

Projects with Specific Sponsors (No Conservation)			
#	ProjectName	FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT	Ranking*
1	Trophy Club: Trophy Club, Westlake, Fort Worth - Phase I: Joint 36" Water Delivery Line Q-197	932.0	1
2	Westlake: Trophy Club, Westlake, Fort Worth - Phase I: Joint 36" Water Delivery Line Q-197	932.0	1
3	Fort Worth: Trophy Club, Westlake, Fort Worth - Phase I: Joint 36" Water Delivery Line Q-197	932.0	1
4	Wylie NE SUD - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from NTMWD Q-80	931.9	4
5	East Fork SUD- Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from NTMWD Q-181	911.0	5
6	Athens MWA WTP Infrastructure Improvements Q-145	904.1	6
7	Keller - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from Fort Worth Q-189	904.1	7
8	Cross Timbers WSC - Infrastructure Improvements Q-99	904.0	8
9	Terrell - Ground Storage Tank and Pump Station Expansion at West Side Pump Station Q-157	902.7	9
10	Terrell - Line to Feed Whole Customer (Kaufman Co WCID) Q-158	902.7	9
11	Terrell - Line to Feed Wholesale Customer (Fairfield Development) Q-159	902.7	9
12	Terrell - Line to Feed Wholesale Customers (Las Lomas MUD and Kaufman Co WCID) Q-161	902.7	9
13	Cash WSC - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from NTMWD Q-180	902.3	13
14	Bedford - Municipal Conservation - Water Distribution System Conservation Q-208	900.3	14
15	Blackland WSC - Direct Connect to NTMWD and Purchase Additional Water from NTMWD Q-179	898.0	15
16	Krum - New Well in Trinity Aquifer Q-105	895.5	16
17	Watauga & N Richland Hills - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water Q-199	894.1	17
18	NTMWD Treatment & Treated Water Distribution Improvements 2010-2020 Q-28	888.3	18
19	Q-90 Irving - TRA Central Reuse	886.3	19
20	GTUA - Grayson County Water Supply Project Q-64	882.3	20
21	UTRWD WTP and Treated Water Distribution System Water Management Strategies 2015-2019 Q-54	882.3	20
22	Waxahachie - 36" Raw water line from IPL to Lake Waxahachie Q-120	882.3	20
23	Waxahachie - 36" Raw water line from Lake Waxahachie to Howard Rd WTP Q-121	882.3	20
24	Waxahachie - Increase delivery infrastructure to Rockett SUD (30" Raw water Line) Q-124	882.3	20

*Ranking when considering all projects (including conservation)

Projects with Specific Sponsors (No Conservation)			
#	ProjectName	FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT	Ranking*
25	Waxahachie - Raw Water Intake Improvements at Lake Bardwell Q-127	882.3	20
26	Parker - Increase Pump Station Capacity Q-76	874.0	36
27	Henderson County SEP - Transmission Facilities from Cedar Creek Lake Q-147	872.3	37
28	Azle - Water Treatment Plant Expansion Q-13	871.9	38
29	Trophy Club - Phase II: Increase delivery infrastructure from Ft Worth Q-198	870.3	39
30	Trinity River Authority Las Colinas Reuse (Dallas County Irrigation) Q-58	868.7	40
31	Terrell - Line to Feed Wholesale Customer (Fairfield Development Extension) Q-160	862.7	41
32	Terrell - Lines Along I-20 to Complete Looping in Southern System for Wholesale Customers Q-162	862.7	41
33	Terrell - New Delivery Point Connection from NTMWD (Waterlines, Pump Station, & Ground Storage Q-163	862.7	41
34	Waxahachie - 27" Raw water line from IPL to Howard Road Water Treatment Plant Q-119	862.3	44
35	Waxahachie - 48" TRWD Parallel Supply Line to Sokoll WTP Q-122	862.3	44
36	Weston - Connect to and Purchase Water from NTMWD Q-79	862.1	46
37	Rockett SUD - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	859.8	63
38	Newark - Connect to and Purchase Water from Rhome Q-203	855.6	64
39	Sunnyvale - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from NTMWD Q-93	855.1	65
40	Fort Worth - Municipal Conservation - Advanced Meter Infrastructure Program Q-209	853.3	66
41	NTMWD Treatment & Treated Water Distribution Improvements 2020-2030 Q-28	847.4	68
42	Midlothian - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	845.1	70
43	Gastonia-Scurry SUD - Connect to Seagoville (DWU) Q-155	844.7	71
44	Denton - 30 MGD Ray Roberts Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	844.1	72
45	DWU - Infrastructure to Treat and Deliver to Customers 2020 New Water Plant Q-40	842.3	73
46	Fannin County SEP - Connect to and Purchase Water From Lake Texoma Q-128	842.3	73

*Ranking when considering all projects (including conservation)

Projects with Specific Sponsors (No Conservation)			
#	ProjectName	FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT	Ranking*
47	Johnson County SUD - Connect to Purchase Water from Grand Prairie Q-188	842.3	73
48	Payne Springs - New Well in Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer Q-148	842.3	73
49	Cresson - New Well in Trinity Aquifer Q-170	840.9	77
50	Wise County WSD - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	838.5	92
51	M E N WSC - Upsize Lake Halbert Connection Q-166	836.8	93
52	Rockwall - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from NTMWD Q-183	836.1	94
53	Corsicana - New 8 MGD Water Treatment Plant Q-12	835.0	95
54	Springtown - Lake Intake Modifications Q-175	834.6	96
55	Grayson County Steam Electric Power - Direct Reuse from Sherman Q-211	834.4	97
56	Grand Prairie - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from DWU Q-88	833.9	98
57	Tarrant County SEP - Direct Reuse Q-196	833.1	99
58	DWU - Connect to Bachman Q-37	832.3	100
59	UTRWD - Lake Ralph Hall and Reuse Q-52	831.3	101
60	Kennedale - Connect to and Purchase Water from Arlington Q-190	830.1	102
61	Trinity River Authority Kaufman County Reuse for Steam Electric Power Q-62	828.7	103
62	TRWD: TRWD & DWU Integrated Pipeline Q-48	828.2	104
63	DWU: TRWD & DWU Integrated Pipeline Q-48	828.2	104
64	Bethesda WSC - Connect to and Purchase Water from Arlington Q-184	824.4	107
65	Eustace - New well in Carrizo-Wilcox Q-146	822.3	108
66	Muenster - Develop Muenster Lake Supply Q-85	822.3	108
67	UTRWD WTP and Treated Water Distribution System Water Management Strategies 2020-2029 Q-54	822.3	108
68	Sardis Lone-Elm - Connect to and Purchase Water from Midlothian Q-117	821.6	111
69	Weatherford - Develop Lake Weatherford Reuse Project Q-177	821.5	112
70	Mabank - Increase Delivery Infrastructure from Cedar Creek Lake Q-143	820.1	116
71	DWU - Main Stem Pump Station Q-34	813.0	118
72	NTMWD - Main Stem Pump Station Q-22	813.0	118
73	Mansfield - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	812.6	120

*Ranking when considering all projects (including conservation)

Projects with Specific Sponsors (No Conservation)			
#	ProjectName	FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT	Ranking*
74	Pilot Point - New Well in Trinity Aquifer Q-106	808.3	121
75	Frisco - Develop Direct Reuse Q-74	807.1	122
76	Walnut Creek SUD - New 6 MGD Water Treatment Plant Q-12	805.9	123
77	Blooming Grove - New Well in Trinity Aquifer Q-164	802.3	124
78	Denison - Expand Raw Water Delivery from Lake Texoma Q-137	802.3	124
79	Leonard - Water System Improvements Q-207	802.3	124
80	Mansfield - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	802.2	127
81	Sherman - Desalination Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	802.2	128
82	Q-150 Fannin County Water Supply Project	799.3	136
83	Fort Worth Direct Reuse - Alliance Corridor Q-68	797.3	139
84	Chatfield WSC - Water System Improvements Q-165	796.9	140
85	Wilmer - New Connection to Dallas (via Lancaster) Q-95	793.6	141
86	Palmer - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from Rockett SUD Q-113	791.9	142
87	Denton County Manufacturing - New Well in Woodbine Aquifer Q-100	788.8	143
88	NTMWD - Dredge Lake Lavon Q-20	787.1	145
89	Waxahachie - Phase I Delivery Infrastructure to Customers in South Ellis County Q-125	785.7	149
90	Waxahachie - Howard Rd. Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	785.7	150
91	NTMWD - Lower Bois d'Arc Creek Reservoir Site Q-23	785.5	151
92	Annetta: East Parker County - Pipeline from Weatherford to Annetta, Annetta North, Annetta South, and W Q-171	784.0	152
93	Annetta North: East Parker County - Pipeline from Weatherford to Annetta, Annetta North, Annetta South, and W Q-171	784.0	152
94	Annetta South: East Parker County - Pipeline from Weatherford to Annetta, Annetta North, Annetta South, and W Q-171	784.0	152
95	Willow Park: East Parker County - Pipeline from Weatherford to Annetta, Annetta North, Annetta South, and W Q-171	784.0	152
96	DWU - Infrastructure to Treat and Deliver to Customers 2025 WTP Expansions Q-40	782.3	159
97	Grayson County Manufacturing - Direct Reuse from Sherman Q-210	776.3	186

*Ranking when considering all projects (including conservation)

Projects with Specific Sponsors (No Conservation)			
#	ProjectName	FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT	Ranking*
98	NTMWD - Removal of Chapman Silt Barrier Q-19	774.4	187
99	DWU - Connect Lake Palestine Q-36	772.3	188
100	Van Alstyne - Water System Improvements Q-142	771.1	189
101	Freestone County Other - Connect to and Purchase Water from TRWD Q-134	768.5	192
102	NTMWD - Additional Measures to Access Full Lake Lavon Yield Q-21	767.8	193
103	Crowley - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from Fort Worth Q-187	763.6	201
104	Ladonia - Connect to and Purchase Water from UTRWD (Lake Ralph Hall) Q-129	762.3	202
105	Trinity River Authority Dallas County Reuse for Steam Electric Power Q-59	760.7	204
106	Jack County Other - Connect to and Purchase Water from Walnut Creek SUD Q-152	760.7	205
107	Justin - New Well in Trinity Aquifer Q-104	760.6	206
108	Mountain Peak SUD - New Well in Woodbine Aquifer Q-112	759.4	210
109	New Fairview - Connect to and Purchase Water from Rhome Q-202	754.8	222
110	Lewisville - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	752.0	224
111	Wise County Manufacturing - New Wells in Trinity Aquifer Q-205	750.2	227
112	Prosper - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from NTMWD (Phase II) Q-78	749.3	228
113	Denison - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	748.9	230
114	Midlothian - Purchase Duncanville's Joe Pool Yield Q-111	748.3	232
115	Gainesville - Direct Reuse Q-81	748.2	233
116	Prosper - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from NTMWD (Phase I) Q-77	747.5	235
117	Southlake - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from Fort Worth Q-195	747.1	237
118	TRWD - Cedar Creek Wetlands Reuse Q-49	745.8	238
119	Corinth - New Well in Trinity Aquifer (2020) Q-96	742.9	241
120	Pelican Bay - Connect to and Purchase Water from Azle (TRWD) Q-194	742.3	242
121	Rockett SUD - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	740.9	246
122	Parker County SUD - Additional BRA with Treatment Plant Q-13	738.5	249

*Ranking when considering all projects (including conservation)

Projects with Specific Sponsors (No Conservation)			
#	ProjectName	FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT	Ranking*
123	DWU - Infrastructure to Treat and Deliver to Customers 2035 WTP Expansions Q-40	734.3	251
124	UTRWD WTP and Treated Water Distribution System Water Management Strategies 2030-2040 Q-54	734.3	252
125	Melissa - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from NTMWD Q-75	733.6	253
126	Gainesville - Infrastructure to Deliver to Customers Q-82	729.3	255
127	Burleson - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from Fort Worth Q-186	729.2	256
128	Rockett SUD - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from Midlothian Q-115	723.9	259
129	Navarro County SEP - Purchase Water from Corsicana Q-167	723.1	260
130	Grand Prairie - Connect to and Purchase Water from Arlington Q-87	721.0	262
131	Parker County Other - New Wells in Trinity Aquifer Q-173	718.5	270
132	Mabank - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	717.3	275
133	DWU - Main Stem Balancing Reservoir Q-35	714.3	278
134	Blue Ridge - Connect to and Purchase Water from NTMWD Q-69	712.1	279
135	Jack County Other - Connect to and Purchase Water from Jacksboro Q-151	711.6	280
136	Kaufman County Other - Connect to and Purchase Water from TRWD Q-149	710.4	283
137	Celina - Connect to and Purchase Water from NTMWD Q-71	709.4	284
138	Weatherford - Increase Benbrook Pump Station Capacity Q-178	707.8	285
139	Fort Worth - Eagle Mountain 35 MGD Expansion Q-13	707.1	286
140	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Fort Worth	704.9	292
141	GTUA - Reuse for Grayson County Steam Electric Power Q-63	704.2	294
142	UTRWD - Direct Reuse Q-53	703.5	295
143	Denton County Other - New Well in Trinity Aquifer Q-102	702.1	296
144	Pantego - Connect to and Purchase Water from Arlington Q-192	700.7	298
145	Bells - New Well in Woodbine Aquifer Q-136	698.9	309
146	Rice WSC - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from Corsicana Q-114	698.5	312
147	Fort Worth Future Direct Reuse Q-67	696.6	319
148	Fort Worth - West Plant 23 MGD Expansion Q-13	696.4	320

*Ranking when considering all projects (including conservation)

Projects with Specific Sponsors (No Conservation)			
#	ProjectName	FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT	Ranking*
149	Gunter - New Well in Trinity Aquifer (2020) Q-139	688.7	326
150	Fort Worth - Rolling Hills 50 MGD Expansion Q-13	685.3	331
151	Denton County Other - New Well in Woodbine Aquifer Q-101	685.0	333
152	Ellis County SEP - Purchase Water from Waxahachie Q-107	683.3	337
153	Waxahachie - Dredge Lake Waxahachie Q-123	683.2	339
154	Pantego - Connect to and Purchase Water from Fort Worth Q-193	680.7	347
155	NTMWD Treatment & Treated Water Distribution Improvements 2030-2040 Q-28	678.6	363
156	Fairfield - Connect to and Purchase Water from TRWD (Richland-Chambers) Q-132	677.3	367
157	Corinth - Upgrade Existing Well Q-98	669.1	376
158	NTMWD: NTMWD & Irving - Lake Chapman Pump Station Expansion Q-24	665.7	384
159	Irving: NTMWD & Irving - Lake Chapman Pump Station Expansion Q-24	665.7	384
160	Forney - Increase Pump Station Capacity Q-154	662.9	391
161	Midlothian - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	658.6	397
162	Wilmer - Direct Connection to Dallas Q-94	656.0	403
163	West Cedar Creek - Water Treatment Plant Expansion Q-13	654.8	404
164	DWU - Infrastructure to Treat and Deliver to Customers 2045 WTP Expansions Q-40	654.3	405
165	UTRWD WTP and Treated Water Distribution System Water Management Strategies 2040-2050 Q-54	654.3	405
166	Springtown - New Well in Trinity Aquifer Q-176	653.3	408
167	Corinth - New Well in Trinity Aquifer (2030) Q-97	649.8	410
168	Southwest Fannin Co SUD - New Well in Woodbine Aquifer Q-130	649.6	411
169	NTMWD - Additional Lake Texoma Supply Blend with Lower Bois d'Arc Q-25	649.2	412
170	Collin County Manufacturing - New Well in Woodbine Aquifer Q-72	646.1	414
171	Lewisville - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	642.5	419
172	Weatherford - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	638.7	431
173	Grayson County Mining - New Well in Trinity Aquifer Q-138	634.3	442
174	Corsicana - Water Treatment Plant Expansion Q-13	634.0	443
175	Denton - 20 MGD Ray Roberts Plant Expansion Q-13	633.2	445
176	Kennedale - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from Fort Wort Q-191	632.5	447

*Ranking when considering all projects (including conservation)

Projects with Specific Sponsors (No Conservation)			
#	ProjectName	FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT	Ranking*
177	Sardis-Lone Elm WSC - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from Rocke Q-118	632.1	448
178	Teague - New Wells in Trinity Aquifer Q-135	629.1	449
179	Waxahachie - Howard Rd. Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	622.4	453
180	NTMWD Treatment & Treated Water Distribution Improvements 2040-2050 Q-28	621.4	454
181	Hackberry - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from NTMWD Q-103	611.4	472
182	Fort Worth - West Plant 35 MGD Expansion Q-13	606.8	474
183	Ennis - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	606.0	475
184	Fort Worth - Eagle Mountain 30 MGD Expansion Q-13	603.4	481
185	TRWD: Sulphur Basin Supplies - TRWD, NTWMD, UTRWD Q-18	600.6	487
186	NTMWD: Sulphur Basin Supplies - TRWD, NTWMD, UTRWD Q-18	600.6	487
187	UTRWD: Sulphur Basin Supplies - TRWD, NTWMD, UTRWD Q-18	600.6	487
188	Trenton - New Wells in Woodbine Aquifer Q-131	600.4	490
189	Chico - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from West Wise SUD Q-201	593.5	499
190	Aledo - Parallel Pipeline & Pump Station Expansion to Purchase Additional Water from Fort Wort Q-169	586.4	500
191	Waxahachie - Phase II Delivery Infrastructure to Customers in South Ellis County Q-126	586.4	501
192	Ferris - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from Rockett SUD Q-109	585.6	502
193	Glenn Heights - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from DWU Q-86	585.3	503
194	Navarro Mills WSC - New Well in Woodbine Aquifer Q-168	577.5	504
195	Freestone County Other - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from Co Q-133	577.0	505
196	Gunter - New Well in Trinity Aquifer (2030) Q-140	575.0	506
197	DWU - Neches River Run-of-the-River Diversions Project Q-38	574.3	507
198	UTRWD WTP and Treated Water Distribution System Water Management Strategies 2050-2060 Q-54	574.3	507
199	Sherman - New 10 MGD Desalination Plant Q-12	574.2	509
200	GTUA - Collin-Grayson Municipal Alliance East-West Water Line Q-65	573.9	510
201	Mansfield - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 3 Q-13	573.3	511

*Ranking when considering all projects (including conservation)

Projects with Specific Sponsors (No Conservation)			
#	ProjectName	FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT	Ranking*
202	College Mound - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from Terrell Q-153	562.9	512
203	Rockett SUD - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 3 Q-13	559.1	513
204	Lewisville - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 3 Q-13	558.3	514
205	Denton - 30 MGD Ray Roberts Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	556.6	515
206	TRWD - Lake Tehuacana Q-50	554.9	516
207	Trinity River Authority Freestone County Reuse for Steam Electric Power Q-61	546.0	517
208	Bridgeport - Expand Capacity of Lake Intake and Pump Station Q-200	545.1	518
209	West Wise SUD - Water Treatment Plant Expansion Q-13	542.4	519
210	Fate - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from NTMWD Q-182	540.1	520
211	Weatherford - New 14 MGD Water Treatment Plant Q-12	538.0	521
212	Ennis Indirect Reuse Q-108	536.7	522
213	NTMWD Treatment & Treated Water Distribution Improvements 2050-2060 Q-28	534.3	523
214	NTMWD Treatment & Treated Water Distribution Improvements 2060-2070 Q-28	534.3	523
215	Fort Worth - 50 MGD Expansion 1 Q-13	530.3	525
216	Wise County WSD - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	526.8	526
217	Fort Worth - 50 MGD Expansion 2 Q-13	523.1	527
218	Ovilla - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from DWU Q-92	496.1	528
219	UTRWD WTP and Treated Water Distribution System Water Management Strategies 2060-2070 Q-54	494.3	529
220	DWU - Lake Columbia Q-39	492.3	530
221	Gainesville - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	491.2	531
222	Midlothian - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 3 Q-13	490.7	532
223	Parker County Other - Connect to and Purchase Water from TRWD Q-174	490.3	533
224	Ennis - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	486.8	534
225	Weatherford - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	485.3	535
226	GTUA - Collin-Grayson Municipal Alliance Water Transmission System - Phase 2 Q-66	484.5	536
227	Gainesville - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	477.1	537
228	Denton - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	475.8	538
229	Mansfield - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 4 Q-13	468.7	539
230	Bridgeport - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	468.4	540

*Ranking when considering all projects (including conservation)

Projects with Specific Sponsors (No Conservation)			
#	ProjectName	FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT	Ranking*
231	NTMWD - Additional Lake Texoma Blend with Sulphur Basin Water Q-26	465.9	541
232	Blue Ridge - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from NTMWD Q-70	457.6	542
233	East Cedar Creek - Water Treatment Plant Expansion Q-13	455.2	543
234	Benbrook - Water Treatment Plant Expansion Q-13	450.6	544
235	Denison - New 4 MGD Water Treatment Plant Q-12	448.0	545
236	Fort Worth - 50 MGD Expansion 3 Q-13	446.0	546
237	Trinity River Authority Ellis County Reuse for Steam Electric Power Q-60	434.1	547
238	Mabank - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	428.3	548
239	Waxahachie - Howard Rd. Water Treatment Plant Expansion 3 Q-13	427.6	549
240	Ennis - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 3 Q-13	426.5	550
241	Parker County SUD - New Wells in Trinity Aquifer Q-172	423.2	551
242	Southmayd - New Wells in Woodbine Aquifer Q-141	414.3	552
243	Rockett SUD - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 4 Q-13	409.3	553
244	Sherman - Desalination Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	400.4	554
245	Denton - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	393.1	555
246	Walnut Creek SUD - New 12 MGD Water Treatment Plant Q-12	392.3	556
247	Kaufman County Mining - Connect to and Purchase Water from NTMWD Q-156	376.1	557
248	Denison - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	367.5	558
249	NTMWD - Toledo Bend Q-57	366.5	559
250	Fort Worth - 50 MGD Expansion 4 Q-13	365.8	560
251	Runaway Bay - Increase Capacity of Lake Intake Q-204	361.0	561
252	NTMWD - Oklahoma Water Q-27	354.0	562
253	Fort Worth - 50 MGD Expansion 5 Q-13	352.8	563
254	Runaway Bay - Water Treatment Plant Expansion Q-13	261.0	564
255	Bridgeport - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	259.3	565

*Ranking when considering all projects (including conservation)

TO: TWDB

FROM: Lissa Gregg, Tom Gooch

CC: Region C Prioritization Subcommittee
Project File – NTD11336

SUBJECT: Methodology for 2016 RCWP Project Prioritization

DATE: October 6, 2015

This memorandum outlines the methodology applied to complete the TWDB Project Prioritization Template for 2016 RCWP projects. This methodology incorporates input from the Region C Prioritization Subcommittee. TWDB's standard scoring template (Excel spreadsheet) was used for the prioritization process. TWDB provided the template pre-populated with projects from DB17 to Region C on September 1, 2015. Region C consultants have added supplementary tabs to this spreadsheet for the purpose of documenting all answers to Standards.

Strategy Grouping (Rollup)

Some projects that were sponsored by multiple entities were grouped to give a cohesive score to the overall project. These projects were scored based on the total cost, total need and total supply volume for all participating entities. These projects included the:

- Main Stem Pump Station,
- IPL,
- Sulphur Basin Supplies,
- East Park County – Pipeline from Weatherford to Annetta, Annetta North, Annetta South and Willow Park, and
- Trophy Club, Westlake, Fort Worth: Phase I: Joint 36" Water Delivery Line.

Uniform Standard 1A - What is the decade the RWP shows the project comes online?

Scoring of this standard is as follows:

2070 = 0 points; 2060 = 2; 2050 = 4; 2040 = 6; 2030 = 8; 2020 = 10.

The following methodology was applied to determine project start decade:

- The default approach is to score the project based on the first decade with a supply allocation/strategy volume per the data provided in the scoring template.

Uniform Standard 1B - In what decade is initial funding needed?

Scoring of this standard is as follows:

2070 = 0 points; 2060 = 2; 2050 = 4; 2040 = 6; 2030 = 8; 2020 = 10.

The following methodology was applied to determine project start decade:

- All conservation projects were given a score of 10.
- If an infrastructure financing report (IFR) survey was received indicating the date of initial funding needed, this date was used. If the date provided was a non-decadal value, the preceding decade was used for scoring purposes (i.e. if response was funding needed in 2033, 2030 was selected and was given a score of 8).
- If an IFR survey was not received, the following assumptions were used:
 - For non-conservation projects with a capital cost of more than \$100 million, the funding is assumed to be needed two decades before the project comes online (with the maximum score being 10).
 - For non-conservation projects with a capital cost of \$100 million or less, the funding is assumed to be needed one decade before the project comes online (with the maximum score being 10).

Uniform Standard 2A - What supporting data is available to show that the quantity of water needed is available?

The TWDB scoring of this standard is as follows:

- Models suggest insufficient quantities of water or no modeling performed = 0 points;
- Models suggest sufficient quantity of water = 3;
- Field tests and measurements confirm sufficient quantities of water = 5

In an effort to further define and document the specific data for each project, Region C has developed a more detailed/expanded scoring guide as follows:

- Score of 0 for:
 - No Modeling performed
 - Assumed no Modeling
- Score of 3 for:
 - Conservation analyzed in 2016 Plan
 - Detailed Study conducted
 - GAM modeling for groundwater supply
 - WAM modeling for surface water supply
 - Reuse – relying on modeled future effluent

- Score of 5 for:
 - Existing water source (surface)
 - Reuse – effluent currently being discharged

Each project has been individually assessed on this scoring criteria and documented on a separate sheet (“Standard 2A-D”) in the Prioritization Template.

Uniform Standard 2B - If necessary, does the sponsor hold necessary legal rights, water rights, and/or contracts to use the water that this project would require?

The TWDB scoring of this standard is as follows:

- Legal rights, water rights and/or contract application not submitted = 0 points;
- application submitted = 2;
- application is administratively complete = 3;
- legal rights, water rights and/or contracts obtained or not needed = 5

In an effort to further define and document the specific data for each project, Region C has developed a more detailed/expanded scoring guide as follows:

- Score of 0 for:
 - Contract needed
 - Contract increase needed - not applied
 - Groundwater - new; permit needed from GCD
 - Water right application not submitted
- Score of 2 for:
 - Water right application submitted
- Score of 3 for:
 - Contract increase needed – applied
 - Water right application administratively complete
- Score of 5 for:
 - Conservation - no water right needed
 - Contract in place
 - Groundwater - existing; no permit needed
 - No water right needed
 - Water right obtained
 - Water treatment plant - no right needed

If information was known about a strategy, it was utilized. In instances where specific information was not known, it was assumed that:

- If a strategy came online in 2030 or later, that permits had not yet been obtained.
- If a groundwater strategy came online in 2020 and was in a County with no GCD, that no other permits were needed.
- If an entity was an existing customer of a wholesale provider and contract amount was not known, it was assumed that they did not an increase in contract to obtain additional water supply.
- If an entity was considered a future customer of a wholesale provider, it was assumed that a contract was not in place.

Each project has been individually assessed on this scoring criteria and documented on a separate sheet (“Standard 2A-D”) in the Prioritization Template.

Uniform Standard 2C - What level of engineering and/or planning has been accomplished for this project?

The TWDB scoring of this standard is as follows:

- 1 = Outlined in RWP
- 2 = Feasibility study initiated
- 3 = Feasibility study completed
- 4= Conceptual design initiated
- 5 = Conceptual design completed
- 6 = Preliminary engineering report initiated
- 7 = Preliminary engineering report completed
- 8 = Preliminary design initiated
- 9 = Preliminary design completed
- 10 = Final design completed

This criterion should be answered using available data and judgment as available. Potential sources of information include the 2016 RWP and support material, updated stakeholder data, or recollections by FNI staff. In many cases, reliable information is not readily available even through the sources named above. For that reason, the Subcommittee considered the following approach:

- If specific information was available, score as appropriate based on specific information
- For Projects with capital costs over \$50 million, consultants contacted project sponsors to determine level of engineering/planning.

- For Projects with capital costs of \$50 million or less, the following assumptions were applied:
 - Conservation were scored as 10 because no design is required
 - Projects coming online in 2020 were scored as 8 (preliminary design initiated)
 - Projects coming online in 2030 were scored as 3 (feasibility studies completed)
 - Projects coming online in 2040-70 were scored a 1 (outlined in Regional Water Plan)

Each project has been individually assessed on this scoring criteria and documented on a separate sheet (“Standard 2A-D”) in the Prioritization Template.

Uniform Standard 2D - Has the project sponsor requested (in writing for the 2016 Plan) that the project be included in the Regional Water Plan?

Several surveys were distributed throughout the planning process concerning water management strategies. If an entity responded to any one of these surveys, the project received a “yes” and was scored 5 points. Freeze and Nichols staff met directly with several wholesale water providers (WWPs). If FNI had an in person meeting with the entity concerning the Region C plan, the meeting notes were considered to be a request in writing and were scored with as “yes.” If the entity never responded to a survey indicating their agreement with the strategies, the project received a “no” and was given 0 points.

Uniform Standard 3A - In the decade the project supply comes online, what is the % of the WUG's (or WUGs') needs satisfied by this project?

Addressing this criterion requires a more complex dataset than that provided in the TWDB Prioritization Template, which only includes projects. Projects in the database by definition do not directly have a supply volume. Only strategies have a supply volume and then projects are related to a strategy. However, a project can be related to multiple strategies and the total volume can exceed the capacity of the given project. In order to address this, an alternate table of supply volumes was developed that is referenced for each project. This reference table, rather than the provided template data, was used to answer this question. The following logic was applied in scoring this criterion:

- Entities with no needs received a score of 100% (rather than a calculated “error” of supply divided by 0 need). This applied mostly to conservation projects.
- If the project served only one WUG, then the score was auto-calculated based on the supply and need in the first decade online for that one WUG.

- If the project applied to multiple WUGs/WPPs, the sum of needs for those WUGs/WPPs was calculated. The score was then auto-calculated based on the supply and need in the first decade online.

Uniform Standard 3B - In the final decade of the planning period, what is the % of the WUG's (or WUGs') needs satisfied by this project?

This criterion was addressed in the same manner as Uniform Standard 3A, using the last online year of needs and supplies.

Uniform Standard 3C - Is this project the only economically feasible source of new supply for the WUG, other than conservation?

All conservation strategies were scored as a “yes” and given 5 points. Otherwise, the number of non-conservation projects were counted for each sponsor entity and there was more than one project, it was given a “no” and scored zero points. If the project was the only non-conservation project, it was considered the only economically feasible source of new supply and given a “yes” with a score of 5 points.

Uniform Standard 3D - Does this project serve multiple WUGs?

The TWDB scoring of this standard is 0 for “No” and 5 for “Yes”. This question was answered individual for each project. In general, all conservation was assumed to apply to only the entity listed as the sponsor. In the same way, most projects sponsored by non-municipal and County Other WUGs were assumed to serve only the WUG listed as the sponsor. In general, projects sponsored by WPPs were assumed to serve multiple WUGS (all WWP customers) unless specific information dictated otherwise. In all other cases, this standard was answered individually based on project knowledge. All scoring is documented on a separate sheet (“Standard 3”) in the Prioritization Template.

Uniform Standard 4A - Over what period of time is this project expected to provide water (regardless of the planning period)?

The TWDB scoring of this standard is 5 for “Less than or equal to 20 years” and 10 for “More than 20 years”. Most of the projects in the regional plan are anticipated to have lifespans of many decades, and therefore the majority of entries in the prioritization template will achieve the maximum points on this criterion. The exceptions to this are:

- Temporary or Interim strategies shown in only one planning decade

- Conservation, Water Loss Control was considered to provide savings from pipe replacement for 20 years. After that, it was assumed that entity would have to reinvest to continue to recognize the water savings. For this reason, these projects were scored with a 5.

The score for each project is documented on a separate sheet (“Standard 4A-B”) in the Prioritization Template.

Uniform Standard 4B - Does the volume of water supplied by the project change over the regional water planning period?

The TWDB scoring of this standard is 0 for “Decreases”, 3 for “No change,” and 5 for “Increases”.

Projects with a change in supply volume of less than 5 acre-feet were assumed to have “no change” and were scored a 3. Otherwise, projects were scored based on if the supply volume went up or down over the planning period.

Uniform Standard 5A - What is the expected unit cost of water supplied by this project compared to the median unit cost of all other recommended strategies in the region's current RWP?

The TWDB scoring of this standard is as follows:

- 0 = 200% greater than median
- 1 = 150-199% greater than median
- 2 = 101-149% greater than median
- 3 = 100% of median
- 4 = 51-99% of median
- 5 = 0-50% of median

For each project, a unit cost for the first online decade was calculated using the annual cost including debt service and the supply volume. Once the unit costs were calculated for each project, the costs were compiled and a median was developed. The first decade unit cost was then compared to the median first decade unit cost. Each project was scored according to its relation to the median unit cost per TWDB scoring guidelines.

The calculation for each project is documented on a separate sheet (“Standard 5A”) in the Prioritization Template.

Concerns with prioritization process

- The subcommittee felt that standards 3A and 3B, which ask what percent of the WUG’s needs are satisfied by this project in the first and last decade, unfairly penalized those with large needs

who need multiple projects to satisfy a need. Using multiple strategies to meet one's need, results in a lower score for each project, even if all the projects are needed. In many, cases there are no options to meet a wholesale provider's entire need with a single project but all projects are greatly needed. The subcommittee felt that more a fair standard would be to ask what percentage of the project is needed.

- The timing of the release of the database and the required deadline for prioritization created challenges. Since there was not adequate time to ensure the quality of the data and make necessary adjustments prior to the prioritization process, adjustments had to be made manually to the prioritization spreadsheet which caused some confusion, especially surrounding alternative projects.

ID	Project Name	Project Sponsor Entity	Capital Cost	Rural/Agricultural Conservation? Conservation/Reuse?	Criteria 1 - Decade of Need for Project				Criteria 2 - Project Feasibility				Criteria 3 - Project Viability						Criteria 4 - Project Sustainability				Criteria 5 - Project Cost Effectiveness		FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT	COMMENTS				
					1A	1B	1 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 1 Total	2A	2B	2C	2D	Criteria 2 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 2 Total	3A	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3B	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3C	3D	Criteria 3 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 3 Total	4A	4B			Criteria 4 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 4 Total	5A	Weighted Criteria 5 Total
					10	10	20	400	5	5	10	5	25	100	100	10	100	10	5	5	30.00	250.00	10	5			15	150	5	100
C1	Aledo - Parallel Pipeline & Pump Station Expansion to Purchase Additional Water from Fort Wort Q-169	ALEDO	\$ 7,710,500		6	8	14	280	3	5	1	5	14	56	22.62	2.26	47.86	4.79	5	0	12.05	100.40	10	5	15	150	0	0	586.40	
C2	Athens MWA WTP Infrastructure Improvements Q-145	ATHENS MUNICIPAL WATER AUTHORITY	\$ 2,900,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	100.00	10.00	28.10	2.81	5	5	22.81	190.08	10	3	13	130	5	100	904.08	
C3	Azle - Water Treatment Plant Expansion Q-13	AZLE	\$ 11,046,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	91.50	9.15	96.02	9.60	5	0	23.75	197.93	10	5	15	150	2	40	871.93	
C4	Bedford - Municipal Conservation - Water Distribution System Conservation Q-208	BEDFORD	\$ 90,000,000	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	140.48	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	10	0	10	100	5	100	900.33	
C5	Bells - New Well in Woodbine Aquifer Q-136	BELLS	\$ 1,200,000		8	10	18	360	3	0	3	5	11	44	604.17	10.00	23.85	2.38	5	0	17.38	144.87	10	3	13	130	1	20	698.87	
C6	Benbrook - Water Treatment Plant Expansion Q-13	BENBROOK	\$ 13,715,000		2	4	6	120	3	5	1	5	14	56	38.02	3.80	37.45	3.75	5	0	12.55	104.56	10	3	13	130	2	40	450.56	
C7	Bethesda WSC - Connect to and Purchase Water from Arlington Q-184	BETHESDA WSC	\$ 18,698,000		10	10	20	400	3	0	8	5	16	64	96.12	9.61	58.41	5.84	5	0	20.45	170.45	10	5	15	150	2	40	824.45	
C8	Blackland WSC - Direct Connect to NTMWD and Purchase Additional Water from NTMWD Q-179	BLACKLAND WSC	\$ 3,295,550		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	79.93	7.99	90.81	9.08	5	0	22.07	183.96	10	5	15	150	4	80	897.96	
C9	Blooming Grove - New Well in Trinity Aquifer Q-164	BLOOMING GROVE	\$ 1,669,300		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	0	16	64	100.00	10.00	118.52	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	10	3	13	130	0	0	802.33	
C10	Blue Ridge - Connect to and Purchase Water from NTMWD Q-69	BLUE RIDGE	\$ 2,403,656		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	0	11	44	117.20	10.00	41.76	4.18	0	0	14.18	118.13	10	5	15	150	2	40	712.13	
C11	Blue Ridge - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from NTMWD Q-70	BLUE RIDGE	\$ 1,036,000		2	4	6	120	3	5	1	0	9	36	28.60	2.86	57.37	5.74	0	0	8.60	71.64	10	5	15	150	4	80	457.64	
C12	Bridgeport - Expand Capacity of Lake Intake and Pump Station Q-200	BRIDGEPORT	\$ 766,100		4	6	10	200	3	5	1	0	9	36	5.05	0.50	65.85	6.58	0	0	7.09	59.08	10	5	15	150	5	100	545.08	
C13	Bridgeport - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	BRIDGEPORT	\$ 8,911,000		4	6	10	200	3	5	1	0	9	36	5.05	0.50	45.85	4.58	0	0	5.09	42.41	10	5	15	150	2	40	468.41	
C14	Bridgeport - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	BRIDGEPORT	\$ 7,844,000		0	2	2	40	3	5	1	0	9	36	20.00	2.00	20.00	2.00	0	0	4.00	33.33	10	5	15	150	0	0	259.33	
C15	Burleson - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from Fort Worth Q-186	BURLESON	\$ 21,780,000		6	8	14	280	3	5	1	5	14	56	24.59	2.46	71.24	7.12	5	5	19.58	163.19	10	5	15	150	4	80	729.19	
C16	Cash WSC - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from NTMWD Q-180	CASH SUD	\$ 6,654,700		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	10	3	13	130	4	80	902.33	
C17	Celina - Connect to and Purchase Water from NTMWD Q-71	CELINA	\$ 16,314,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	0	11	44	22.69	2.27	17.76	1.78	5	0	9.04	75.37	10	5	15	150	4	80	709.37	
C18	Chatfield WSC - Water System Improvements Q-165	CHATFIELD WSC	\$ 1,000,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	96.93	9.69	96.52	9.65	5	0	24.34	202.87	10	3	13	130	2	40	796.87	
C19	Chico - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from West Wise SUD Q-201	CHICO	\$ 3,610,000		4	6	10	200	3	5	1	0	9	36	68.29	6.83	82.74	8.27	5	0	20.10	167.52	10	5	15	150	2	40	593.52	
C20	College Mound - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from Terrell Q-153	COLLEGE MOUND WSC	\$ 5,348,000		2	4	6	120	3	5	1	0	9	36	66.97	6.70	95.27	9.53	5	0	21.22	176.87	10	5	15	150	4	80	562.87	
C21	Collin County Manufacturing - New Well in Woodbine Aquifer Q-72	MANUFACTURING, COLLIN	\$ 402,800		8	10	18	360	3	0	3	0	6	24	9.10	0.91	3.39	0.34	5	0	6.25	52.07	10	3	13	130	4	80	646.07	
C22	Collin County Other - New Well in Woodbine Aquifer Q-73	COUNTY-OTHER, COLLIN	\$ 1,400,000				0	0				0	0	0		0.00		0.00			0.00	0.00			0	0	0	0.00	DELETED FROM DB 17	
C23	Conservation, Irrigation Restriction - Benbrook	BENBROOK	\$ 7,334	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	0.48	0.05	0.32	0.03	5	0	5.08	42.34	10	5	15	150	0	0	664.34	
C24	Conservation, Irrigation Restriction - Corinth	CORINTH	\$ 7,334	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	0.63	0.06	0.39	0.04	5	0	5.10	42.52	10	5	15	150	0	0	684.52	
C25	Conservation, Irrigation Restriction - Denton County FWSD #10	DENTON COUNTY FWSD #10	\$ 7,334	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	0.34	0.03	5	0	15.03	125.29	10	5	15	150	0	0	747.29	
C26	Conservation, Irrigation Restriction - Denton County FWSD #7	DENTON COUNTY FWSD #7	\$ 7,334	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	0.58	0.06	5	0	15.06	125.49	10	5	15	150	0	0	767.49	
C27	Conservation, Irrigation Restriction - Desoto	DESOTO	\$ 14,389	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	1.44	0.14	1.75	0.17	5	0	5.32	44.33	10	5	15	150	0	0	666.33	
C28	Conservation, Irrigation Restriction - Ennis	ENNIS	\$ 7,334	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	0.85	0.08	0.14	0.01	5	0	5.10	42.50	10	5	15	150	0	0	664.50	
C29	Conservation, Irrigation Restriction - Farmers Branch	FARMERS BRANCH	\$ 8,395	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	0.53	0.05	0.24	0.02	5	0	5.08	42.31	10	5	15	150	0	0	664.31	
C30	Conservation, Irrigation Restriction - Heath	HEATH	\$ 7,334	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	1.98	0.20	0.83	0.08	5	0	5.28	44.01	10	5	15	150	2	40	706.01	
C31	Conservation, Irrigation Restriction - Lancaster	LANCASTER	\$ 10,667	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	0.72	0.07	0.34	0.03	5	0	5.11	42.55	10	5	15	150	0	0	664.55	
C32	Conservation, Irrigation Restriction - Lewisville	LEWISVILLE	\$ 14,668	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	1.28	0.13	0.42	0.04	5	0	5.17	43.09	10	5	15	150	0	0	665.09	
C33	Conservation, Irrigation Restriction - Lucas	LUCAS	\$ 7,334	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	1.81	0.18	0.77	0.08	5	0	5.26	43.82	10	5	15	150	1	20	685.82	
C34	Conservation, Irrigation Restriction - Midlothian	MIDLOTHIAN	\$ 7,334	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	0.28	0.03	0.22	0.02	5	0	5.05	42.08	10	5	15	150	0	0	684.08	
C35	Conservation, Irrigation Restriction - Roanoke	ROANOKE	\$ 7,334	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	4.93	0.49	0.43	0.04	5	0	5.54	46.14	10	5	15	150	0	0	668.14	
C36	Conservation, Irrigation Restriction - Waxahachie	WAXAHACHIE	\$ 8,690	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	10	5	15	150	0	0	850.33	
C37	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Ables Springs WSC	ABLES SPRINGS WSC	\$ 13,856	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	5.30	0.53	1.38	0.14	5	0	5.67	47.24	5	3	8	80	2	40	659.24	
C38	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Addison	ADDISON	\$ 1,086,563	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	10.76	1.08	3.18	0.32	5	0	6.39	53.28	5	3	8	80	0	0	605.28	
C39	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Aledo	ALEDO	\$ 21,877	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	4	80	860.33	
C40	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Allen	ALLEN	\$ 1,192,200	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	6.32	0.63	2.15	0.21	5	0	5.85	48.72	5	3	8	80	2	40	660.72	
C41	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Alvord	ALVORD	\$ 1,611	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	5	100	860.33	

ID	Project Name	Project Sponsor Entity	Capital Cost	Rural/Agricultural Conservation? Conservation/Reuse?	Criteria 1 - Decade of Need for Project				Criteria 2 - Project Feasibility				Criteria 3 - Project Viability						Criteria 4 - Project Sustainability				Criteria 5 - Project Cost Effectiveness		FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT	COMMENTS				
					1A	1B	1 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 1 Total	2A	2B	2C	2D	Criteria 2 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 2 Total	3A	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3B	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3C	3D	Criteria 3 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 3 Total	4A	4B			Criteria 4 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 4 Total	5A	Weighted Criteria 5 Total
					10	10	20	400	5	5	10	5	25	100	100	10	100	10	5	5	30.00	250.00	10	5			15	150	5	100
C42	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Anna	ANNA	\$ 71,750	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	70.23	7.02	55.11	5.51	5	0	17.53	146.12	5	3	8	80	1	20	718.12	
C43	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Annetta	ANNETTA	\$ 2,716	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	5	100	880.33	
C44	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Annetta North	ANNETTA NORTH	\$ 1,136	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	5	100	880.33	
C45	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Annetta South	ANNETTA SOUTH	\$ 1,026	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	5	100	860.33	
C46	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Argyle	ARGYLE	\$ 111,288	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	49.48	4.95	4.46	0.45	5	0	10.39	86.62	5	5	10	100	0	0	658.62	
C47	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Argyle WSC	ARGYLE WSC	\$ 70,513	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	1.34	0.13	5	0	15.13	126.11	5	3	8	80	1	20	698.11	
C48	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Arlington	ARLINGTON	\$ 3,066,441	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	4.93	0.49	5	0	15.49	129.11	5	3	8	80	2	40	741.11	
C49	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Athens	ATHENS	\$ 235,228	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	4693.98	10.00	66.94	6.69	5	0	21.69	180.79	5	3	8	80	0	0	752.79	
C50	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Aubrey	AUBREY	\$ 13,559	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	0.39	0.04	5	0	15.04	125.33	5	3	8	80	4	80	777.33	
C51	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Aurora	AURORA	\$ 2,325	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	7.44	0.74	5	0	15.74	131.20	5	3	8	80	5	100	783.20	
C52	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Azle	AZLE	\$ 217,081	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	5.26	0.53	3.36	0.34	5	0	5.86	48.85	5	3	8	80	0	0	600.85	
C53	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Balch Springs	BALCH SPRINGS	\$ 84,625	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	10.74	1.07	3.57	0.36	5	0	6.43	53.59	5	3	8	80	4	80	685.59	
C54	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Bardwell	BARDWELL	\$ 1,157	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	1.48	0.15	0.81	0.08	5	0	5.23	43.57	5	3	8	80	5	100	695.57	
C55	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Bartonville	BARTONVILLE	\$ 34,394	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	412.50	10.00	2.86	0.29	5	0	15.29	127.39	5	3	8	80	2	40	719.39	
C56	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Bedford	BEDFORD	\$ 1,493,519	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	114.43	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	0	0	780.33	
C57	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Bells	BELLS	\$ 250,000	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	3.65	0.36	5	0	15.36	128.04	5	3	8	80	0	0	680.04	
C58	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Benbrook	BENBROOK	\$ 204,001	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	3.43	0.34	2.14	0.21	5	0	5.56	46.31	5	3	8	80	3	60	658.31	
C59	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Bethel-Ash WSC	BETHEL-ASH WSC	\$ 4,744	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	4	80	840.33	
C60	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Bethesda WSC	BETHESDA WSC	\$ 139,100	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	0.65	0.06	0.48	0.05	5	0	5.11	42.61	5	3	8	80	1	20	634.61	
C61	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Blackland WSC	BLACKLAND WSC	\$ 250,000	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	5.61	0.56	1.95	0.20	5	0	5.76	47.97	5	3	8	80	0	0	619.97	
C62	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Blooming Grove	BLOOMING GROVE	\$ 10,087	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	1.32	0.13	5	0	15.13	126.10	5	3	8	80	1	20	698.10	
C63	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Blue Mound	BLUE MOUND	\$ 4,100	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	4	80	860.33	
C64	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Blue Ridge	BLUE RIDGE	\$ 1,541	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	0.49	0.05	5	0	15.05	125.41	5	3	8	80	5	100	777.41	
C65	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Bolivar WSC	BOLIVAR WSC	\$ 22,380	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	3.86	0.39	5	0	15.39	128.22	5	3	8	80	4	80	760.22	
C66	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Bonham	BONHAM	\$ 91,630	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	53.26	5.33	5	0	20.33	169.39	5	3	8	80	0	0	741.39	
C67	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Boyd	BOYD	\$ 6,674	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	7.43	0.74	5	0	15.74	131.19	5	0	5	50	0	0	653.19	
C68	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Brandon-Irene WSC	BRANDON-IRENE WSC	\$ 98	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	5	10	100	5	100	880.33	
C69	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Bridgeport	BRIDGEPORT	\$ 84,181	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	4.64	0.46	5	0	15.46	128.87	5	3	8	80	1	20	700.87	
C70	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Bryson	BRYSON	\$ 4,352	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	2	40	800.33	
C71	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Buena Vista - Bethel SUD	BUENA VISTA - BETHEL SUD	\$ 43,542	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	4	80	860.33	
C72	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Burleson	BURLESON	\$ 37,638	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	0.36	0.04	0.13	0.01	5	0	5.05	42.08	5	3	8	80	4	80	694.08	
C73	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Caddo Basin SUD	CADDO BASIN SUD	\$ 5,212	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	1.91	0.19	0.49	0.05	5	0	5.24	43.67	5	3	8	80	5	100	715.67	
C74	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Carrollton	CARROLLTON	\$ 2,580,390	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	11.08	1.11	3.81	0.38	5	0	6.49	54.08	5	3	8	80	0	0	626.08	
C75	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Cash SUD	CASH SUD	\$ 1,928	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	5	100	860.33	
C76	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Cedar Hill	CEDAR HILL	\$ 1,461,366	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	10.94	1.09	3.18	0.32	5	0	6.41	53.43	5	3	8	80	0	0	605.43	
C77	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Celina	CELINA	\$ 800,520	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	1.64	0.16	0.36	0.04	5	0	5.20	43.33	5	3	8	80	0	0	595.33	
C78	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Chatfield WSC	CHATFIELD WSC	\$ 12,778	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	1.44	0.14	5	0	15.14	126.20	5	3	8	80	4	80	778.20	
C79	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Chico	CHICO	\$ 4,423	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	103.50	10.00	14.79	1.48	5	0	16.48	137.32	5	3	8	80	4	80	769.32	
C80	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Cockrell Hill	COCKRELL HILL	\$ 26,094	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	10.71	1.07	3.63	0.36	5	0	6.43	53.62	5	3	8	80	1	20	625.62	
C81	Conservation, Water Loss Control - College Mound WSC	COLLEGE MOUND WSC	\$ 15,432	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	6.35	0.64	1.71	0.17	5	0	5.81	48.39	5	3	8	80	5	100	700.39	
C82	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Colleyville	COLLEYVILLE	\$ 421,926	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	5.29	0.53	5	0	15.53	129.41	5	3	8	80	2	40	721.41	
C83	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Collin County	COUNTY-OTHER, COLLIN	\$ 38,848	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	9.18	0.92	3.18	0.32	5	0	6.24	51.97	5	3	8	80	4	80	683.97	
C84	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Collinsville	COLLINSVILLE	\$ 4,551	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	2.71	0.27	5	0	15.27	127.26	5	3	8	80	5	100	799.26	
C85	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Combine	COMBINE	\$ 21,983	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	1.23	0.12	0.89	0.09	5	0	5.21	43.44	5	3	8	80	1	20	615.44	
C86	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Community WSC	COMMUNITY WSC	\$ 8,353	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	5.26	0.53	5	0	15.53	129.38	5	3	8	80	4	80	781.38	
C87	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Cooke County	COUNTY-OTHER, COOKE	\$ 24,421	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	4	80	840.33	
C88	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Copeville SUD	COPEVILLE SUD	\$ 16,214	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	6.35	0.64	1.81	0.18	5	0	5.82	48.47	5	3	8	80	2	40	640.47	
C89	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Coppell	COPPELL	\$ 1,812,438	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	10.76	1.08	3.68	0.37	5	0	6.44	53.70	5	3	8</					

ID	Project Name	Project Sponsor Entity	Capital Cost	Rural/Agricultural Conservation? Conservation/Reuse?	Criteria 1 - Decade of Need for Project				Criteria 2 - Project Feasibility				Criteria 3 - Project Viability						Criteria 4 - Project Sustainability				Criteria 5 - Project Cost Effectiveness		FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT	COMMENTS				
					1A	1B	1 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 1 Total	2A	2B	2C	2D	Criteria 2 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 2 Total	3A	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3B	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3C	3D	Criteria 3 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 3 Total	4A	4B			Criteria 4 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 4 Total	5A	Weighted Criteria 5 Total
					10	10	20	400	5	5	10	5	25	100	100	10	100	10	5	5	30.00	250.00	10	5			15	150	5	100
C91	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Corbet WSC	CORBET WSC	\$ 4,009	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	1.34	0.13	5	0	15.13	126.12	5	3	8	80	5	100	798.12	
C92	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Corinth	CORINTH	\$ 609,100	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	2.52	0.25	1.01	0.10	5	0	5.35	44.61	5	3	8	80	0	0	616.61	
C93	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Corsicana	CORSICANA	\$ 240,918	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	0.69	0.07	5	0	15.07	125.57	5	3	8	80	2	40	737.57	
C94	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Crandall	CRANDALL	\$ 20,209	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	2.24	0.22	1.11	0.11	5	0	5.34	44.46	5	3	8	80	4	80	676.46	
C95	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Cresson	CRESSON	\$ 5,210	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	3.09	0.31	1.06	0.11	5	0	5.42	45.13	5	3	8	80	1	20	637.13	
C96	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Cross Roads	CROSS ROADS	\$ 16,218	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	228.50	10.00	1.73	0.17	5	0	15.17	126.44	5	3	8	80	4	80	758.44	
C97	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Crowley	CROWLEY	\$ 342,055	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	2.84	0.28	1.56	0.16	5	0	5.44	45.34	5	3	8	80	0	0	597.34	
C98	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Culleoka WSC	CULLEOKA WSC	\$ 15,924	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	6.35	0.64	1.90	0.19	5	0	5.82	48.54	5	3	8	80	2	40	640.54	
C99	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Dallas	DALLAS	\$ 3,124,457	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	5	100	880.33	
C100	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Dallas County	COUNTY-OTHER, DALLAS	\$ 48,123	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	9.06	0.91	2.43	0.24	5	0	6.15	51.23	5	3	8	80	4	80	683.23	
C101	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Dalworthington Gardens	DALWORTHINGTON GARDENS	\$ 35,744	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	26.82	2.68	3.93	0.39	5	0	8.08	67.30	5	3	8	80	3	60	679.30	
C102	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Dawson	DAWSON	\$ 2,995	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	1.33	0.13	5	0	15.13	126.11	5	3	8	80	4	80	778.11	
C103	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Decatur	DECATUR	\$ 238,239	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	1.04	0.10	0.64	0.06	5	0	5.17	43.07	5	3	8	80	0	0	615.07	
C104	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Denison	DENISON	\$ 322,613	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	10.21	1.02	5	0	16.02	133.50	5	5	10	100	2	40	765.50	
C105	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Denton	DENTON	\$ 1,938,438	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	4.51	0.45	1.22	0.12	5	0	5.57	46.44	5	3	8	80	1	20	638.44	
C106	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Denton County	COUNTY-OTHER, DENTON	\$ 92,932	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	4	80	840.33	
C107	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Denton County FWSD #10	DENTON COUNTY FWSD #10	\$ 43,942	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	1.11	0.11	5	0	15.11	125.93	5	3	8	80	4	80	757.93	
C108	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Denton County FWSD #1A	DENTON COUNTY FWSD #1A	\$ 163,972	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	32.40	3.24	1.51	0.15	5	0	8.39	69.92	5	3	8	80	2	40	681.92	
C109	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Denton County FWSD #7	DENTON COUNTY FWSD #7	\$ 675,975	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	2.36	0.24	5	0	15.24	126.96	5	3	8	80	0	0	678.96	
C110	Conservation, Water Loss Control - DeSoto	DESOTO	\$ 220,487	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	21.48	2.15	4.11	0.41	5	0	7.56	62.99	5	5	10	100	0	0	634.99	
C111	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Double Oak	DOUBLE OAK	\$ 17,324	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	12.13	1.21	5	0	16.21	135.11	5	3	8	80	4	80	767.11	
C112	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Duncanville	DUNCANVILLE	\$ 821,033	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	10.75	1.08	3.55	0.35	5	0	6.43	53.58	5	3	8	80	0	0	605.58	
C113	Conservation, Water Loss Control - East Cedar Creek FWSD	EAST CEDAR CREEK FWSD	\$ 28,785	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	2.19	0.22	5	0	15.22	126.83	5	3	8	80	4	80	778.83	
C114	Conservation, Water Loss Control - East Fork SUD	EAST FORK SUD	\$ 450,000	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	3.45	0.34	0.88	0.09	5	0	5.43	45.27	5	3	8	80	0	0	617.27	
C115	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Ector	ECTOR	\$ 5,171	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	8.70	0.87	5	0	15.87	132.25	5	3	8	80	1	20	704.25	
C116	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Edgecliff Village	EDGECLIFF VILLAGE	\$ 69,007	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	27.94	2.79	2.65	0.26	5	0	8.06	67.16	5	3	8	80	0	0	619.16	
C117	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Ellis County	COUNTY-OTHER, ELLIS	\$ 15,199	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	4	80	840.33	
C118	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Ennis	ENNIS	\$ 105,170	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	63.41	6.34	5.87	0.59	5	0	11.93	99.40	5	5	10	100	2	40	711.40	
C119	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Euless	EULESS	\$ 1,284,690	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	6.54	0.65	5	0	15.65	130.45	5	3	8	80	0	0	682.45	
C120	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Eustace	EUSTACE	\$ 5,043	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	2	40	820.33	
C121	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Everman	EVERMAN	\$ 62,329	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	0	0	760.33	
C122	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Fairfield	FAIRFIELD	\$ 48,870	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	1	20	800.33	
C123	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Fairview	FAIRVIEW	\$ 221,824	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	6.35	0.64	1.86	0.19	5	0	5.82	48.51	5	3	8	80	2	40	640.51	
C124	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Fannin County	COUNTY-OTHER, FANNIN	\$ 29,907	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	4	80	840.33	
C125	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Farmers Branch	FARMERS BRANCH	\$ 298,626	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	20.11	2.01	3.58	0.36	5	0	7.37	61.41	5	5	10	100	0	0	633.41	
C126	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Farmersville	FARMERSVILLE	\$ 25,355	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	6.35	0.64	0.89	0.09	5	0	5.72	47.70	5	3	8	80	4	80	679.70	
C127	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Fate	FATE	\$ 116,210	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	6.35	0.64	1.51	0.15	5	0	5.79	48.22	5	3	8	80	1	20	640.22	
C128	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Ferris	FERRIS	\$ 42,703	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	7.20	0.72	2.81	0.28	5	0	6.00	50.01	5	3	8	80	0	0	602.01	
C129	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Files Valley WSC	FILES VALLEY WSC	\$ 2,010	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	5	100	860.33	
C130	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Flo Community WSC	FLO COMMUNITY WSC	\$ 539	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	5	100	880.33	
C131	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Flower Mound	FLOWER MOUND	\$ 1,062,719	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	3.96	0.40	1.68	0.17	5	0	5.56	46.36	5	3	8	80	2	40	638.36	
C132	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Forest Hill	FOREST HILL	\$ 159,491	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	61.91	6.19	2.55	0.26	5	0	11.45	95.38	5	3	8	80	0	0	647.38	
C133	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Forney	FORNEY	\$ 308,348	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	2.83	0.28	0.85	0.08	5	0	5.37	44.73	5	3	8	80	0	0	596.73	
C134	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Forney Lake WSC	FORNEY LAKE WSC	\$ 44,705	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	6.35	0.64	1.73	0.17	5	0	5.81	48.40	5	3	8	80	2	40	640.40	
C135	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Fort Worth	FORT WORTH	\$ 162,000,000	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	92.14	9.21	17.32	1.73	5	0	15.95	132.88	5	3	8	80	0	0	704.88	

ID	Project Name	Project Sponsor Entity	Capital Cost	Rural/Agricultural Conservation? Conservation/Reuse?	Criteria 1 - Decade of Need for Project				Criteria 2 - Project Feasibility				Criteria 3 - Project Viability						Criteria 4 - Project Sustainability				Criteria 5 - Project Cost Effectiveness		FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT	COMMENTS				
					1A	1B	1 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 1 Total	2A	2B	2C	2D	Criteria 2 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 2 Total	3A	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3B	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3C	3D	Criteria 3 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 3 Total	4A	4B			Criteria 4 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 4 Total	5A	Weighted Criteria 5 Total
					10	10	20	400	5	5	10	5	25	100	100	10	100	10	5	5	30.00	250.00	10	5			15	150	5	100
C136	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Freestone County	COUNTY-OTHER, FREESTONE	\$ 24,466	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	3.05	0.31	3.04	0.30	5	0	5.61	46.74	5	3	8	80	4	80	678.74	
C137	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Frisco	FRISCO	\$ 1,829,608	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	3.89	0.39	1.36	0.14	5	0	5.52	46.04	5	3	8	80	2	40	658.04	
C138	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Frost	FROST	\$ 4,559	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	3.83	0.38	5	0	15.38	128.19	5	3	8	80	1	20	700.19	
C139	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Gainesville	GAINESVILLE	\$ 225,921	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	0	0	780.33	
C140	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Garland	GARLAND	\$ 2,352,502	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	5.73	0.57	1.91	0.19	5	0	5.76	48.04	5	3	8	80	1	20	640.04	
C141	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Garrett	GARRETT	\$ 9,298	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	28.83	2.88	15.77	1.58	5	0	9.46	78.83	5	3	8	80	4	80	710.83	
C142	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Gastonia-Scurry SUD	GASTONIA-SCURRY SUD	\$ 12,199	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	3.23	0.32	1.22	0.12	5	0	5.45	45.38	5	3	8	80	5	100	697.38	
C143	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Glenn Heights	GLENN HEIGHTS	\$ 72,376	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	11.16	1.12	4.20	0.42	5	0	6.54	54.46	5	3	8	80	4	80	686.46	
C144	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Grand Prairie	GRAND PRAIRIE	\$ 2,060,148	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	3.09	0.31	1.93	0.19	5	0	5.50	45.85	5	3	8	80	2	40	657.85	
C145	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Grapevine	GRAPEVINE	\$ 3,237,778	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	18.31	1.83	4.41	0.44	5	0	7.27	60.59	5	3	8	80	0	0	632.59	
C146	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Grayson County	COUNTY-OTHER, GRAYSON	\$ 61,207	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	4	80	840.33	
C147	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Gun Barrel City	GUN BARREL CITY	\$ 21,041	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	1.46	0.15	1.23	0.12	5	0	5.27	43.90	5	3	8	80	4	80	675.90	
C148	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Gunter	GUNTER	\$ 20,228	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	14.80	1.48	5	0	16.48	137.33	5	3	8	80	2	40	749.33	
C149	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Hackberry	HACKBERRY	\$ 10,906	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	6.35	0.64	1.68	0.17	5	0	5.80	48.36	5	3	8	80	4	80	680.36	
C150	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Haltom City	HALTOM CITY	\$ 659,284	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	60.06	6.01	2.61	0.26	5	0	11.27	93.89	5	3	8	80	0	0	645.89	
C151	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Haslet	HASLET	\$ 19,711	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	66.50	6.65	2.38	0.24	5	0	11.89	99.06	5	3	8	80	4	80	751.06	
C152	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Heath	HEATH	\$ 680,172	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	6.35	0.64	1.08	0.11	5	0	5.74	47.86	5	3	8	80	0	0	599.86	
C153	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Henderson County	COUNTY-OTHER, HENDERSON	\$ 5,449	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	11.06	1.11	5	0	16.11	134.21	5	3	8	80	5	100	786.21	
C154	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Hickory Creek	HICKORY CREEK	\$ 17,941	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	1014.33	10.00	2.29	0.23	5	0	15.23	126.91	5	3	8	80	4	80	758.91	
C155	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Hickory Creek SUD	HICKORY CREEK SUD	\$ 555	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	5	100	860.33	
C156	Conservation, Water Loss Control - High Point WSC	HIGH POINT WSC	\$ 9,661	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	2.06	0.21	1.14	0.11	5	0	5.32	44.33	5	3	8	80	4	80	676.33	
C157	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Highland Park	HIGHLAND PARK	\$ 87,810	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	59.65	5.96	42.25	4.23	5	0	15.19	126.58	5	3	8	80	4	80	778.58	
C158	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Highland Village	HIGHLAND VILLAGE	\$ 544,339	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	4.24	0.42	5	0	15.42	128.53	5	3	8	80	0	0	680.53	
C159	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Honey Grove	HONEY GROVE	\$ 3,829	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	22.83	2.28	5	0	17.28	144.03	5	3	8	80	5	100	816.03	
C160	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Howe	HOWE	\$ 1,436	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	33.74	3.37	6.88	0.69	5	0	9.06	75.51	5	3	8	80	5	100	727.51	
C161	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Hudson Oaks	HUDSON OAKS	\$ 11,573	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	4	80	840.33	
C162	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Hurst	HURST	\$ 936,745	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	15.59	1.56	2.94	0.29	5	0	6.85	57.11	5	3	8	80	0	0	609.11	
C163	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Hutchins	HUTCHINS	\$ 129,514	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	10.65	1.06	2.76	0.28	5	0	6.34	52.84	5	3	8	80	0	0	604.84	
C164	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Irving	IRVING	\$ 7,890,201	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	1.72	0.17	1.32	0.13	5	0	5.30	44.20	5	3	8	80	0	0	616.20	
C165	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Italy	ITALY	\$ 6,406	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	2.18	0.22	5	0	15.22	126.82	5	3	8	80	4	80	778.82	
C166	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Jack County	COUNTY-OTHER, JACK	\$ 9,485	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	4	80	840.33	
C167	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Jacksboro	JACKSBORO	\$ 16,571	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	1.20	0.12	1.06	0.11	5	0	5.23	43.55	5	3	8	80	4	80	695.55	
C168	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Johnson County SUD	JOHNSON COUNTY SUD	\$ 4,470	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	5	100	880.33	
C169	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Josephine	JOSEPHINE	\$ 6,573	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	3.20	0.32	1.03	0.10	5	0	5.42	45.19	5	3	8	80	4	80	697.19	
C170	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Justin	JUSTIN	\$ 17,064	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	1.42	0.14	0.97	0.10	5	0	5.24	43.66	5	3	8	80	4	80	675.66	
C171	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Kaufman	KAUFMAN	\$ 12,755	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	6.12	0.61	1.74	0.17	5	0	5.79	48.22	5	3	8	80	5	100	700.22	
C172	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Kaufman County	COUNTY-OTHER, KAUFMAN	\$ 37,415	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	5.64	0.56	3.31	0.33	5	0	5.89	49.12	5	3	8	80	4	80	681.12	
C173	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Keller	KELLER	\$ 1,810,304	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	27.31	2.73	2.42	0.24	5	0	7.97	66.45	5	3	8	80	0	0	618.45	
C174	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Kemp	KEMP	\$ 16,760	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	18.03	1.80	8.03	0.80	5	0	7.61	63.38	5	5	10	100	0	0	635.38	
C175	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Kennedale	KENNEDEALE	\$ 50,144	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	15.03	1.50	5	0	16.50	137.53	5	3	8	80	4	80	769.53	
C176	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Kentucky Town WSC	KENTUCKY TOWN WSC	\$ 7,487	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	4	80	840.33	
C177	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Kerens	KERENS	\$ 3,823	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	1.34	0.13	5	0	15.13	126.11	5	3	8	80	5	100	778.11	
C178	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Krugerville	KRUGERVILLE	\$ 7,																											

ID	Project Name	Project Sponsor Entity	Capital Cost	Rural/Agricultural Conservation? Conservation/Reuse?	Criteria 1 - Decade of Need for Project				Criteria 2 - Project Feasibility				Criteria 3 - Project Viability						Criteria 4 - Project Sustainability				Criteria 5 - Project Cost Effectiveness		FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT	COMMENTS				
					1A	1B	1 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 1 Total	2A	2B	2C	2D	Criteria 2 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 2 Total	3A	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3B	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3C	3D	Criteria 3 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 3 Total	4A	4B			Criteria 4 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 4 Total	5A	Weighted Criteria 5 Total
					10	10	20	400	5	5	10	5	25	100	100	10	100	10	5	5	30.00	250.00	10	5			15	150	5	100
C183	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Lake Worth	LAKE WORTH	\$ 2,039,240	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	27.07	2.71	3.25	0.32	5	0	8.03	66.93	5	3	8	80	0	0	638.93	
C184	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Lakeside	LAKESIDE	\$ 22,567	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	0	0	780.33	
C185	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Lakewood Village	LAKWOOD VILLAGE	\$ 2,105	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	4	80	840.33	
C186	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Lancaster	LANCASTER	\$ 1,039,386	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	6.54	0.65	2.45	0.25	5	0	5.90	49.16	5	3	8	80	0	0	601.16	
C187	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Lavon	LAVON	\$ 13,820	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	6.35	0.64	1.68	0.17	5	0	5.80	48.36	5	3	8	80	4	80	680.36	
C188	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Lavon SUD	LAVON SUD	\$ 14,354	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	3.26	0.33	0.89	0.09	5	0	5.42	45.13	5	3	8	80	4	80	677.13	
C189	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Leonard	LEONARD	\$ 16,497	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	7.88	0.79	5	0	15.79	131.57	5	3	8	80	2	40	743.57	
C190	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Lewisville	LEWISVILLE	\$ 1,160,420	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	10.15	1.01	3.07	0.31	5	0	6.32	52.68	5	3	8	80	2	40	644.68	
C191	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Lindsay	LINDSAY	\$ 10,685	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	1	20	780.33	
C192	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Little Elm	LITTLE ELM	\$ 311,279	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	4.42	0.44	1.37	0.14	5	0	5.58	46.49	5	3	8	80	1	20	638.49	
C193	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Log Cabin	LOG CABIN	\$ 1,340	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	5	100	880.33	
C194	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Lowry Crossing	LOWRY CROSSING	\$ 4,120	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	6.35	0.64	1.85	0.18	5	0	5.82	48.50	5	3	8	80	5	100	720.50	
C195	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Lucas	LUCAS	\$ 55,245	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	29.94	2.99	13.18	1.32	5	0	9.31	77.60	5	5	10	100	2	40	689.60	
C196	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Luella SUD	LUELLA SUD	\$ 21,603	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	2	40	820.33	
C197	Conservation, Water Loss Control - M-E-N WSC	M-E-N WSC	\$ 9,629	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	1.32	0.13	5	0	15.13	126.10	5	3	8	80	4	80	758.10	
C198	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Mabank	MABANK	\$ 34,011	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	3.16	0.32	5	0	15.32	127.63	5	3	8	80	2	40	719.63	
C199	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Macbee SUD	MACBEE SUD	\$ 243	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	5	100	880.33	
C200	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Malakoff	MALAKOFF	\$ 18,817	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	56.05	5.60	5	0	20.60	171.71	5	3	8	80	1	20	743.71	
C201	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Mansfield	MANSFIELD	\$ 2,320,683	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	0.81	0.08	0.63	0.06	5	0	5.14	42.86	5	3	8	80	0	0	614.86	
C202	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Marilee SUD	MARILEE SUD	\$ 1,000,000	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	0	0	780.33	
C203	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Maypearl	MAYPEARL	\$ 2,030	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	5	100	860.33	
C204	Conservation, Water Loss Control - McKinney	MCKINNEY	\$ 2,138,094	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	10.14	1.01	2.27	0.23	5	0	6.24	52.00	5	5	10	100	0	0	624.00	
C205	Conservation, Water Loss Control - McLendon-Chisholm	MCLENDON-CHISHOLM	\$ 11,013	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	6.35	0.64	1.74	0.17	5	0	5.81	48.41	5	3	8	80	4	80	680.41	
C206	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Melissa	MELISSA	\$ 56,132	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	7.31	0.73	1.70	0.17	5	0	5.90	49.18	5	3	8	80	4	80	681.18	
C207	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Mesquite	MESQUITE	\$ 3,173,984	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	6.24	0.62	1.97	0.20	5	0	5.82	48.50	5	3	8	80	0	0	620.50	
C208	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Midlothian	MIDLOTHIAN	\$ 517,036	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	1.35	0.14	0.64	0.06	5	0	5.20	43.33	5	3	8	80	0	0	615.33	
C209	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Milford	MILFORD	\$ 4,460	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	1	20	780.33	
C210	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Mineral Wells	MINERAL WELLS	\$ 6,389	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	5	100	860.33	
C211	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Mount Zion WSC	MOUNT ZION WSC	\$ 31,333	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	6.35	0.64	1.74	0.17	5	0	5.81	48.41	5	3	8	80	0	0	620.41	
C212	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Mountain Peak SUD	MOUNTAIN PEAK SUD	\$ 36,158	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	5	10	100	4	80	880.33	
C213	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Mountain Spring WSC	MOUNTAIN SPRING WSC	\$ 11,183	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	4	80	860.33	
C214	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Muenster	MUENSTER	\$ 21,182	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	0	0	780.33	
C215	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Murphy	MURPHY	\$ 209,452	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	6.35	0.64	2.15	0.22	5	0	5.85	48.75	5	3	8	80	2	40	640.75	
C216	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Mustang SUD	MUSTANG SUD	\$ 186,398	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	234.38	10.00	0.42	0.04	5	0	15.04	125.35	5	3	8	80	0	0	677.35	
C217	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Navarro County	COUNTY-OTHER, NAVARRO	\$ 12,260	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	2.45	0.24	5	0	15.24	127.04	5	3	8	80	4	80	759.04	
C218	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Navarro Mills WSC	NAVARRO MILLS WSC	\$ 10,706	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	4	80	860.33	
C219	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Nevada	NEVADA	\$ 1,628	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	6.00	0.60	1.85	0.18	5	0	5.78	48.21	5	3	8	80	5	100	700.21	
C220	Conservation, Water Loss Control - New Fairview	NEW FAIRVIEW	\$ 2,968	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	2.26	0.23	5	0	15.23	126.89	5	3	8	80	5	100	778.89	
C221	Conservation, Water Loss Control - New Hope	NEW HOPE	\$ 3,332	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	6.35	0.64	1.78	0.18	5	0	5.81	48.44	5	3	8	80	4	80	680.44	
C222	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Newark	NEWARK	\$ 3,978	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	1.81	0.18	5	0	15.18	126.50	5	3	8	80	4	80	758.50	
C223	Conservation, Water Loss Control - North Collin WSC	NORTH COLLIN WSC	\$ 17,277	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	5.51	0.55	1.65	0.16	5	0	5.72	47.64	5	3	8	80	4	80	679.64	
C224	Conservation, Water Loss Control - North Hunt SUD	NORTH HUNT SUD	\$ 432	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	5	100	860.33	

ID	Project Name	Project Sponsor Entity	Capital Cost	Rural/Agricultural Conservation? Conservation/Reuse?	Criteria 1 - Decade of Need for Project				Criteria 2 - Project Feasibility				Criteria 3 - Project Viability						Criteria 4 - Project Sustainability				Criteria 5 - Project Cost Effectiveness	FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT	COMMENTS					
					1A	1B	1 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 1 Total	2A	2B	2C	2D	Criteria 2 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 2 Total	3A	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3B	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3C	3D	Criteria 3 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 3 Total	4A			4B	Criteria 4 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 4 Total	5A	Weighted Criteria 5 Total
					10	10	20	400	5	5	10	5	25	100	100	10	100	10	5	5	30.00	250.00	10	5		15	150	5	100	1000.00
C233	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Paloma Creek	PALOMA CREEK	\$ 110,011	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	1281.00	10.00	1.73	0.17	5	0	15.17	126.44	5	3	8	80	2	40	718.44	
C234	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Pantego	PANTEGO	\$ 21,919	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	4	80	840.33	
C235	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Parker	PARKER	\$ 119,273	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	6.35	0.64	0.32	0.03	5	0	5.67	47.23	5	3	8	80	2	40	659.23	
C236	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Parker County	COUNTY-OTHER, PARKER	\$ 179,036	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	4	80	840.33	
C237	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Parker County SUD	PARKER COUNTY SUD	\$ 35,633	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	2	40	820.33	
C238	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Payne Springs	PAYNE SPRINGS	\$ 2,203	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	11.70	1.17	5	0	16.17	134.75	5	3	8	80	5	100	786.75	
C239	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Pecan Hill	PECAN HILL	\$ 2,168	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	1.63	0.16	0.93	0.09	5	0	5.26	43.80	5	3	8	80	5	100	715.80	
C240	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Pelican Bay	PELICAN BAY	\$ 10,113	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	0	0	760.33	
C241	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Pilot Point	PILOT POINT	\$ 37,796	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	2	40	800.33	
C242	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Plano	PLANO	\$ 1,689,481	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	6.21	0.62	2.02	0.20	5	0	5.82	48.52	5	3	8	80	4	80	680.52	
C243	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Ponder	PONDER	\$ 21,028	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	0	0	780.33	
C244	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Post Oak Bend City	POST OAK BEND CITY	\$ 1,726	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	6.35	0.64	1.76	0.18	5	0	5.81	48.43	5	3	8	80	5	100	700.43	
C245	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Pottsboro	POTTSBORO	\$ 42,893	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	4.80	0.48	5	0	15.48	129.00	5	3	8	80	0	0	681.00	
C246	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Princeton	PRINCETON	\$ 21,181	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	4.75	0.48	1.30	0.13	5	0	5.61	46.71	5	3	8	80	4	80	678.71	
C247	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Prosper	PROSPER	\$ 245,098	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	6.35	0.64	0.97	0.10	5	0	5.73	47.77	5	3	8	80	2	40	659.77	
C248	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Providence Village WCID	PROVIDENCE VILLAGE WCID	\$ 31,785	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	2.37	0.24	5	0	15.24	126.97	5	3	8	80	4	80	758.97	
C249	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Red Oak	RED OAK	\$ 63,535	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	2.45	0.24	1.60	0.16	5	0	5.40	45.04	5	3	8	80	4	80	677.04	
C250	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Reno	RENO	\$ 1,404	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	5	100	860.33	
C251	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Rhome	RHOME	\$ 3,921	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	1.64	0.16	5	0	15.16	126.37	5	3	8	80	5	100	778.37	
C252	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Rice	RICE	\$ 2,533	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	1.31	0.13	5	0	15.13	126.10	5	3	8	80	5	100	778.10	
C253	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Rice WSC	RICE WSC	\$ 28,765	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	1.05	0.10	5	0	15.10	125.87	5	3	8	80	4	80	777.87	
C254	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Richardson	RICHARDSON	\$ 792,858	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	5.89	0.59	1.94	0.19	5	0	5.78	48.19	5	3	8	80	4	80	700.19	
C255	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Richland Hills	RICHLAND HILLS	\$ 143,796	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	57.40	5.74	3.15	0.32	5	0	11.06	92.13	5	3	8	80	0	0	644.13	
C256	Conservation, Water Loss Control - River Oaks	RIVER OAKS	\$ 100,337	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	5.79	0.58	5	0	15.58	129.82	5	3	8	80	0	0	681.82	
C257	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Roanoke	ROANOKE	\$ 92,645	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	25.72	2.57	2.08	0.21	5	0	7.78	64.83	5	3	8	80	2	40	656.83	
C258	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Rockett SUD	ROCKETT SUD	\$ 500,000	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	0.57	0.06	0.33	0.03	5	0	5.09	42.42	5	3	8	80	0	0	614.42	
C259	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Rockwall	ROCKWALL	\$ 409,483	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	3.86	0.39	0.91	0.09	5	0	5.48	45.64	5	3	8	80	2	40	637.64	
C260	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Rockwall County	COUNTY-OTHER, ROCKWALL	\$ 12,200	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	6.35	0.64	2.15	0.22	5	0	5.85	48.76	5	3	8	80	4	80	680.76	
C261	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Rose Hill SUD	ROSE HILL SUD	\$ 22,139	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	5.28	0.53	1.48	0.15	5	0	5.68	47.30	5	3	8	80	2	40	639.30	
C262	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Rowlett	ROWLETT	\$ 1,471,425	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	6.35	0.64	2.01	0.20	5	0	5.84	48.64	5	3	8	80	0	0	600.64	
C263	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Roysse City	ROYSE CITY	\$ 26,487	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	4.38	0.44	1.36	0.14	5	0	5.57	46.45	5	3	8	80	4	80	678.45	
C264	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Runaway Bay	RUNAWAY BAY	\$ 6,539	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	5.02	0.50	5	0	15.50	129.18	5	3	8	80	5	100	781.18	
C265	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Sachse	SACHSE	\$ 516,882	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	6.35	0.63	2.16	0.22	5	0	5.85	48.76	5	3	8	80	0	0	600.76	
C266	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Saginaw	SAGINAW	\$ 1,000,000	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	60.54	6.05	2.32	0.23	5	0	11.29	94.05	5	3	8	80	0	0	666.05	
C267	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Sanger	SANGER	\$ 28,949	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	217.94	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	4	80	860.33	
C268	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Sansom Park	SANSOM PARK	\$ 14,529	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	4	80	840.33	
C269	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Sardis-Lone Elm WSC	SARDIS-LONE ELM WSC	\$ 111,552	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	2.97	0.30	1.28	0.13	5	0	5.42	45.20	5	3	8	80	4	80	697.20	
C270	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Savoy	SAVOY	\$ 1,433	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	11.00	1.10	5	0	16.10	134.17	5	3	8	80	5	100	786.17	
C271	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Scurry	SCURRY	\$ 864	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	6.35	0.64	1.78	0.18	5	0	5.81	48.44	5	3	8	80	5	100	700.44	
C272	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Seagoville	SEAGOVILLE	\$ 76,397	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	0.91	0.09	0.66	0.07	5	0	5.16	42.97	5	3	8	80	4	80	674.97	
C273	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Seis Lagos UD	SEIS LAGOS UD	\$ 150,585	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	6.35	0.64	2.16	0.22	5	0	5.85	48.76	5	3	8	80	0	0	620.76	
C274	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Seven Points	SEVEN POINTS	\$ 8,550	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	3.94	0.39	1.95	0.20	5	0	5.59	46.58	5	3	8	80	4	80	698.58	
C275	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Shady Shores	SHADY SHORES	\$ 13,964	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	#####	10.00	2.64	0.26	5	0	15.26	127.20	5	3	8	80	4	80	759.20	
C276	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Sherman	SHERMAN	\$ 1,033,474	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	28.22	2.82	5.20	0.52	5	0	8.34	69.52	5	3	8	80	0	0	641.52	
C277	Conservation, Water Loss Control - South Grayson WSC	SOUTH GRAYSON WSC	\$ 32,462	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	1	20	800.33	
C278	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Southlake	SOUTHLAKE	\$ 1,698,028	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	22.03	2.20	2.31	0.23	5	0	7.43	61.95	5	3	8	80	0	0	613.95	
C279	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Southmayd	SOUTHMAYD	\$ 5,277	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	2	40	800.33	
C280	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Southwest Fannin County SUD	SOUTHWEST FANNIN COUNTY SUD	\$ 12,165	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	5.18	0.52	5	0	15.52</									

ID	Project Name	Project Sponsor Entity	Capital Cost	Rural/Agricultural Conservation? Conservation/Reuse?	Criteria 1 - Decade of Need for Project				Criteria 2 - Project Feasibility				Criteria 3 - Project Viability						Criteria 4 - Project Sustainability				Criteria 5 - Project Cost Effectiveness		FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT	COMMENTS				
					1A	1B	1 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 1 Total	2A	2B	2C	2D	Criteria 2 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 2 Total	3A	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3B	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3C	3D	Criteria 3 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 3 Total	4A	4B			Criteria 4 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 4 Total	5A	Weighted Criteria 5 Total
					10	10	20	400	5	5	10	5	25	100	100	10	100	10	5	5	30.00	250.00	10	5			15	150	5	100
C281	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Springtown	SPRINGTOWN	\$ 6,872	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	2.03	0.20	0.90	0.09	5	0	5.29	44.11	5	3	8	80	5	100	696.11	
C282	Conservation, Water Loss Control - St. Paul	ST. PAUL	\$ 8,349	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	6.35	0.64	1.90	0.19	5	0	5.83	48.55	5	3	8	80	4	80	700.55	
C283	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Sunnyvale	SUNNYVALE	\$ 169,489	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	6.35	0.64	1.51	0.15	5	0	5.79	48.22	5	3	8	80	1	20	640.22	
C284	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Talty	TALTY	\$ 3,079	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	6.35	0.64	1.73	0.17	5	0	5.81	48.40	5	3	8	80	5	100	720.40	
C285	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Talty WSC	TALTY WSC	\$ 27,225	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	5.64	0.56	1.65	0.16	5	0	5.73	47.74	5	3	8	80	5	100	719.74	
C286	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Tarrant County	COUNTY-OTHER, TARRANT	\$ 158,141	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	35.56	3.56	2.53	0.25	5	0	8.81	73.41	5	3	8	80	4	80	705.41	
C287	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Teague	TEAGUE	\$ 7,053	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	5	100	860.33	
C288	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Terrell	TERRELL	\$ 132,163	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	4.79	0.48	0.99	0.10	5	0	5.58	46.48	5	3	8	80	4	80	698.48	
C289	Conservation, Water Loss Control - The Colony	THE COLONY	\$ 317,769	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	11.50	1.15	3.31	0.33	5	0	6.48	54.01	5	3	8	80	2	40	666.01	
C290	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Tioga	TIOGA	\$ 8,424	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	11.90	1.19	5	0	16.19	134.92	5	3	8	80	1	20	706.92	
C291	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Tom Bean	TOM BEAN	\$ 2,097	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	36.45	3.65	5	0	18.65	155.38	5	5	10	100	5	100	847.38	
C292	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Tool	TOOL	\$ 13,672	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	3.95	0.40	2.13	0.21	5	0	5.61	46.73	5	3	8	80	4	80	678.73	
C293	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Trenton	TRENTON	\$ 6,658	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	1.36	0.14	5	0	15.14	126.14	5	3	8	80	2	40	718.14	
C294	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Trinidad	TRINIDAD	\$ 4,211	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	2	40	800.33	
C295	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Trophy Club	TROPHY CLUB	\$ 338,556	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	13.14	1.31	2.60	0.26	5	0	6.57	54.78	5	3	8	80	2	40	666.78	
C296	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Two Way SUD	TWO WAY SUD	\$ 34,470	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	2.04	0.20	5	0	15.20	126.70	5	3	8	80	2	40	738.70	
C297	Conservation, Water Loss Control - University Park	UNIVERSITY PARK	\$ 4,000,000	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	59.55	5.95	43.31	4.33	5	0	15.29	127.38	5	3	8	80	0	0	699.38	
C298	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Valley View	VALLEY VIEW	\$ 755	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	7.00	0.70	5	0	15.70	130.83	5	3	8	80	5	100	782.83	
C299	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Van Alstyne	VAN ALSTYNE	\$ 35,411	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	12.15	1.22	5	0	16.22	135.13	5	3	8	80	1	20	707.13	
C300	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Venus	VENUS	\$ 740	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	0.05	0.00	0.03	0.00	5	0	5.01	41.74	5	3	8	80	2	40	653.74	
C301	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Virginia Hill WSC	VIRGINIA HILL WSC	\$ 4,442	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	5	100	880.33	
C302	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Walnut Creek SUD	WALNUT CREEK SUD	\$ 75,798	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	3.02	0.30	5	0	15.30	127.52	5	3	8	80	2	40	739.52	
C303	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Watauga	WATAUGA	\$ 396,643	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	1.44	0.14	1.26	0.13	5	0	5.27	43.92	5	3	8	80	0	0	595.92	
C304	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Waxahachie	WAXAHACHIE	\$ 1,491,310	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	0	0	780.33	
C305	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Weatherford	WEATHERFORD	\$ 3,287,593	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	2.31	0.23	1.69	0.17	5	0	5.40	45.00	5	5	10	100	0	0	637.00	
C306	Conservation, Water Loss Control - West Cedar Creek MUD	WEST CEDAR CREEK MUD	\$ 54,495	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	2.06	0.21	1.04	0.10	5	0	5.31	44.25	5	3	8	80	2	40	636.25	
C307	Conservation, Water Loss Control - West Wise SUD	WEST WISE SUD	\$ 23,121	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	212.50	10.00	4.70	0.47	5	0	15.47	128.92	5	3	8	80	2	40	740.92	
C308	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Westlake	WESTLAKE	\$ 40,661	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	27.76	2.78	1.73	0.17	5	0	7.95	66.24	5	3	8	80	4	80	718.24	
C309	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Weston	WESTON	\$ 38,948	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	3.56	0.36	0.40	0.04	5	0	5.40	44.97	5	3	8	80	1	20	616.97	
C310	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Westover Hills	WESTOVER HILLS	\$ 9,899	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	49.06	4.91	9.40	0.94	5	0	10.85	90.38	5	5	10	100	0	0	662.38	
C311	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Westworth Village	WESTWORTH VILLAGE	\$ 11,224	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	65.83	6.58	2.44	0.24	5	0	11.83	98.56	5	3	8	80	4	80	730.56	
C312	Conservation, Water Loss Control - White Settlement	WHITE SETTLEMENT	\$ 64,606	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	61.21	6.12	5.03	0.50	5	0	11.62	96.86	5	3	8	80	4	80	728.86	
C313	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Whitesboro	WHITESBORO	\$ 12,279	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	4	80	840.33	
C314	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Whitewright	WHITEWRIGHT	\$ 11,395	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	5	3	8	80	2	40	800.33	
C315	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Willow Park	WILLOW PARK	\$ 40,117	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	189.75	10.00	2.58	0.26	5	0	15.26	127.15	5	3	8	80	2	40	719.15	
C316	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Wilmer	WILMER	\$ 11,495	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	1.03	0.10	0.88	0.09	5	0	5.19	43.25	5	3	8	80	4	80	675.25	
C317	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Wise County	COUNTY-OTHER, WISE	\$ 87,859	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	3.93	0.39	3.60	0.36	5	0	5.75	47.93	5	3	8	80	4	80	679.93	
C318	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Woodbine WSC	WOODBINE WSC	\$ 23,732	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	6.60	0.66	5	0	15.66	130.50	5	3	8	80	4	80	782.50	
C319	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Wortham	WORTHAM	\$ 6,800	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	7.64	0.76	4.67	0.47	5	0	6.23	51.92	5	3	8	80	2	40	643.92	
C320	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Wylie	WYLIE	\$ 1,130,695	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	6.32	0.63	1.93	0.19	5	0	5.83	48.55	5	3	8	80	0	0	620.55	
C321	Conservation, Water Loss Control - Wylie Northeast SUD	WYLIE NORTHEAST SUD	\$ 150,000	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	3.13	0.31	0.75	0.08	5	0	5.39	44.90	5	3	8	80	0	0	596.90	
C322	Conservation, Water Waste Prohibition - Argyle WSC	ARGYLE WSC	\$ 7,334	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	0.69	0.07	5	0	15.07	125.57	10	5	15	150	4	80	827.57	
C323	Conservation, Water Waste Prohibition - Athens	ATHENS	\$ 7,334	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	1852.23	10.00	1.32	0.13	5	0	15.13	126.10	10	5	15	150	1	20	788.10	
C324	Conservation, Water Waste Prohibition - Benbrook	BENBROOK	\$ 7,334	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	1.65	0.17	1.10	0.11	5	0	5.28	43.97	10	5	15	150	2	40	705.97	
C325	Conservation, Water Waste Prohibition - Cedar Hill	CEDAR HILL	\$ 13,210	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	3.32	0.33	1.06	0.11	5	0	5.44	45.31	10	5	15	150	1	20	687.31	
C326	Conservation, Water Waste Prohibition - Ennis	ENNIS	\$ 7,334	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	2.92	0.29	0.50	0.05	5	0	5.34	44.51	10	5	15	150	0	0	666.51	
C327	Conservation, Water Waste Prohibition - Euless	EULESS	\$ 14,668	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	0.92	0.09	5	0	15.09	125.76	10	5	15	150	0	0	747.76	
C328	Conservation, Water Waste Prohibition - Farmers Branch	FARMERS BRANCH	\$ 8,395	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	1.82	0.18	0.83	0.08	5	0	5.26	43.87	10	5	1					

ID	Project Name	Project Sponsor Entity	Capital Cost	Rural/Agricultural Conservation? Conservation/Reuse?	Criteria 1 - Decade of Need for Project				Criteria 2 - Project Feasibility				Criteria 3 - Project Viability						Criteria 4 - Project Sustainability				Criteria 5 - Project Cost Effectiveness		FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT	COMMENTS				
					1A	1B	1 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 1 Total	2A	2B	2C	2D	Criteria 2 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 2 Total	3A	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3B	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3C	3D	Criteria 3 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 3 Total	4A	4B			Criteria 4 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 4 Total	5A	Weighted Criteria 5 Total
					10	10	20	400	5	5	10	5	25	100	100	10	100	10	5	5	30.00	250.00	10	5			15	150	5	100
C330	Conservation, Water Waste Prohibition - Hudson Oaks	HUDSON OAKS	\$ 7,334	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	100.00	10.00	1.66	0.17	5	0	15.17	126.39	10	5	15	150	0	0	748.39	
C331	Conservation, Water Waste Prohibition - Midlothian	MIDLOTHIAN	\$ 7,334	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	0.95	0.10	0.75	0.07	5	0	5.17	43.08	10	5	15	150	4	80	765.08	
C332	Conservation, Water Waste Prohibition - Murphy	MURPHY	\$ 7,334	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	6.45	0.65	2.40	0.24	5	0	5.89	49.05	10	5	15	150	4	80	751.05	
C333	Conservation, Water Waste Prohibition - Weatherford	WEATHERFORD	\$ 7,407	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	0.82	0.08	1.26	0.13	5	0	5.21	43.40	10	5	15	150	4	80	765.40	
C334	Conservation, Water Waste Prohibition - Westover Hills	WESTOVER HILLS	\$ 7,334	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	0	18	72	18.91	1.89	3.21	0.32	5	0	7.21	60.10	10	5	15	150	5	100	782.10	
C335	Corinth - New Well in Trinity Aquifer (2020) Q-96	CORINTH	\$ 1,634,600		10	10	20	400	3	0	8	5	16	64	66.23	6.62	16.39	1.64	0	0	8.26	68.86	10	3	13	130	4	80	742.86	
C336	Corinth - New Well in Trinity Aquifer (2030) Q-97	CORINTH	\$ 1,634,600		8	10	18	360	3	0	3	5	11	44	26.58	2.66	16.39	1.64	0	0	4.30	35.81	10	3	13	130	4	80	649.81	
C337	Corinth - Upgrade Existing Well Q-98	CORINTH	\$ 2,372,900		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	33.77	3.38	8.36	0.84	0	0	4.21	35.10	10	3	13	130	1	20	669.10	
C338	Corsicana - New 8 MGD Water Treatment Plant Q-12	CORSICANA	\$ 37,370,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	100.00	10.00	19.22	1.92	0	5	16.92	141.02	10	3	13	130	4	80	835.02	
C339	Corsicana - Water Treatment Plant Expansion Q-13	CORSICANA	\$ 21,689,000		4	8	12	240	3	5	1	5	14	56	65.13	6.51	38.45	3.84	0	5	15.36	127.98	10	3	13	130	4	80	633.98	
C340	Cresson - New Well in Trinity Aquifer Q-170	CRESSON	\$ 917,300		10	10	20	400	3	0	8	5	16	64	1027.27	10.00	98.26	9.83	5	0	24.83	206.88	10	3	13	130	2	40	840.88	
C341	Cross Timbers WSC - Infrastructure Improvements Q-99	CROSS TIMBERS WSC	\$ 5,858,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	118.17	10.00	135.93	10.00	5	5	30.00	250.00	10	5	15	150	4	80	904.00	
C342	Crowley - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from Fort Worth Q-187	CROWLEY	\$ 11,558,000		8	10	18	360	3	0	3	5	11	44	23.74	2.37	81.82	8.18	5	0	15.56	129.63	10	5	15	150	4	80	763.63	
C343	Denison - Expand Raw Water Delivery from Lake Texoma Q-137	DENISON	\$ 21,629,700		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	304.83	10.00	107.78	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33	10	3	13	130	2	40	802.33	
C344	Denison - New 4 MGD Water Treatment Plant Q-12	DENISON	\$ 19,888,000		2	4	6	120	3	5	1	5	14	56	60.42	6.04	35.93	3.59	0	5	14.63	121.96	10	3	13	130	1	20	447.96	
C345	Denison - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	DENISON	\$ 13,168,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	304.83	10.00	35.93	3.59	0	5	18.59	154.94	10	3	13	130	2	40	748.94	
C346	Denison - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	DENISON	\$ 13,168,000		0	2	2	40	3	5	1	5	14	56	35.93	3.59	35.93	3.59	0	5	12.19	101.54	10	3	13	130	2	40	367.54	
C347	Denton - 20 MGD Ray Roberts Plant Expansion Q-13	DENTON	\$ 42,922,000		6	8	14	280	3	5	1	5	14	56	15.56	1.56	15.10	1.51	0	5	8.07	67.22	10	5	15	150	4	80	633.22	
C348	Denton - 30 MGD Ray Roberts Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	DENTON	\$ 59,881,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	83.46	8.35	22.66	2.27	0	5	15.61	130.10	10	5	15	150	4	80	844.10	
C349	Denton - 30 MGD Ray Roberts Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	DENTON	\$ 59,881,000		4	6	10	200	3	5	1	5	14	56	12.12	1.21	22.66	2.27	0	5	8.48	70.65	10	5	15	150	4	80	556.65	
C350	Denton - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	DENTON	\$ 51,402,000		2	4	6	120	3	5	1	5	14	56	14.92	1.49	18.88	1.89	0	5	8.38	69.83	10	5	15	150	4	80	475.83	
C351	Denton - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	DENTON	\$ 51,402,000		0	2	2	40	3	5	1	5	14	56	15.25	1.52	15.25	1.52	0	5	8.05	67.08	10	5	15	150	4	80	393.08	
C352	Denton County Manufacturing - New Well in Woodbine Aquifer Q-100	MANUFACTURING, DENTON	\$ 777,700		10	10	20	400	3	0	8	0	11	44	160.89	10.00	11.74	1.17	5	0	16.17	134.79	10	3	13	130	4	80	788.79	
C353	Denton County Other - New Well in Woodbine Aquifer Q-101	COUNTY-OTHER, DENTON	\$ 11,691,860		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	0	16	64	100.00	10.00	9.23	0.92	0	0	10.92	91.02	10	3	13	130	0	0	685.02	
C354	Denton County Other - New Well in Trinity Aquifer Q-102	COUNTY-OTHER, DENTON	\$ 2,772,023		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	0	16	64	100.00	10.00	5.69	0.57	0	0	10.57	88.08	10	3	13	130	1	20	702.08	
C355	DWU - Connect Lake Palestine Q-36	DALLAS	\$ 465,491,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33	10	0	10	100	2	40	772.33	
C356	DWU - Connect Lake Palestine Q-36	TARRANT REGIONAL WD	\$ -				0	0					0	0		0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0	0		0	0.00	DELETED FROM DB 17	
C357	DWU - Connect to Bachman Q-37	DALLAS	\$ 48,574,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33	10	0	10	100	5	100	832.33	
C358	DWU - Infrastructure to Treat and Deliver to Customers 2020 New Water Plant Q-40	DALLAS	\$ 368,187,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	172.74	10.00	100.00	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33	10	5	15	150	0	0	842.33	
C359	DWU - Infrastructure to Treat and Deliver to Customers 2025 WTP Expansions Q-40	DALLAS	\$ 346,680,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	203.64	10.00	100.00	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33	10	5	15	150	0	0	782.33	
C360	DWU - Infrastructure to Treat and Deliver to Customers 2035 WTP Expansions Q-40	DALLAS	\$ 1,211,133,000		6	10	16	320	3	5	1	5	14	56	104.87	10.00	100.00	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33	10	5	15	150	0	0	734.33	
C361	DWU - Infrastructure to Treat and Deliver to Customers 2045 WTP Expansions Q-40	DALLAS	\$ 161,784,000		4	8	12	240	3	5	1	5	14	56	114.53	10.00	100.00	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33	10	5	15	150	0	0	654.33	
C362	DWU - Infrastructure to Treat and Deliver to Customers 2058 WTP Expansions Q-40	DALLAS	\$ 2,402,364,000				0	0					0	0		0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0	0	0	0	0.00	DELETED FROM DB 17	
C363	DWU - IPL Infrastructure Improvements Q-47	DALLAS	\$ 887,954,000				0	0					0	0		0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0	0	0	0	0.00	DELETED FROM DB 17	
C364	DWU - IPL Infrastructure Improvements Q-47	TARRANT REGIONAL WD	\$ 702,008,133				0	0					0	0		0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0	0	0	0	0.00	DELETED FROM DB 17	
C365	DWU - Lake Columbia Q-39	DALLAS	\$ 351,756,000		0	4	4	80	3	5	3	5	16	64	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33	10	0	10	100	2	40	492.33	
C366	DWU - Main Stem Balancing Reservoir Q-35	DALLAS	\$ 674,463,000		4	8	12	240	3	0	1	5	9	36	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33	10	5	15	150	4	80	714.33	
C367	DWU - Main Stem Pump Station Q-34	DALLAS	\$ 44,481,000		10	10	20	400	5	3	9	5	22	88	173.83	10.00	0.00	0.00	0	5	15.00	125.00	10	0	10	100	5	100	813.00	
C368	DWU - Neches River Run-of-the-River Diversions Project Q-38	DALLAS	\$ 226,790,000		2	6	8	160	3	0	1	5	9	36	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33	10	3	13	130	2	40	574.33	
C369	East Cedar Creek - Water Treatment Plant Expansion Q-13	EAST CEDAR CREEK FWSD	\$ 8,904,000		0	2	2	40	3	5	1	5	14	56	51.52	5.15	51.52	5.15	5	5	20.30	169.20	10	5	15	150	2	40	455.20	
C370	East Fork SUD - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from NTMWD Q-181	EAST FORK SUD	\$ 3,500,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	89.17	8.92	95.28	9.53	5	5	28.44	237.04	10	5	15	150	2	40	911.04	
C371	East Parker County - Pipeline from Weatherford to Annetta, Annetta North, Annetta South, and W Q-171	ANNETTA	\$ 2,077,600		8	10	18	360	3	0	3	5																		

ID	Project Name	Project Sponsor Entity	Capital Cost	Rural/Agricultural Conservation? Conservation/Reuse?	Criteria 1 - Decade of Need for Project				Criteria 2 - Project Feasibility				Criteria 3 - Project Viability						Criteria 4 - Project Sustainability				Criteria 5 - Project Cost Effectiveness		FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT	COMMENTS				
					1A	1B	1 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 1 Total	2A	2B	2C	2D	Criteria 2 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 2 Total	3A	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3B	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3C	3D	Criteria 3 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 3 Total	4A	4B			Criteria 4 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 4 Total	5A	Weighted Criteria 5 Total
					10	10	20	400	5	5	10	5	25	100	100	10	100	10	5	5	30.00	250.00	10	5			15	150	5	100
C372	East Parker County - Pipeline from Weatherford to Annetta, Annetta North, Annetta South, and W Q-171	ANNETTA NORTH	\$ 59,400		8	10	18	360	3	0	3	5	11	44	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	5	30.00	250.00	10	3	13	130	0	0	784.00	
C373	East Parker County - Pipeline from Weatherford to Annetta, Annetta North, Annetta South, and W Q-171	ANNETTA SOUTH	\$ 1,183,300		8	10	18	360	3	0	3	5	11	44	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	5	30.00	250.00	10	3	13	130	0	0	784.00	
C374	East Parker County - Pipeline from Weatherford to Annetta, Annetta North, Annetta South, and W Q-171	WILLOW PARK	\$ 588,100		8	10	18	360	3	0	3	5	11	44	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	5	30.00	250.00	10	3	13	130	0	0	784.00	
C375	Ellis County SEP - Purchase Water from Waxahachie Q-107	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, ELLIS	\$ 15,009,000		6	8	14	280	3	0	1	0	4	16	92.35	9.23	46.40	4.64	5	0	18.87	157.29	10	5	15	150	4	80	683.29	
C376	Ennis - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	ENNIS	\$ 17,433,000		6	8	14	280	3	5	1	0	9	36	4.26	0.43	17.69	1.77	0	5	7.20	59.96	10	5	15	150	4	80	605.96	
C377	Ennis - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	ENNIS	\$ 21,697,000		2	4	6	120	3	5	1	0	9	36	47.36	4.74	23.58	2.36	0	5	12.09	100.79	10	5	15	150	4	80	486.79	
C378	Ennis - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 3 Q-13	ENNIS	\$ 36,138,000		0	2	2	40	3	5	1	0	9	36	47.29	4.73	47.29	4.73	0	5	14.46	120.49	10	5	15	150	4	80	426.49	
C379	Ennis Indirect Reuse Q-108	ENNIS	\$ 39,456,900	x	6	8	14	280	3	0	1	0	4	16	39.45	3.94	19.44	1.94	0	5	10.89	90.74	10	5	15	150	0	0	536.74	
C380	Eustace - New well in Carrizo-Wilcox Q-146	EUSTACE	\$ 912,400		10	10	20	400	3	0	8	5	16	64	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	10	3	13	130	1	20	822.33	
C381	Fairfield - Connect to and Purchase Water from TRWD (Richland-Chambers) Q-132	FAIRFIELD	\$ 7,283,000		4	6	10	200	3	5	1	5	14	56	85.65	8.57	91.91	9.19	5	5	27.76	231.30	10	5	15	150	2	40	677.30	
C382	Fannin County SEP - Connect to and Purchase Water From Lake Texoma Q-128	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, FANNIN	\$ 25,026,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	0	11	44	183.26	10.00	124.79	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	10	3	13	130	5	100	842.33	
C383	Fate - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from NTMWD Q-182	FATE	\$ 15,075,000		2	4	6	120	3	5	1	5	14	56	20.42	2.04	90.53	9.05	5	0	16.10	134.13	10	5	15	150	4	80	540.13	
C384	Ferris - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from Rockett SUD Q-109	FERRIS	\$ 2,578,000		2	4	6	120	3	5	1	0	9	36	68.52	6.85	96.94	9.69	5	0	21.55	179.55	10	5	15	150	5	100	585.55	
C385	Forney - Increase Pump Station Capacity Q-154	FORNEY	\$ 11,162,800		4	6	10	200	3	5	1	0	9	36	17.11	1.71	95.15	9.52	5	5	21.23	176.88	10	5	15	150	5	100	662.88	
C386	Fort Worth - 50 MGD Expansion 1 Q-13	FORT WORTH	\$ 93,960,000		4	6	10	200	3	5	1	5	14	56	16.25	1.63	10.87	1.09	0	5	7.71	64.27	10	3	13	130	4	80	530.27	
C387	Fort Worth - 50 MGD Expansion 2 Q-13	FORT WORTH	\$ 93,960,000		4	6	10	200	3	5	1	5	14	56	7.60	0.76	10.87	1.09	0	5	6.85	57.06	10	3	13	130	4	80	523.06	
C388	Fort Worth - 50 MGD Expansion 3 Q-13	FORT WORTH	\$ 93,960,000		2	4	6	120	3	5	1	5	14	56	11.16	1.12	10.87	1.09	0	5	7.20	60.03	10	3	13	130	4	80	446.03	
C389	Fort Worth - 50 MGD Expansion 4 Q-13	FORT WORTH	\$ 93,960,000		0	2	2	40	3	5	1	5	14	56	10.87	1.09	10.87	1.09	0	5	7.17	59.79	10	3	13	130	4	80	365.79	
C390	Fort Worth - 50 MGD Expansion 5 Q-13	FORT WORTH	\$ 93,960,000		0	2	2	40	3	5	1	5	14	56	3.07	0.31	3.07	0.31	0	5	5.61	46.78	10	3	13	130	4	80	352.78	
C391	Fort Worth - Eagle Mountain 30 MGD Expansion Q-13	FORT WORTH	\$ 59,977,000		6	8	14	280	3	5	1	5	14	56	12.33	1.23	6.52	0.65	0	5	6.89	57.38	10	3	13	130	4	80	603.38	
C392	Fort Worth - Eagle Mountain 35 MGD Expansion Q-13	FORT WORTH	\$ 68,472,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	30.17	3.02	7.61	0.76	0	5	8.78	73.15	10	3	13	130	4	80	707.15	
C393	Fort Worth - Municipal Conservation - Advanced Meter Infrastructure Program Q-209	FORT WORTH	\$ 76,000,000	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	198.18	10.00	7.53	0.75	5	0	15.75	131.27	10	5	15	150	4	80	853.27	
C394	Fort Worth - Rolling Hills 50 MGD Expansion Q-13	FORT WORTH	\$ 93,960,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	0.64	0.06	10.87	1.09	0	5	6.15	51.26	10	3	13	130	4	80	685.26	
C395	Fort Worth - West Plant 23 MGD Expansion Q-13	FORT WORTH	\$ 48,082,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	19.82	1.98	5.00	0.50	0	5	7.48	62.35	10	3	13	130	4	80	696.35	
C396	Fort Worth - West Plant 35 MGD Expansion Q-13	FORT WORTH	\$ 68,472,000		6	8	14	280	3	5	1	5	14	56	15.40	1.54	7.61	0.76	0	5	7.30	60.84	10	3	13	130	4	80	606.84	
C397	Fort Worth Direct Reuse - Alliance Corridor Q-68	FORT WORTH	\$ 16,083,000	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	22.90	2.29	3.04	0.30	0	5	7.59	63.29	10	5	15	150	5	100	797.29	
C398	Fort Worth Future Direct Reuse Q-67	FORT WORTH	\$ 129,976,000	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	21.98	2.20	3.17	0.32	0	5	7.52	62.63	10	5	15	150	0	0	696.63	
C399	Freestone County Other - Connect to and Purchase Water from TRWD Q-134	COUNTY-OTHER, FREESTONE	\$ 39,845,900		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	0	16	64	95.45	9.55	89.93	8.99	0	0	18.54	154.49	10	5	15	150	0	0	768.49	
C400	Freestone County Other - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from Co Q-133	COUNTY-OTHER, FREESTONE	\$ 5,550,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	0	11	44	20.11	2.01	7.46	0.75	0	0	2.76	22.98	10	5	15	150	0	0	576.98	
C401	Frisco - Develop Direct Reuse Q-74	FRISCO	\$ 34,882,048	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	41.88	4.19	17.80	1.78	5	5	15.97	133.07	10	5	15	150	2	40	807.07	
C402	Gainesville - Direct Reuse Q-81	GAINESVILLE	\$ 1,669,000	x	10	10	20	400	5	5	8	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	1.39	0.14	0	5	15.14	126.16	10	3	13	130	0	0	748.16	
C403	Gainesville - Infrastructure to Deliver to Customers Q-82	GAINESVILLE	\$ 26,296,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	100.00	10.00	36.34	3.63	0	5	18.63	155.28	10	5	15	150	0	0	729.28	
C404	Gainesville - Lake Texoma Q-83	GAINESVILLE	\$ 77,940,700				0	0					0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0	0	0	0.00	ALTERNATIVE - DELETE	
C405	Gainesville - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	GAINESVILLE	\$ 9,970,000		2	4	6	120	3	5	1	5	14	56	72.35	7.24	27.90	2.79	0	5	15.02	125.21	10	5	15	150	2	40	491.21	
C406	Gainesville - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	GAINESVILLE	\$ 17,431,000		0	2	2	40	3	5	1	5	14	56	65.67	6.57	65.67	6.57	0	5	18.13	151.12	10	5	15	150	4	80	477.12	
C407	Gastonia-Scurry SUD - Connect to Seagoville (DWU) Q-155	GASTONIA-SCURRY SUD	\$ 4,577,500		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	0	16	64	39.42	3.94	67.46	6.75	5	0	15.69	130.73	10	5	15	150	5	100	844.73	
C408	Glenn Heights - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from DWU Q-86	GLENN HEIGHTS	\$ 2,374,000		2	4	6	120	3	5	1	0	9	36	21.60	2.16	93.58	9.36	5	5	21.52	179.32	10	5	15	150	5	100	585.32	
C409	Grand Prairie - Connect to and Purchase Water from Arlington Q-87	GRAND PRAIRIE	\$ 4,950,500		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	19.28	1.93	11.14	1.11	0	5	8.04	67.02	10	5	15	150	1	20	721.02	
C410	Grand Prairie - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from DWU Q-88	GRAND PRAIRIE	\$ 34,306,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	12.60	1.26	57.23	5.72	0	5	11.98	99.85	10	5	15	150	5	100	833.85	
C411	Grayson County Manufacturing - Direct Reuse from Sherman Q-210	MANUFACTURING, GRAYSON	\$ 6,553,000	x	10	10	20	400	3	5	8	0	16	64	100.00	10.00	20.75	2.07	5	0	17.07	142.29	10	5	15	150	1	20	776.29	
C412	Grayson County Mining - New Well in Trinity Aquifer Q-138	MINING, GRAYSON	\$ 161,000		4	6	10	200	3	0	1	0	4	16	4100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	10	3	13	130				

ID	Project Name	Project Sponsor Entity	Capital Cost	Rural/Agricultural Conservation? Conservation/Reuse?	Criteria 1 - Decade of Need for Project				Criteria 2 - Project Feasibility				Criteria 3 - Project Viability						Criteria 4 - Project Sustainability				Criteria 5 - Project Cost Effectiveness		FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT	COMMENTS				
					1A	1B	1 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 1 Total	2A	2B	2C	2D	Criteria 2 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 2 Total	3A	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3B	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3C	3D	Criteria 3 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 3 Total	4A	4B			Criteria 4 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 4 Total	5A	Weighted Criteria 5 Total
					10	10	20	400	5	5	10	5	25	100	100	10	100	10	5	5	30.00	250.00	10	5			15	150	5	100
C413	Grayson County Steam Electric Power - Direct Reuse from Sherman Q-211	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, GRAYSON	\$ 15,784,000	x	8	10	18	360	3	5	3	0	11	44	66.46	6.65	100.00	10.00	5	0	21.65	180.39	10	5	15	150	5	100	834.39	
C414	GTUA - Collin-Grayson Municipal Alliance East-West Water Line Q-65	GREATER TEXOMA UTILITY AUTHORITY	\$ 3,672,000		4	6	10	200	3	5	1	5	14	56	30.22	3.02	73.32	7.33	0	5	15.35	127.95	10	5	15	150	2	40	573.95	
C415	GTUA - Collin-Grayson Municipal Alliance Water Transmission System - Phase 2 Q-66	GREATER TEXOMA UTILITY AUTHORITY	\$ 59,492,000		2	4	6	120	3	5	1	5	14	56	22.72	2.27	93.52	9.35	0	5	16.62	138.54	10	5	15	150	1	20	484.54	
C416	GTUA - Grayson County Water Supply Project Q-64	GREATER TEXOMA UTILITY AUTHORITY	\$ 92,840,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	100.00	10.00	164.19	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33	10	5	15	150	2	40	882.33	
C417	GTUA - Reuse for Grayson County Steam Electric Power Q-63	GREATER TEXOMA UTILITY AUTHORITY	\$ 24,356,000	x	8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	42.11	4.21	42.11	4.21	0	0	8.42	70.19	10	3	13	130	4	80	704.19	
C418	Gunter - New Well in Trinity Aquifer (2020) Q-139	GUNTER	\$ 1,040,300		10	10	20	400	3	0	8	5	16	64	100.00	10.00	13.70	1.37	0	0	11.37	94.75	10	3	13	130	0	0	688.75	
C419	Gunter - New Well in Trinity Aquifer (2030) Q-140	GUNTER	\$ 1,040,300		8	10	18	360	3	0	3	5	11	44	42.37	4.24	6.85	0.68	0	0	4.92	41.02	10	3	13	130	0	0	575.02	
C420	Hackberry - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from NTMWD Q-103	HACKBERRY	\$ 1,731,000		4	6	10	200	3	5	1	0	9	36	33.86	3.39	90.62	9.06	5	0	17.45	145.40	10	5	15	150	4	80	611.40	
C421	Henderson County SEP - Transmission Facilities from Cedar Creek Lake Q-147	COUNTY-OTHER, HENDERSON	\$ 19,951,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	0	16	64	473.68	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	10	0	10	100	5	100	872.33	
C422	Irving - Oklahoma (Lake Hugo) Q-91	IRVING	\$ 177,686,000				0	0					0	0		0.00		0.00			0.00	0.00			0	0	0	0	0.00	ALTERNATIVE - DELETE
C423	Irving - Indirect Reuse (Ellis County Off-Channel Reservoir) Q-89	IRVING	\$ 30,474,000				0	0					0	0		0.00		0.00			0.00	0.00			0	0	0	0	0.00	ALTERNATIVE - DELETE
C424	Jack County Other - Connect to and Purchase Water from Jacksboro Q-151	COUNTY-OTHER, JACK	\$ 1,893,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	0	16	64	100.00	10.00	41.18	4.12	0	0	14.12	117.65	10	3	13	130	0	0	711.65	
C425	Jack County Other - Connect to and Purchase Water from Walnut Creek SUD Q-152	COUNTY-OTHER, JACK	\$ 2,713,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	0	16	64	100.00	10.00	300.00	10.00	0	0	20.00	166.67	10	3	13	130	0	0	760.67	
C426	Johnson County SUD - Connect to Purchase Water from Grand Prairie Q-188	JOHNSON COUNTY SUD	\$ 86,140,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	100.00	10.00	144.15	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	10	3	13	130	1	20	842.33	
C427	Justin - New Well in Trinity Aquifer Q-104	JUSTIN	\$ 2,115,500		10	10	20	400	3	0	8	5	16	64	100.00	10.00	25.97	2.60	5	0	17.60	146.64	10	3	13	130	1	20	760.64	
C428	Kaufman County Mining - Connect to and Purchase Water from NTMWD Q-156	MINING, KAUFMAN	\$ 4,098,000		2	4	6	120	3	5	1	0	9	36	0.86	0.09	33.20	3.32	5	0	8.41	70.06	10	5	15	150	0	0	376.06	
C429	Kaufman County Other - Connect to and Purchase Water from TRWD Q-149	COUNTY-OTHER, KAUFMAN	\$ 11,922,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	0	16	64	55.66	5.57	10.06	1.01	5	0	11.57	96.44	10	5	15	150	0	0	710.44	
C430	Keller - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from Fort Worth Q-189	KELLER	\$ 17,535,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	0	16	64	86.39	8.64	91.70	9.17	5	0	22.81	190.07	10	5	15	150	5	100	904.07	
C431	Kennedale - Connect to and Purchase Water from Arlington Q-190	KENNEDEALE	\$ 1,720,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	100.00	10.00	63.35	6.33	0	0	16.33	136.12	10	3	13	130	4	80	830.12	
C432	Kennedale - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from Fort Wort Q-191	KENNEDEALE	\$ 3,685,000		6	8	14	280	3	5	1	5	14	56	89.10	8.91	62.67	6.27	0	0	15.18	126.47	10	5	15	150	1	20	632.47	
C433	Krum - New Well in Trinity Aquifer Q-105	KRUM	\$ 1,533,200		10	10	20	400	3	0	8	5	16	64	100.00	10.00	67.81	6.78	5	0	21.78	181.51	10	5	15	150	5	100	895.51	
C434	Ladonia - Connect to and Purchase Water from UTRWD (Lake Ralph Hall) Q-129	LADONIA	\$ 12,134,600		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	0	11	44	141.67	10.00	149.44	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	10	5	15	150	0	0	762.33	
C435	Leonard - Water System Improvements Q-207	LEONARD	\$ 2,567,600		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	704.76	10.00	225.62	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	10	5	15	150	1	20	802.33	
C436	Lewisville - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	LEWISVILLE	\$ 17,433,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	42.19	4.22	25.41	2.54	0	5	11.76	98.00	10	5	15	150	4	80	752.00	
C437	Lewisville - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	LEWISVILLE	\$ 17,433,000		6	8	14	280	3	5	1	5	14	56	16.39	1.64	25.41	2.54	0	5	9.18	76.50	10	5	15	150	4	80	642.50	
C438	Lewisville - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 3 Q-13	LEWISVILLE	\$ 19,565,000		4	6	10	200	3	5	1	5	14	56	8.49	0.85	28.28	2.83	0	5	8.68	72.31	10	5	15	150	4	80	558.31	
C439	M E N WSC - Upsize Lake Halbert Connection Q-166	M-E-N WSC	\$ 2,521,800		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	0	11	44	96.65	9.66	96.68	9.67	5	0	24.33	202.78	10	5	15	150	4	80	836.78	
C440	Mabank - Increase Delivery Infrastructure from Cedar Creek Lake Q-143	MABANK	\$ 262,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	0	11	44	54.06	5.41	95.23	9.52	0	5	19.93	166.07	10	5	15	150	5	100	820.07	
C441	Mabank - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	MABANK	\$ 8,905,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	0	11	44	54.06	5.41	43.86	4.39	0	5	14.79	123.27	10	5	15	150	2	40	717.27	
C442	Mabank - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	MABANK	\$ 11,037,000		2	4	6	120	3	5	1	0	9	36	21.39	2.14	51.37	5.14	0	5	12.28	102.30	10	5	15	150	1	20	428.30	
C443	Mansfield - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	MANSFIELD	\$ 42,984,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	71.68	7.17	20.65	2.07	0	5	14.23	118.61	10	3	13	130	4	80	812.61	
C444	Mansfield - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	MANSFIELD	\$ 42,984,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	28.32	2.83	27.54	2.75	0	5	10.59	88.21	10	5	15	150	4	80	802.21	
C445	Mansfield - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 3 Q-13	MANSFIELD	\$ 34,489,000		4	6	10	200	3	5	1	5	14	56	27.20	2.72	27.54	2.75	0	5	10.47	87.28	10	5	15	150	4	80	573.28	
C446	Mansfield - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 4 Q-13	MANSFIELD	\$ 36,188,000		2	4	6	120	3	5	1	5	14	56	5.92	0.59	19.35	1.93	0	5	7.53	62.72	10	5	15	150	4	80	468.72	
C447	Melissa - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from NTMWD Q-75	MELISSA	\$ 2,124,324		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	0	16	64	42.05	4.21	3.50	0.35	5	0	9.56	79.63	10	5	15	150	2	40	733.63	
C448	Midlothian - Direct Potable Reuse (Mountain Creek WWTP Effluent) Q-110	MIDLOTHIAN	\$ 52,417,600				0	0					0	0		0.00		0.00			0.00	0.00			0	0	0	0	0.00	ALTERNATIVE - DELETE
C449	Midlothian - Purchase Duncanville's Joe Pool Yield Q-111	MIDLOTHIAN	\$ 66,200		10	10	20	400	3	0	8	5	16	64	67.61	6.76	7.52	0.75	0	5	12.51	104.28	10	0	10	100	4	80	748.28	

ID	Project Name	Project Sponsor Entity	Capital Cost	Rural/Agricultural Conservation? Conservation/Reuse?	Criteria 1 - Decade of Need for Project				Criteria 2 - Project Feasibility				Criteria 3 - Project Viability						Criteria 4 - Project Sustainability				Criteria 5 - Project Cost Effectiveness		FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT	COMMENTS				
					1A	1B	1 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 1 Total	2A	2B	2C	2D	Criteria 2 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 2 Total	3A	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3B	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3C	3D	Criteria 3 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 3 Total	4A	4B			Criteria 4 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 4 Total	5A	Weighted Criteria 5 Total
					10	10	20	400	5	5	10	5	25	100	100	10	100	10	5	5	30.00	250.00	10	5			15	150	5	100
C450	Midlothian - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	MIDLOTHIAN	\$ 17,433,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	80.39	8.04	26.92	2.69	0	5	15.73	131.09	10	5	15	150	4	80	845.09	
C451	Midlothian - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	MIDLOTHIAN	\$ 17,433,000		6	8	14	280	3	5	1	5	14	56	34.25	3.43	26.92	2.69	0	5	11.12	92.65	10	5	15	150	4	80	658.65	
C452	Midlothian - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 3 Q-13	MIDLOTHIAN	\$ 17,433,000		2	4	6	120	3	5	1	5	14	56	24.72	2.47	26.92	2.69	0	5	10.16	84.71	10	5	15	150	4	80	490.71	
C453	Mountain Peak SUD - New Well in Woodbine Aquifer Q-112	MOUNTAIN PEAK SUD	\$ 1,812,605		10	10	20	400	3	0	8	5	16	64	100.00	10.00	0.48	0.05	5	0	15.05	125.40	10	3	13	130	2	40	759.40	
C454	Muenster - Develop Muenster Lake Supply Q-85	MUENSTER	\$ 8,504,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	10	3	13	130	0	0	822.33	
C455	Navarro County SEP - Purchase Water from Corsicana Q-167	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, NAVARRO	\$ 16,331,000		8	10	18	360	3	0	3	0	6	24	40.48	4.05	40.48	4.05	5	0	13.10	109.13	10	3	13	130	5	100	723.13	
C456	Navarro Mills WSC - New Well in Woodbine Aquifer Q-168	NAVARRO MILLS WSC	\$ 1,339,500		4	6	10	200	3	0	1	5	9	36	100.00	10.00	79.80	7.98	5	0	22.98	191.50	10	3	13	130	1	20	577.50	
C457	New Fairview - Connect to and Purchase Water from Rhome Q-202	NEW FAIRVIEW	\$ 3,662,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	0	11	44	94.44	9.44	96.51	9.65	5	0	24.10	200.79	10	5	15	150	0	0	754.79	
C458	Newark - Connect to and Purchase Water from Rhome Q-203	NEWARK	\$ 2,548,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	94.44	9.44	97.44	9.74	5	0	24.19	201.57	10	5	15	150	4	80	855.57	
C459	NTMWD & Irving - Lake Chapman Pump Station Expansion Q-24	IRVING	\$ 8,546,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	5	5.00	41.67	5	3	8	80	3	60	665.67	
C460	NTMWD & Irving - Lake Chapman Pump Station Expansion Q-24	NORTH TEXAS MWD	\$ 25,638,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	5	5.00	41.67	5	3	8	80	3	60	665.67	
C461	NTMWD - Additional Lake Texoma Blend with Sulphur Basin Water Q-26	NORTH TEXAS MWD	\$ 347,569,000		2	4	6	120	3	5	2	5	15	60	22.71	2.27	18.42	1.84	0	5	9.11	75.94	10	3	13	130	4	80	465.94	
C462	NTMWD - Additional Lake Texoma Supply Blend with Lower Bois d'Arc Q-25	NORTH TEXAS MWD	\$ 174,179,000		6	10	16	320	5	5	4	5	19	76	25.87	2.59	11.97	1.20	0	5	8.78	73.20	10	0	10	100	4	80	649.20	
C463	NTMWD - Additional Measures to Access Full Lake Lavon Yield Q-21	NORTH TEXAS MWD	\$ 20,823,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	47.35	4.74	3.20	0.32	0	5	10.06	83.79	10	0	10	100	5	100	767.79	
C464	NTMWD - Dredge Lake Lavon Q-20	NORTH TEXAS MWD	\$ 1,967,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	26.06	2.61	2.02	0.20	0	5	7.81	65.07	10	3	13	130	5	100	787.07	
C465	NTMWD - Lower Bois d'Arc Creek Reservoir Site Q-23	NORTH TEXAS MWD	\$ 625,610,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	9	5	22	88	55.06	5.51	35.91	3.59	0	5	14.10	117.47	10	0	10	100	4	80	785.47	
C466	NTMWD - Main Stem Pump Station Q-22	NORTH TEXAS MWD	\$ 71,743,000		10	10	20	400	5	3	9	5	22	88	173.83	10.00	0.00	0.00	0	5	15.00	125.00	10	0	10	100	5	100	813.00	
C467	NTMWD - Oklahoma Water Q-27	NORTH TEXAS MWD	\$ 167,541,000		0	2	2	40	3	0	1	5	9	36	15.80	1.58	15.80	1.58	0	5	8.16	68.01	10	3	13	130	4	80	354.01	
C468	NTMWD - Removal of Chapman Silt Barrier Q-19	NORTH TEXAS MWD	\$ 1,793,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	10	5	23	92	11.85	1.19	0.99	0.10	0	5	6.28	52.37	10	3	13	130	5	100	774.37	
C469	NTMWD - Toledo Bend Q-57	NORTH TEXAS MWD	\$ 1,248,461,000		2	2	4	80	3	0	1	5	9	36	38.98	3.90	31.61	3.16	0	5	12.06	100.49	10	3	13	130	1	20	366.49	
C470	NTMWD Treatment & Treated Water Distribution Improvements 2010-2020 Q-28	NORTH TEXAS MWD	\$ 1,015,469,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	9	5	22	88	314.33	10.00	30.34	3.03	0	5	18.03	150.29	10	5	15	150	5	100	888.29	
C471	NTMWD Treatment & Treated Water Distribution Improvements 2020-2030 Q-28	NORTH TEXAS MWD	\$ 1,099,314,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	8	5	21	84	176.76	10.00	58.09	5.81	0	5	20.81	173.41	10	5	15	150	4	80	847.41	
C472	NTMWD Treatment & Treated Water Distribution Improvements 2030-2040 Q-28	NORTH TEXAS MWD	\$ 663,032,000		6	8	14	280	3	5	4	5	17	68	138.01	10.00	66.71	6.67	0	5	21.67	180.59	10	5	15	150	0	0	678.59	
C473	NTMWD Treatment & Treated Water Distribution Improvements 2040-2050 Q-28	NORTH TEXAS MWD	\$ 704,883,000		4	6	10	200	3	5	1	5	14	56	97.97	9.80	62.52	6.25	0	5	21.05	175.41	10	5	15	150	2	40	621.41	
C474	NTMWD Treatment & Treated Water Distribution Improvements 2050-2060 Q-28	NORTH TEXAS MWD	\$ 621,467,000		2	4	6	120	3	5	1	5	14	56	135.45	10.00	109.85	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33	10	5	15	150	0	0	534.33	
C475	NTMWD Treatment & Treated Water Distribution Improvements 2060-2070 Q-28	NORTH TEXAS MWD	\$ 166,833,000		0	2	2	40	3	5	1	5	14	56	179.20	10.00	179.20	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33	10	5	15	150	4	80	534.33	
C476	Ovilla - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from DWU Q-92	OVILLA	\$ 8,136,000		0	2	2	40	3	5	1	0	9	36	89.03	8.90	89.03	8.90	5	0	22.81	190.06	10	5	15	150	4	80	496.06	
C477	Palmer - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from Rockett SUD Q-113	PALMER	\$ 6,628,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	0	16	64	15.63	1.56	99.89	9.99	5	0	16.55	137.93	10	5	15	150	2	40	791.93	
C478	Pantego - Connect to and Purchase Water from Arlington Q-192	PANTEGO	\$ 778,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	0	11	44	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	0	0	20.00	166.67	10	3	13	130	0	0	700.67	
C479	Pantego - Connect to and Purchase Water from Fort Worth Q-193	PANTEGO	\$ 831,000		8	10	18	360	3	0	3	0	6	24	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	0	0	20.00	166.67	10	3	13	130	0	0	680.67	
C480	Parker - Increase Pump Station Capacity Q-76	PARKER	\$ 1,651,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	95.97	9.60	94.01	9.40	5	0	24.00	199.99	10	5	15	150	5	100	873.99	
C481	Parker County Other - Connect to and Purchase Water from TRWD Q-174	COUNTY-OTHER, PARKER	\$ 116,775,000		2	6	8	160	3	5	1	0	9	36	57.24	5.72	67.93	6.79	0	0	12.52	104.31	10	5	15	150	2	40	490.31	
C482	Parker County Other - New Wells in Trinity Aquifer Q-173	COUNTY-OTHER, PARKER	\$ 1,448,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	0	16	64	100.00	10.00	1.41	0.14	0	0	10.14	84.51	10	3	13	130	2	40	718.51	
C483	Parker County SUD - Additional BRA with Treatment Plant Q-13	PARKER COUNTY SUD	\$ 6,776,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	100.00	10.00	49.38	4.94	0	0	14.94	124.49	10	3	13	130	0	0	738.49	
C484	Parker County SUD - New Wells in Trinity Aquifer Q-172	PARKER COUNTY SUD	\$ 3,860,000		2	4	6	120	3	0	1	5	9	36	69.65	6.97	46.96	4.70	0	0	11.66	97.18	10	3	13	130	2	40	423.18	
C485	Payne Springs - New Well in Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer Q-148	PAYNE SPRINGS	\$ 892,000		10	10	20	400	3	0	8	5	16	64	100.00	10.00	129.44	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	10	3	13	130	2	40	842.33	
C486	Pelican Bay - Connect to and Purchase Water from Azle (TRWD) Q-194	PELICAN BAY	\$ 956,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	0	11	44	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	10	3	13	130	0	0	742.33	
C487	Pilot Point - New Well in Trinity Aquifer Q-106	PILOT POINT	\$ 865,605		10	10	20	400	3	0	8	5	16	64	100.00	10.00	11.10	1.11	5	0	16.11	134.25	10	3	13	130	4	80	808.25	
C488	Prosper - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from NTMWD (Phase I) Q-77	PROSPER	\$ 1,878,004		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	42.82	4.28	45.35	4.53	0	0	8.82	73.47	10	5	15	150	5	100	747.47	
C489	Prosper - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from NTMWD (Phase II) Q-78	PROSPER	\$ 1,908,104		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	43.91	4.39	46.51	4.65	0	0	9.04	75.35	10	5	15	150	5	100	749.35	

ID	Project Name	Project Sponsor Entity	Capital Cost	Rural/Agricultural Conservation? Conservation/Reuse?	Criteria 1 - Decade of Need for Project				Criteria 2 - Project Feasibility				Criteria 3 - Project Viability						Criteria 4 - Project Sustainability				Criteria 5 - Project Cost Effectiveness		FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT	COMMENTS				
					1A	1B	1 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 1 Total	2A	2B	2C	2D	Criteria 2 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 2 Total	3A	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3B	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3C	3D	Criteria 3 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 3 Total	4A	4B			Criteria 4 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 4 Total	5A	Weighted Criteria 5 Total
					10	10	20	400	5	5	10	5	25	100	100	10	100	10	5	5	30.00	250.00	10	5			15	150	5	100
C490	Q-150 Fannin County Water Supply Project	NORTH TEXAS MWD	\$ 45,753,900		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	100.00	10.00	72.41	7.24	0	5	22.24	185.34	10	5	15	150	2	40	799.34	
C491	Q-90 Irving - TRA Central Reuse	IRVING	\$ 39,960,000	x	10	10	20	400	5	5	2	5	17	68	172.11	10.00	124.15	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33	10	3	13	130	4	80	886.33	
C492	Rice WSC - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from Corsicana Q-114	RICE WSC	\$ 6,983,000		6	8	14	280	3	5	1	5	14	56	29.71	2.97	77.24	7.72	5	5	20.69	172.46	10	5	15	150	2	40	698.46	
C493	Rockett SUD - Direct Connection to DWU Q-116	ROCKETT SUD	\$ 32,773,000				0	0					0	0		0.00		0.00			0.00	0.00			0	0	0	0	0.00	ALTERNATIVE - DELETE
C494	Rockett SUD - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from Midlothian Q-115	ROCKETT SUD	\$ 11,874,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	3.67	0.37	6.21	0.62	0	5	5.99	49.90	10	5	15	150	2	40	723.90	
C495	Rockett SUD - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	ROCKETT SUD	\$ 25,961,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	146.43	10.00	24.98	2.50	0	5	17.50	145.82	10	5	15	150	4	80	859.82	
C496	Rockett SUD - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	ROCKETT SUD	\$ 25,961,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	29.30	2.93	24.98	2.50	0	5	10.43	86.90	10	5	15	150	4	80	740.90	
C497	Rockett SUD - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 3 Q-13	ROCKETT SUD	\$ 25,961,000		4	6	10	200	3	5	1	5	14	56	12.77	1.28	24.98	2.50	0	5	8.78	73.13	10	5	15	150	4	80	559.13	
C498	Rockett SUD - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 4 Q-13	ROCKETT SUD	\$ 25,961,000		0	2	2	40	3	5	1	5	14	56	24.98	2.50	24.98	2.50	0	5	10.00	83.30	10	5	15	150	4	80	409.30	
C499	Rockwall - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from NTMWD Q-183	ROCKWALL	\$ 22,551,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	0	11	44	29.85	2.99	88.66	8.87	5	5	21.85	182.09	10	5	15	150	5	100	836.09	
C500	Runaway Bay - Increase Capacity of Lake Intake Q-204	RUNAWAY BAY	\$ 52,500		0	2	2	40	3	5	1	0	9	36	33.00	3.30	33.00	3.30	0	0	6.60	55.00	10	3	13	130	5	100	361.00	
C501	Runaway Bay - Water Treatment Plant Expansion Q-13	RUNAWAY BAY	\$ 4,078,000		0	2	2	40	3	5	1	0	9	36	33.00	3.30	33.00	3.30	0	0	6.60	55.00	10	3	13	130	0	0	261.00	
C502	Sardis Lone-Elm - Connect to and Purchase Water from Midlothian Q-117	SARDIS-LONE ELM WSC	\$ 255,200		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	170.36	10.00	29.17	2.92	0	0	12.92	107.64	10	3	13	130	5	100	821.64	
C503	Sardis-Lone Elm WSC - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from Roche Q-118	SARDIS-LONE ELM WSC	\$ 1,992,000		6	8	14	280	3	5	1	5	14	56	21.06	2.11	34.30	3.43	0	0	5.54	46.13	10	5	15	150	5	100	632.13	
C504	Sherman - Desalination Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	SHERMAN	\$ 17,328,500		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	3000.54	10.00	27.81	2.78	0	5	17.78	148.18	10	3	13	130	2	40	802.18	
C505	Sherman - Desalination Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	SHERMAN	\$ 29,478,000		0	2	2	40	3	5	1	5	14	56	55.63	5.56	55.63	5.56	0	5	16.13	134.38	10	3	13	130	2	40	400.38	
C506	Sherman - New 10 MGD Desalination Plant Q-12	SHERMAN	\$ 34,657,000		4	6	10	200	3	5	1	5	14	56	106.79	10.00	27.81	2.78	0	5	17.78	148.18	10	3	13	130	2	40	574.18	
C507	Southlake - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from Fort Worth Q-195	SOUTHLAKE	\$ 43,035,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	0	11	44	5.66	0.57	80.02	8.00	5	0	13.57	113.07	10	5	15	150	4	80	747.07	
C508	Southmayd - New Wells in Woodbine Aquifer Q-141	SOUTHMAYD	\$ 1,068,000		0	2	2	40	3	0	1	5	9	36	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33	10	3	13	130	0	0	414.33	
C509	Southwest Fannin Co SUD - New Well in Woodbine Aquifer Q-130	SOUTHWEST FANNIN COUNTY SUD	\$ 2,348,823		8	10	18	360	3	0	3	0	6	24	185.19	10.00	12.76	1.28	5	0	16.28	135.63	10	3	13	130	0	0	649.63	
C510	Springtown - Lake Intake Modifications Q-175	SPRINGTOWN	\$ 280,200		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	47.18	4.72	73.51	7.35	0	0	12.07	100.58	10	5	15	150	5	100	834.58	
C511	Springtown - New Well in Trinity Aquifer Q-176	SPRINGTOWN	\$ 998,400		10	10	20	400	3	0	8	5	16	64	49.30	4.93	21.81	2.18	0	0	7.11	59.26	10	3	13	130	0	0	653.26	
C512	Sulphur Basin Supplies - TRWD, NTWMD, UTRWD Q-18	NORTH TEXAS MWD	\$ 1,206,634,000		4	8	12	240	3	0	2	5	10	40	49.02	4.90	57.66	5.77	0	5	15.67	130.57	10	5	15	150	2	40	600.57	
C513	Sulphur Basin Supplies - TRWD, NTWMD, UTRWD Q-18	TARRANT REGIONAL WD	\$ 3,004,413,000		4	8	12	240	3	0	2	5	10	40	49.02	4.90	57.66	5.77	0	5	15.67	130.57	10	5	15	150	2	40	600.57	
C514	Sulphur Basin Supplies - TRWD, NTWMD, UTRWD Q-18	UPPER TRINITY REGIONAL WD	\$ 305,499,000		4	8	12	240	3	0	2	5	10	40	49.02	4.90	57.66	5.77	0	5	15.67	130.57	10	5	15	150	2	40	600.57	
C515	Sunnyvale - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from NTMWD Q-93	SUNNYVALE	\$ 22,408,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	76.82	7.68	90.54	9.05	5	0	21.74	181.13	10	5	15	150	2	40	855.13	
C516	Tarrant County SEP - Direct Reuse Q-196	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, TARRANT	\$ 13,080,000	x	8	10	18	360	3	5	3	0	11	44	155.76	10.00	88.86	8.89	5	0	23.89	199.05	10	5	15	150	4	80	833.05	
C517	Teague - New Wells in Trinity Aquifer Q-135	TEAGUE	\$ 1,145,600		4	6	10	200	3	0	1	0	4	16	100.00	10.00	91.74	9.17	5	5	29.17	243.12	10	3	13	130	2	40	629.12	
C518	Terrell - Ground Storage Tank and Pump Station Expansion at West Side Pump Station Q-157	TERRELL	\$ 3,714,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	80.76	8.08	95.62	9.56	0	5	22.64	188.65	10	5	15	150	4	80	902.65	
C519	Terrell - Line to Feed Whole Customer (Kaufman Co WCID) Q-158	TERRELL	\$ 1,569,100		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	80.76	8.08	95.62	9.56	0	5	22.64	188.65	10	5	15	150	4	80	902.65	
C520	Terrell - Line to Feed Wholesale Customer (Fairfield Development Extension) Q-160	TERRELL	\$ 4,418,700		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	80.76	8.08	95.62	9.56	0	5	22.64	188.65	10	5	15	150	2	40	862.65	
C521	Terrell - Line to Feed Wholesale Customer (Fairfield Development) Q-159	TERRELL	\$ 1,514,500		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	80.76	8.08	95.62	9.56	0	5	22.64	188.65	10	5	15	150	4	80	902.65	
C522	Terrell - Line to Feed Wholesale Customers (Las Lomas MUD and Kaufman Co WCID) Q-161	TERRELL	\$ 1,395,100		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	80.76	8.08	95.62	9.56	0	5	22.64	188.65	10	5	15	150	4	80	902.65	
C523	Terrell - Lines Along I-20 to Complete Looping in Southern System for Wholesale Customers Q-162	TERRELL	\$ 5,688,500		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	80.76	8.08	95.62	9.56	0	5	22.64	188.65	10	5	15	150	2	40	862.65	
C524	Terrell - New Delivery Point Connection from NTMWD (Waterlines, Pump Station, & Ground Storage Q-163	TERRELL	\$ 25,559,100		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	80.76	8.08	95.62	9.56	0	5	22.64	188.65	10	5	15	150	2	40	862.65	
C525	Trenton - New Wells in Woodbine Aquifer Q-131	TRENTON	\$ 971,785		8	10	18	360	3	0	3	0	6	24	52.08	5.21	1.56	0.16	5	0	10.36	86.37	10	3	13	130	0	0	600.37	
C526	Trinity River Authority Dallas County Reuse for Steam Electric Power Q-59	TRINITY RIVER AUTHORITY	\$ 8,661,000	x	8	8	16	320	3	5	3	5	16	64	100.00	10.00	178.87	10.00	0	0	20.00	166.67	10	3	13	130	4	80	760.67	
C527	Trinity River Authority Ellis County Reuse for Steam Electric Power Q-60	TRINITY RIVER AUTHORITY	\$ 17,958,000	x	2	2	4	80	3	5	1	5	14	56	33.04	3.30	48.64	4.86	0	0	8.17	68.06	10	5	15	150	4	80	434.06	

ID	Project Name	Project Sponsor Entity	Capital Cost	Rural/Agricultural Conservation? Conservation/Reuse?	Criteria 1 - Decade of Need for Project				Criteria 2 - Project Feasibility				Criteria 3 - Project Viability						Criteria 4 - Project Sustainability				Criteria 5 - Project Cost Effectiveness		FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT	COMMENTS				
					1A	1B	1 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 1 Total	2A	2B	2C	2D	Criteria 2 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 2 Total	3A	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3B	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3C	3D	Criteria 3 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 3 Total	4A	4B			Criteria 4 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 4 Total	5A	Weighted Criteria 5 Total
					10	10	20	400	5	5	10	5	25	100	100	10	100	10	5	5	30.00	250.00	10	5			15	150	5	100
C528	Trinity River Authority Freestone County Reuse for Steam Electric Power Q-61	TRINITY RIVER AUTHORITY	\$ 30,593,000	x	4	4	8	160	3	5	1	5	14	56	232.36	10.00	44.05	4.40	0	0	14.40	120.04	10	3	13	130	4	80	546.04	
C529	Trinity River Authority Kaufman County Reuse for Steam Electric Power Q-62	TRINITY RIVER AUTHORITY	\$ 8,763,000	x	10	10	20	400	5	5	8	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	0	0	20.00	166.67	10	3	13	130	2	40	828.67	
C530	Trinity River Authority Las Colinas Reuse (Dallas County Irrigation) Q-58	TRINITY RIVER AUTHORITY	\$ 15,017,000	x	10	10	20	400	5	5	8	5	23	92	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	0	0	20.00	166.67	10	3	13	130	4	80	868.67	
C531	Trophy Club - Phase II: Increase delivery infrastructure from Ft Worth Q-198	TROPHY CLUB	\$ 7,292,600		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	76.00	7.60	87.61	8.76	0	0	16.36	136.34	10	5	15	150	5	100	870.34	
C532	Trophy Club, Westlake, Fort Worth - Phase I: Joint 36" Water Delivery Line Q-197	FORT WORTH	\$ 5,233,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	384.55	10.00	87.61	8.76	0	5	23.76	198.01	10	5	15	150	5	100	932.01	
C533	Trophy Club, Westlake, Fort Worth - Phase I: Joint 36" Water Delivery Line Q-197	TROPHY CLUB	\$ 2,273,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	384.55	10.00	87.61	8.76	0	5	23.76	198.01	10	5	15	150	5	100	932.01	
C534	Trophy Club, Westlake, Fort Worth - Phase I: Joint 36" Water Delivery Line Q-197	WESTLAKE	\$ 2,961,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	384.55	10.00	87.61	8.76	0	5	23.76	198.01	10	5	15	150	5	100	932.01	
C535	TRWD & DWU Integrated Pipeline Q-48	DALLAS	\$ 386,752,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	9	5	22	88	133.39	10.00	30.28	3.03	0	5	18.03	150.23	10	5	15	150	2	40	828.23	
C536	TRWD & DWU Integrated Pipeline Q-48	TARRANT REGIONAL WD	\$ 1,733,914,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	9	5	22	88	133.39	10.00	30.28	3.03	0	5	18.03	150.23	10	5	15	150	2	40	828.23	
C537	TRWD - Cedar Creek and Richland-Chambers Wetlands Reuse Q-49	TARRANT REGIONAL WD	\$ 139,078,000	x	8	10	18	360	3	5	4	5	17	68	36.30	3.63	19.12	1.91	0	5	10.54	87.85	10	3	13	130	5	100	745.85	
C538	TRWD - Lake Tehuacana Q-50	TARRANT REGIONAL WD	\$ 742,730,000		6	10	16	320	3	0	1	5	9	36	23.63	2.36	9.03	0.90	0	5	8.27	68.89	10	3	13	130	0	0	554.89	
C539	UTRWD - Direct Reuse Q-53	UPPER TRINITY REGIONAL WD	\$ 13,213,000	x	8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	7.05	0.71	2.38	0.24	0	5	5.94	49.53	10	5	15	150	4	80	703.53	
C540	UTRWD - Lake Ralph Hall and Reuse Q-52	UPPER TRINITY REGIONAL WD	\$ 311,388,000	x	8	10	18	360	3	5	5	5	18	72	551.45	10.00	53.20	5.32	0	5	20.32	169.33	10	5	15	150	4	80	831.33	
C541	UTRWD WTP and Treated Water Distribution System Water Management Strategies 2015-2019 Q-54	UPPER TRINITY REGIONAL WD	\$ 57,446,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33	10	5	15	150	2	40	882.33	
C542	UTRWD WTP and Treated Water Distribution System Water Management Strategies 2020-2029 Q-54	UPPER TRINITY REGIONAL WD	\$ 170,670,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	558.51	10.00	558.51	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33	10	5	15	150	2	40	822.33	
C543	UTRWD WTP and Treated Water Distribution System Water Management Strategies 2030-2040 Q-54	UPPER TRINITY REGIONAL WD	\$ 139,322,721		6	8	14	280	3	5	1	5	14	56	200.07	10.00	200.07	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33	10	5	15	150	2	40	734.33	
C544	UTRWD WTP and Treated Water Distribution System Water Management Strategies 2040-2050 Q-54	UPPER TRINITY REGIONAL WD	\$ 117,667,000		4	6	10	200	3	5	1	5	14	56	132.61	10.00	132.61	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33	10	5	15	150	2	40	654.33	
C545	UTRWD WTP and Treated Water Distribution System Water Management Strategies 2050-2060 Q-54	UPPER TRINITY REGIONAL WD	\$ 110,774,000		2	4	6	120	3	5	1	5	14	56	128.88	10.00	128.88	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33	10	5	15	150	2	40	574.33	
C546	UTRWD WTP and Treated Water Distribution System Water Management Strategies 2060-2070 Q-54	UPPER TRINITY REGIONAL WD	\$ 110,774,000		0	2	2	40	3	5	1	5	14	56	133.81	10.00	133.81	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33	10	5	15	150	2	40	494.33	
C547	Van Alstyne - Water System Improvements Q-142	VAN ALSTYNE	\$ 2,180,800		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	0	11	44	67.09	6.71	95.47	9.55	5	0	21.26	177.13	10	5	15	150	2	40	771.13	
C548	Walnut Creek SUD - New 12 MGD Water Treatment Plant Q-12	WALNUT CREEK SUD	\$ 53,337,000		0	2	2	40	3	5	1	5	14	56	38.77	3.88	38.77	3.88	0	5	12.75	106.28	10	5	15	150	2	40	392.28	
C549	Walnut Creek SUD - New 6 MGD Water Treatment Plant Q-12	WALNUT CREEK SUD	\$ 9,245,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	75.53	7.55	56.71	5.67	0	5	18.22	151.87	10	5	15	150	4	80	805.87	
C550	Watauga & N Richland Hills - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water Q-199	NORTH RICHLAND HILLS	\$ 9,931,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	0	16	64	95.18	9.52	68.91	6.89	5	5	26.41	220.08	10	3	13	130	4	80	894.08	
C551	Waxahachie - 27" Raw water line from IPL to Howard Road Water Treatment Plant Q-119	WAXAHACHIE	\$ 3,176,400		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	100.00	10.00	119.96	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33	10	5	15	150	4	80	862.33	
C552	Waxahachie - 36" Raw water line from IPL to Lake Waxahachie Q-120	WAXAHACHIE	\$ 1,073,400		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	100.00	10.00	119.96	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33	10	5	15	150	5	100	882.33	
C553	Waxahachie - 36" Raw water line from Lake Waxahachie to Howard Rd WTP Q-121	WAXAHACHIE	\$ 5,465,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	100.00	10.00	119.96	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33	10	5	15	150	5	100	882.33	
C554	Waxahachie - 48" TRWD Parallel Supply Line to Sokoll WTP Q-122	WAXAHACHIE	\$ 3,510,500		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	100.00	10.00	119.96	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33	10	5	15	150	4	80	862.33	
C555	Waxahachie - Dredge Lake Waxahachie Q-123	WAXAHACHIE	\$ 31,973,500		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	100.00	10.00	5.03	0.50	0	5	15.50	129.19	10	3	13	130	0	0	683.19	
C556	Waxahachie - Howard Rd. Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	WAXAHACHIE	\$ 21,697,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	100.00	10.00	31.99	3.20	0	5	18.20	151.66	10	3	13	130	4	80	785.66	
C557	Waxahachie - Howard Rd. Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	WAXAHACHIE	\$ 25,961,000		4	6	10	200	3	5	1	5	14	56	97.68	9.77	39.99	4.00	0	5	18.77	156.39	10	3	13	130	4	80	622.39	
C558	Waxahachie - Howard Rd. Water Treatment Plant Expansion 3 Q-13	WAXAHACHIE	\$ 29,353,000		0	2	2	40	3	5	1	5	14	56	47.98	4.80	47.98	4.80	0	5	14.60	121.64	10	3	13	130	4	80	427.64	
C559	Waxahachie - Increase delivery infrastructure to Rockett SUD (30" Raw water Line) Q-124	WAXAHACHIE	\$ 11,894,900		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	100.00	10.00	119.96	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33	10	5	15	150	5	100	882.33	
C560	Waxahachie - Phase I Delivery Infrastructure to Customers in South Ellis County Q-125	WAXAHACHIE	\$ 15,220,700		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	100.00	10.00	8.00	0.80	0	5	15.80	131.66	10	5	15	150	4	80	785.66	

ID	Project Name	Project Sponsor Entity	Capital Cost	Rural/Agricultural Conservation? Conservation/Reuse?	Criteria 1 - Decade of Need for Project				Criteria 2 - Project Feasibility				Criteria 3 - Project Viability						Criteria 4 - Project Sustainability				Criteria 5 - Project Cost Effectiveness		FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT	COMMENTS				
					1A	1B	1 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 1 Total	2A	2B	2C	2D	Criteria 2 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 2 Total	3A	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3B	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	3C	3D	Criteria 3 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 3 Total	4A	4B			Criteria 4 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 4 Total	5A	Weighted Criteria 5 Total
					10	10	20	400	5	5	10	5	25	100	100	10	100	10	5	5	30.00	250.00	10	5			15	150	5	100
C561	Waxahachie - Phase II Delivery Infrastructure to Customers in South Ellis County Q-126	WAXAHACHIE	\$ 23,452,433		4	6	10	200	3	5	1	5	14	56	28.55	2.85	41.91	4.19	0	5	12.05	100.38	10	5	15	150	4	80	586.38	
C562	Waxahachie - Raw Water Intake Improvements at Lake Bardwell Q-127	WAXAHACHIE	\$ 5,168,200		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	100.00	10.00	119.96	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33	10	5	15	150	5	100	882.33	
C563	Weatherford - Develop Lake Weatherford Reuse Project Q-177	WEATHERFORD	\$ 13,089,000	x	10	10	20	400	5	2	8	5	20	80	99.33	9.93	8.42	0.84	0	5	15.78	131.46	10	3	13	130	4	80	821.46	
C564	Weatherford - Increase Benbrook Pump Station Capacity Q-178	WEATHERFORD	\$ 2,301,800		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	5	16	64	2.09	0.21	84.48	8.45	0	5	13.66	113.81	10	3	13	130	2	40	707.81	
C565	Weatherford - New 14 MGD Water Treatment Plant Q-12	WEATHERFORD	\$ 60,521,000		2	8	10	200	3	5	1	5	14	56	30.92	3.09	29.48	2.95	0	5	11.04	92.00	10	5	15	150	2	40	538.00	
C566	Weatherford - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	WEATHERFORD	\$ 36,408,000		6	10	16	320	3	5	1	5	14	56	44.35	4.43	16.85	1.68	0	5	11.12	92.66	10	5	15	150	1	20	638.66	
C567	Weatherford - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	WEATHERFORD	\$ 49,781,000		0	4	4	80	3	5	1	5	14	56	46.57	4.66	46.57	4.66	0	5	14.31	119.28	10	5	15	150	4	80	485.28	
C568	West Cedar Creek - Water Treatment Plant Expansion Q-13	WEST CEDAR CREEK MUD	\$ 17,429,000		4	6	10	200	3	5	1	5	14	56	29.23	2.92	73.35	7.34	5	5	20.26	168.82	10	5	15	150	4	80	654.82	
C569	West Wise SUD - Water Treatment Plant Expansion Q-13	WEST WISE SUD	\$ 5,697,000		4	6	10	200	3	5	1	5	14	56	16.39	1.64	47.32	4.73	5	5	16.37	136.42	10	5	15	150	0	0	542.42	
C570	Weston - Connect to and Purchase Water from NTMWD Q-79	WESTON	\$ 27,130,000		8	10	18	360	3	5	3	0	11	44	132.64	10.00	99.73	9.97	5	0	24.97	208.11	10	5	15	150	5	100	862.11	
C571	Wilmer - Direct Connection to Dallas Q-94	WILMER	\$ 15,999,500		6	8	14	280	3	5	1	0	9	36	55.44	5.54	76.57	7.66	0	0	13.20	110.01	10	5	15	150	4	80	656.01	
C572	Wilmer - New Connection to Dallas (via Lancaster) Q-95	WILMER	\$ 4,504,300		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	0	16	64	98.10	9.81	21.42	2.14	0	0	11.95	99.61	10	5	15	150	4	80	793.61	
C573	Wise County Manufacturing - New Wells in Trinity Aquifer Q-205	MANUFACTURING, WISE	\$ 1,636,600		10	10	20	400	3	0	8	0	11	44	100.00	10.00	13.45	1.34	5	0	16.34	136.21	10	3	13	130	2	40	750.21	
C574	Wise County WSD - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 1 Q-13	WISE COUNTY WSD	\$ 25,992,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	5	21	84	97.01	9.70	52.37	5.24	0	0	14.94	124.48	10	5	15	150	4	80	838.48	
C575	Wise County WSD - Water Treatment Plant Expansion 2 Q-13	WISE COUNTY WSD	\$ 25,992,000		4	6	10	200	3	5	1	5	14	56	4.21	0.42	44.77	4.48	0	0	4.90	40.82	10	5	15	150	4	80	526.82	
C576	Wylie NE SUD - Increase Delivery Infrastructure to Purchase Additional Water from NTMWD Q-80	WYLIE NORTHEAST SUD	\$ 4,250,000		10	10	20	400	3	5	8	0	16	64	90.26	9.03	95.23	9.52	5	5	28.55	237.91	10	5	15	150	4	80	931.91	