW Fannin Co SUD	Trenton	West Leonard WSC	White Shed WSC	Wolfe City	Mining	SEP
WMSs NAMED TO BE CONSIDERED BY STATUTE																		
Conservation	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Drought Management Implement drought contingency plan/measures as needed	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Reuse																		
Reallocation/ Management of Existing Supplies																		
Expansion of Treatment and Delivery System				PF				PF										
Desalination																		
Conjunctive Use																		
Acquisition of Available Existing Supplies																		
New Well(s) In Trinity or Woodbine Aquifer	PF	PF			PF						PF	PF	PF	PF	PF			
Begin Purchasing from NTMWD		PF	PF	PF			PF	PF		PF	PF	PF	PF					PF
Fannin County Water Supply Project		PF	PF	PF			PF	PF		PF	PF	PF	PF					PF
Lake Ralph Hall Supply								PF										
Grayson County Water Supply Project				PF														
Lake Texoma (GTUA)																		PF
Additional Supplies from current provider				PF														
Development of New Supplies																		
New Surface water																		
New Groundwater																		
Development of Regional Water Supply or Providing Regional Management of Water Supply Facilities																		
Voluntary Transfer of Water (incl. regional water banks, sales, leases, options, subordination agreements, and financing agreements)																		
Emergency Transfer of Water (Section 11.139)																		
Additional WMSs named to be considered by rule**																		
System optimization, reallocation of reservoir storage, contracts, water marketing, enhancement of yield, improvement of water quality																		
Interbasin Transfer																		
Aquifer Storage and Recovery																		

Blanks indicate nPF = determined 'not potentially feasible' (may include WMSs that were initially considered or identified as potentially feasible)

PF = considered 'potentially feasible' and therefore evaluated

*If a WUG is located in Multiple Counties, it is only shown on the Appendix O table for the County in which the majority of the WUG is located. WUGs that are also WWP are not listed here. See Tables O.1 and O.2

**Region C does not consider the following WMSs to be potentially feasible for Region C WUGs: brush control; precipitation enhancement; cancellation of water rights; and rainwater harvesting. Region C supports rainwater harvesting on an individual basis but does not considered it to be a strategy that is feasible for a water provider to implement.

Table O.9 - Potentially Feasible Water Management Strategies for Freestone County Municipal WUGs*

Water Management Strategies	Municipal Water Utility Groups (WUGs)									
	Butler WSC	County-Other	Fairfield	Flo Community WSC	Pleasant Grove WSC	Point Enterprise WSC	South Freestone Co WSC	Teague	Wortham	SEP
WMSs NAMED TO BE CONSIDERED BY STATUTE										
Conservation	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	
Drought Management										
Implement Drought Contingency Plan/measures as needed	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Reuse										
TRA Reuse for SEP										PF
Reallocation/ Management of Existing Supplies										
Expansion of Treatment and Delivery System		PF	PF							
Desalination										
Conjunctive Use										
Acquisition of Available Existing Supplies										
New Well(s) in Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer	PF			PF	PF	PF	PF			
New Well(s) in Trinity Aquifer (Navarro County)								PF		
Additional Supplies from current provider		PF							PF	PF
Begin Purchasing from TRWD		PF	PF							
Development of New Supplies										
New Surface water										
New Groundwater										
Development of Regional Water Supply or Providing Regional Management of Water Supply Facilities										
Voluntary Transfer of Water (incl. regional water banks, sales, leases, options, subordination agreements, and financing agreements)										
Emergency Transfer of Water (Section 11.139)										
Additional WMSs named to be considered by rule**										
System optimization, reallocation of reservoir storage, contracts, water marketing, enhancement of yield, improvement of water quality										
Interbasin Transfer										
Aquifer Storage and Recovery										

Blanks indicate nPF = determined 'not potentially feasible' (may include WMSs that were initially considered or identified as potentially feasible)
 PF = considered 'potentially feasible' and therefore evaluated
 *If a WUG is located in Multiple Counties, it is only shown on the Appendix O table for the County in which the majority of the WUG is located. WUGs that are also WWP are not listed here. See Tables O.1 and O.2
 **Region C does not consider the following WMSs to be potentially feasible for Region C WUGs: brush control; precipitation enhancement; cancellation of water rights; and rainwater harvesting. Region C supports rainwater harvesting on an individual basis but does not consider it to be a strategy that is feasible for a water provider to implement.

Table O.10 - Potentially Feasible Water Management Strategies for Grayson County Municipal WUGs*

Water Management Strategies	Bells	Collinsville	County Other	Dorchester	Gunter	Howe	Kentucky Town WSC	Luella SUD	Marilee SUD	Northwest Grayson Co WCID1	Oak Ridge South Gale WSC	Pink Hill WSC	Pottsboro	Red River Authority of Texas	South Grayson SUD	Starr WSC	Tioga	Tom Bean	Two Way SUD	Van Alstyne	Whitesboro	Whitewright	Woodbine WSC	Irrigation	Manufacturing	Mining	SEP
WMSS NAMED TO BE CONSIDERED BY STATUTE																											
Conservation	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Drought Management																											
Implement Drought Contingency Plan/measures as needed	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Reuse																											
Direct Reuse from Sherman																										PF	PF
Reallocation/ Management of Existing Supplies																											
Expansion of treatment and delivery system																				PF							
Desalination																											
Conjunctive Use																											
Acquisition of Available Existing Supplies																											
New Well(s) In Trinity Aquifer			PF	PF				PF		PF					PF												PF
New Well(s) In Woodbine Aquifer	PF		PF							PF					PF												
Additional Supplies from current provider			PF					PF		PF				PF					PF						PF		
Lake Texoma								PF																			
Development of New Supplies																											
New Surface water																											
New Groundwater																											
Development of Regional Water Supply or Providing Regional Management of Water Supply Facilities																											
Cooke County Water Supply Project																											
Fannin County Water Supply Project																											
Grayson County Water Supply Project	PF	PF	PF		PF	PF	PF	PF					PF	PF	PF		PF	PF	PF		PF	PF	PF		PF	PF	PF
Collin Grayson Municipal Alliance						PF														PF							
Voluntary Transfer of Water (incl. regional water banks, sales, leases, options, subordination agreements, and financing agreements)																											
Emergency Transfer of Water (Section 11.139)																											
Additional WMSS named to be considered by rule**																											
System optimization, reallocation of reservoir storage, contracts, water marketing, enhancement of yield, improvement of water quality																											
Interbasin Transfer																											
Aquifer Storage and Recovery																											

Blanks indicate nPF = determined 'not potentially feasible' (may include WMSS that were initially considered or identified as potentially feasible)

PF = considered 'potentially feasible' and therefore evaluated

*If a WUG is located in Multiple Counties, it is only shown on the Appendix O table for the County in which the majority of the WUG is located. WUGs that are also WWPs are not listed here. See Tables O.1 and O.2

**Region C does not consider the following WMSS to be potentially feasible for Region C WUGs: brush control; precipitation enhancement; cancellation of water rights; and rainwater harvesting. Region C supports rainwater harvesting on an individual basis but does not consider it to be a strategy that is feasible for a water provider to implement.

Table O.11 - Potentially Feasible Water Management Strategies for Henderson County Municipal WUGs*

Water Management Strategies	B B S WSC	County-Other	Crescent Heights WSC	Dogwood Estates Water	East Cedar Creek FWSD	Eustace	Malakoff	Trinidad	Virginia Hills WSC	West Cedar Cr MUD	Manufacturing	Mining	SEP
WMSs NAMED TO BE CONSIDERED BY STATUTE													
Conservation	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Drought Management													
Implement Drought Contingency Plan/measures as needed	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Reuse													
Indirect Reuse (Athens MWA) (Interbasin Transfer)													
Reallocation/ Management of Existing Supplies													
Expansion of treatment and delivery system				PF					PF				
Desalination													
Conjunctive Use													
Acquisition of Available Existing Supplies													
New Well(s) in Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer			PF	PF		PF							
Additional Supplies from current provider	PF	PF			PF	PF			PF	PF	PF		
Purchase TRWD water from Cedar Creek Lake				PF									PF
Development of New Supplies													
New Surface water													
New Groundwater													
Development of Regional Water Supply or Providing Regional Management of Water Supply Facilities													
Voluntary Transfer of Water (incl. regional water banks, sales, leases, options, subordination agreements, and financing agreements)													
Emergency Transfer of Water (Section 11.139)													
Additional WMSs named to be considered by rule**													
System optimization, reallocation of reservoir storage, contracts, water marketing, enhancement of yield, improvement of water quality													
Interbasin Transfer													
Aquifer Storage and Recovery													

Blanks indicate nPF = determined 'not potentially feasible' (may include WMSs that were initially considered or identified as potentially feasible)

PF = considered 'potentially feasible' and therefore evaluated

*If a WUG is located in Multiple Counties, it is only shown on the Appendix O table for the County in which the majority of the WUG is located. WUGs that are also WWP are not listed here. See Tables O.1 and O.2

**Region C does not consider the following WMSs to be potentially feasible for Region C WUGs: brush control; precipitation enhancement; cancellation of water rights; and rainwater harvesting. Region C supports rainwater harvesting on an individual basis but does not consider it to be a strategy that is feasible for a water provider to implement.

Table O.12 - Potentially Feasible Water Management Strategies
for Jack County Municipal WUGs*

Water Management Strategies				
	County Other	Jacksboro	Mining	SCP
WMSs NAMED TO BE CONSIDERED BY STATUTE				
Conservation	PF	PF		
Drought Management				
Implement Drought Contingency Plan/measures as needed	PF	PF	PF	PF
Reuse				
Indirect Reuse from Jacksboro			PF	
Reallocation/ Management of Existing Supplies				
Expansion of treatment and delivery system				
Desalination				
Conjunctive Use				
Acquisition of Available Existing Supplies				
Purchase water from Walnut Creek SUD	PF			
Purchase water from Jacksboro	PF			
Purchase water from TRWD			PF	PF
Development of New Supplies				
New Surface water				
New Groundwater				
Development of Regional Water Supply or Providing Regional Management of Water Supply Facilities				
Voluntary Transfer of Water (incl. regional water banks, sales, leases, options, subordination agreements, and financing agreements)				
Emergency Transfer of Water (Section 11.139)				
Additional WMSs named to be considered by rule**				
System optimization, reallocation of reservoir storage, contracts, water marketing, enhancement of yield, improvement of water quality				
Interbasin Transfer				
Aquifer Storage and Recovery				

Blanks indicate nPF = determined 'not potentially feasible' (may include WMSs that were initially considered or identified as potentially feasible)

PF = considered 'potentially feasible' and therefore evaluated

*If a WUG is located in Multiple Counties, it is only shown on the Appendix O table for the County in which the majority of the WUG is located. WUGs that are also WWPs are not listed here. See Tables O.1 and O.2

**Region C does not consider the following WMSs to be potentially feasible for Region C WUGs: brush control; precipitation enhancement; cancellation of water rights; and rainwater harvesting. Region C supports rainwater harvesting on an individual basis but does not consider it to be a strategy that is feasible for a water provider to implement.

Table O.13 - Potentially Feasible Water Management Strategies for Kaufman County Municipal WUGs*

Water Management Strategies	Ables Springs WSC	Becker Jiba WSC	College Mound WSC	Combine WSC	County-Other	Crandall	Elmo WSC	Forney Lake WSC	Gastonia Scurry SUD	High Point WSC	Kaufman	Kaufman Co Dev Dist 1	Kaufman Co MUD 11	Kemp	Mabank	MacBee SUD	Markout WSC	North Kaufman WSC	Poetry WSC	Rose Hill SUD	Talty SUD	West Cedar CK MUD	Irrigation	Manufacturing	Mining	SEP
WMSs NAMED TO BE CONSIDERED BY STATUTE																										
Conservation	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Drought Management																										
Implement Drought Contingency Plan/measures as needed	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Reuse																										
TRA Reuse for SEP																										PF
Reallocation/ Management of Existing Supplies																										
Expansion of Treatment and Delivery System			PF			PF			PF				PF		PF											
Desalination																										
Conjunctive Use																										
Acquisition of Available Existing Supplies																										
Additional Supplies from current provider	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Begin Purchasing from Mabank													PF													
Begin Purchasing from Seagoville (DWU); construct facilities									PF																	
Begin Purchasing from TRWD																										
Begin Purchasing from NTWMD												PF														PF
Development of New Supplies																										
New Surface water																										
New Groundwater																										
Development of Regional Water Supply or Providing Regional Management of Water Supply Facilities																										
Voluntary Transfer of Water (incl. regional water banks, sales, leases, options, subordination agreements, and financing agreements)																										
Emergency Transfer of Water (Section 11.139)																										
Additional WMSs named to be considered by rule**																										
System optimization, reallocation of reservoir storage, contracts, water marketing, enhancement of yield, improvement of water quality																										
Interbasin Transfer																										
Aquifer Storage and Recovery																										

Blanks indicate nPF = determined 'not potentially feasible' (may include WMSs that were initially considered or identified as potentially feasible)

PF = considered 'potentially feasible' and therefore evaluated

*If a WUG is located in Multiple Counties, it is only shown on the Appendix O table for the County in which the majority of the WUG is located. WUGs that are also WWPs are not listed here. See Tables O.1 and O.2

**Region C does not consider the following WMSs to be potentially feasible for Region C WUGs: brush control; precipitation enhancement; cancellation of water rights; and rainwater harvesting. Region C supports rainwater harvesting on an individual basis but does not consider it to be a strategy that is feasible for a water provider to implement.

Table O.14 - Potentially Feasible Water Management Strategies for Navarro County Municipal WUGs*

Water Management Strategies	WUGs													
	B and B WSC	Blooming Grove	Brandon Irene WSC	Charfield WSC	Corbett WSC	County Other	Dawson	Kerens	M E N WSC	Navarro Mills WSC	Post Oak SUD	Irrigation	Manufacturing	SEP
WMSs NAMED TO BE CONSIDERED BY STATUTE														
Conservation	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Drought Management														
Implement Drought Contingency Plan/measures as needed	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Reuse														
Reallocation/ Management of Existing Supplies														
Expansion of Treatment and Delivery System								PF						
Desalination														
Conjunctive Use														
Acquisition of Available Existing Supplies														
New Wells in Woodbine Aquifer									PF	PF				
New Wells in Trinity Aquifer		PF		PF						PF				
Additional Supplies from current provider	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Purchase Supplies from new provider										PF				
Water Rights in Navarro Mills Reservoir										PF				
Raw Water from Corsicana for SEP														PF
Raw Water from TRWD for SEP														PF
Development of New Supplies														
New Surface water														
New Groundwater														
Development of Regional Water Supply or Providing Regional Management of Water Supply Facilities														
Voluntary Transfer of Water (incl. regional water banks, sales, leases, options, subordination agreements, and financing agreements)														
Emergency Transfer of Water (Section 11.139)														
Additional WMSs named to be considered by rule**														
System optimization, reallocation of reservoir storage, contracts, water marketing, enhancement of yield, improvement of water quality														
Interbasin Transfer														
Aquifer Storage and Recovery														

Blanks indicate nPF = determined 'not potentially feasible' (may include WMSs that were initially considered or identified as potentially feasible)

PF = considered 'potentially feasible' and therefore evaluated

*If a WUG is located in Multiple Counties, it is only shown on the Appendix O table for the County in which the majority of the WUG is located. WUGs that are also WWP are not listed here. See Tables O.1 and O.2

**Region C does not consider the following WMSs to be potentially feasible for Region C WUGs: brush control; precipitation enhancement; cancellation of water rights; and rainwater harvesting. Region C supports rainwater harvesting on an individual basis but does not consider it to be a strategy that is feasible for a water provider to implement.

Table O.15 - Potentially Feasible Water Management Strategies for Parker County Municipal WUGs*

Water Management Strategies	Parker County Municipal WUGs													
	Aledo	Annetta	County-Other	Horseshoe Bend Water System	Hudson Oaks	Mineral Wells	North Rural WSC	Parker County SUD	Reno	Santo SUD	Springtown	Willow Park	Manufacturing	SEP
WMSs NAMED TO BE CONSIDERED BY STATUTE														
Conservation	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Drought Management														
Implement Drought Contingency Plan/measures as needed	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Reuse														
Reallocation/ Management of Existing Supplies														
Expansion of Treatment and Delivery System	PF		PF					PF			PF	PF		
Desalination														
Conjunctive Use														
Acquisition of Available Existing Supplies														
New Well(s) in Trinity Aquifer			PF	PF				PF			PF			
Additional Supplies from current provider	PF		PF		PF		PF	PF	PF	PF		PF	PF	PF
Begin Purchasing from Ft Worth (TRWD)/Connect to Ft Worth												PF		
Begin Purchasing from Weatherford (TRWD)		PF	PF								PF			
Begin Purchasing from TRWD			PF											
Development of New Supplies														
New Surface water														
New Groundwater														
Development of Regional Water Supply or Providing Regional Management of Water Supply Facilities														
Voluntary Transfer of Water (incl. regional water banks, sales, leases, options, subordination agreements, and financing agreements)														
Emergency Transfer of Water (Section 11.139)														
Additional WMSs named to be considered by rule**														
System optimization, reallocation of reservoir storage, contracts, water marketing, enhancement of yield, improvement of water quality														
Interbasin Transfer														
Aquifer Storage and Recovery														

Blanks indicate nPF = determined 'not potentially feasible' (may include WMSs that were initially considered or identified as potentially feasible)

PF = considered 'potentially feasible' and therefore evaluated

*If a WUG is located in Multiple Counties, it is only shown on the Appendix O table for the County in which the majority of the WUG is located. WUGs that are also WWPs are not listed here. See Tables O.1 and O.2

**Region C does not consider the following WMSs to be potentially feasible for Region C WUGs: brush control; precipitation enhancement; cancellation of water rights; and rainwater harvesting. Region C supports rainwater harvesting on an individual basis but does not consider it to be a strategy that is feasible for a water provider to implement.

Table O.16 - Potentially Feasible Water Management Strategies for Rockwall County Municipal WUGs*

Water Management Strategies	Blackland WSC		Cash SUD		County-Other		Fate		Heath		Mount Zion WSC		R C H WSC		Rockwall		Royse City		Irrigation		Manufacturing	
WMSs NAMED TO BE CONSIDERED BY STATUTE																						
Conservation	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Drought Management																						
Implement Drought Contingency Plan/measures as needed	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Reuse																						
Reallocation/ Management of Existing Supplies																						
Expansion of Treatment and Delivery System	PF	PF			PF																	
Desalination																						
Conjunctive Use																						
Acquisition of Available Existing Supplies																						
Additional Supplies from current provider	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Development of New Supplies																						
New Surface water																						
New Groundwater																						
Development of Regional Water Supply or Providing Regional Management of Water Supply Facilities																						
Voluntary Transfer of Water (incl. regional water banks, sales, leases, options, subordination agreements, and financing agreements)																						
Emergency Transfer of Water (Section 11.139)																						
Additional WMSs named to be considered by rule**																						
System optimization, reallocation of reservoir storage, contracts, water marketing, enhancement of yield, improvement of water quality																						
Interbasin Transfer																						
Aquifer Storage and Recovery																						

Blanks indicate nPF = determined 'not potentially feasible' (may include WMSs that were initially considered or identified as potentially feasible)

PF = considered 'potentially feasible' and therefore evaluated

*If a WUG is located in Multiple Counties, it is only shown on the Appendix O table for the County in which the majority of the WUG is located. WUGs that are also WPPs are not listed here. See Tables O.1 and O.2

**Region C does not consider the following WMSs to be potentially feasible for Region C WUGs: brush control; precipitation enhancement; cancellation of water rights; and rainwater harvesting. Region C supports rainwater harvesting on an individual basis but does not consider it to be a strategy that is feasible for a water provider to implement.

Table O.18 - Potentially Feasible Water Management Strategies for Wise County Municipal WUGs*

Water Management Strategies	Alford	Boyd	Bridgesport	Chico	County-Other	DeCATUR	Newark	Rhame	Runaway Bay	West Wise SUD	Irrigation	Manufacturing
WMSs NAMED TO BE CONSIDERED BY STATUTE												
Conservation	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Drought Management												
Implement Drought Contingency Plan/measures as needed	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
Reuse												
Reallocation/ Management of Existing Supplies												
Expansion of Treatment and Delivery System			PF	PF		PF		PF	PF			
Desalination												
Conjunctive Use												
Acquisition of Available Existing Supplies												
Additional Supplies from current provider	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF		PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF
New Well(s) in Trinity Aquifer		PF										PF
Begin Purchasing from Rhame						PF						
Development of New Supplies												
New Surface water												
New Groundwater												
Development of Regional Water Supply or Providing Regional Management of Water Supply Facilities												
Voluntary Transfer of Water (incl. regional water banks, sales, leases, options, subordination agreements, and financing agreements)												
Emergency Transfer of Water (Section 11.139)												
Additional WMSs named to be considered by rule**												
System optimization, reallocation of reservoir storage, contracts, water marketing, enhancement of yield, improvement of water quality												
Interbasin Transfer												
Aquifer Storage and Recovery												

Blanks indicate nPF = determined 'not potentially feasible' (may include WMSs that were initially considered or identified as potentially feasible)
 PF = considered 'potentially feasible' and therefore evaluated

*If a WUG is located in Multiple Counties, it is only shown on the Appendix O table for the County in which the majority of the WUG is located.
 WUGs that are also WWPs are not listed here. See Tables O.1 and O.2

**Region C does not consider the following WMSs to be potentially feasible for Region C WUGs: brush control; precipitation enhancement; cancellation of water rights; and rainwater harvesting. Region C supports rainwater harvesting on an individual basis but does not consider it